



(Pseudo)cleft constructions in old Romanian

Mihaela Gheorghe*

Faculty of Letters, "Transilvania" University of Braşov, Bd. Eroilor 29, 500036 Braşov, Romania "Iorgu Iordan – Al. Rosetti" Institute of Linguistics, Calea 13 Septembrie 13, 050711 Bucharest, Romania

Article info

History:

Received May 9, 2017 Accepted May 13, 2017 Published September 30, 2017

Key words: cleft sentences pseudo-cleft sentences relative clauses old Romanian

Abstract

The article examines the syntactic and semantic features of cleft sentences in Old Romanian (OR) as compared to Modern Romanian (MR). The clefting strategy in MR can only produce pseudo-cleft constructions (identifying structures with free relative clauses headed by *ce*, or relative clauses with an antecedent; the focalized constituent follows the relative clause and the copula; the reversed pattern is also possible: the focalized constituent is placed before the copula and the relative clause). The analysis of an OR corpus showed that cleft constructions were quite frequent, but the patterns were more diverse than in MR: besides cleft and pseudo-cleft constructions, OR also employed hybrid constructions, that amalgamate the features of the prototypical clefts.

1. Introduction

Since Jespersen (1937/1984), an extensive literature deals with the description of cleft sentences. Most of the studies are dedicated to the syntax of clefts (Akmajian, 1970; Gundel, 1977; Higgins, 1979; Boškovic, 1997; Iatridou & Varlokosta, 1998; Reeve, 2011, 2012; Hartmann & Tonjes, 2013; Hedberg, 2013), but also to their semantic and pragmatic properties (see Prince, 1981; Declerck, 1984, 1988; Halliday, 1985; Lambrecht, 2001).

This paper focuses on the distinction in the current syntactic theory between clefts and pseudo-clefts. The general organization of a prototypic cleft construction (1) is [expletive + copula + FOCALIZED XP + restrictive relative clause] (Reeve, 2012, p. 1), where the focalized XP may be a subject (1a) or an object (1b).

- (1) a. It was JOHN who left.
 - b. It was A RED BAG that I bought.

The general schema of a pseudo-cleft (2) is [relative clause + copula + FOCALIZED XP], and it can be organized as in (2a), or in the reversed pattern (2b): [FOCALIZED XP + copula + relative clause] (see Huddlestone et al., 2002, p. 1414). In a narrow perspective, pseudo-clefts are considered to be free relative clauses that belong to identification patterns in which they occupy a thematic position, as in (2a,b) (see Prince, 1978, p. 883); in a wider view, the class of pseudo-clefts may include relative clauses with a neutral antecedent as (fact/manner/place/reason, etc.) (2c), or relative clauses with a universal quantifier antecedent (2d) (Collins, 1991, p. 27), with the following general organization: [FOCALIZED XP + copula + antecedent + relative clause].

- (2) a. What I bought was a red bag.
 - b. A red bag was what I bought.
 - c. The place where John saw Mary was in front of the bank.
 - d. All he wanted was a hamburger.

^{*}Email address: *m.gheorghe@unitbv.ro*.

The paper aims to present an inventory of cleft sentences patterns in Old Romanian (OR), and to confront it with the cleft patterns that are employed in Modern Romanian (MR).

Most descriptions of Romanian clefts (Serbanescu, 1996; Pana Dindelegan, 2013, p. 488) show that the clefting strategy in MR can only produce *pseudo-clefts*; they are either identification structures ([relative clause + copula + FOCALIZED XP]) with free relative clauses headed by ce (3a), or relative structures with an antecedent that has the properties mentioned by Collins (1991; see *supra*): a noun with generalizing value (3b,c) or the universal quantifier tot (3d); this structure matches the pattern: [antecedent + relative clause + copula + FOCALIZED XP].

Reversed pseudo-clefts are also possible in Romanian. The focalized constituent is extracted from subject position (4a) or direct object / prepositional object / adjunct position (4b); the pattern of such constructions is: $[FOCALIZED\ XP + copula + antecedent + relative\ clause]^1$.

- (3)a. [Ce supără cel mai tare RĂBDARE. mă este CĂ NU ΑI hard CL.ACC.1SG upsets most is that not have patience 'What upsets me most is that you have no patience'
 - b. Ceea ce cel mai supără tare CĂ este ΑI that what upsets that have CL.ACC.1SG most hard is not RĂBDARE.

patience

'What upsets me most is that you have no patience'

c. Chestia care supără cel mai tare este CĂ NU thing.DEF which CL.ACC.1SG upsets most hard that not ΑI RĂBDARE.

have patience

'The fact that upsets me most is that you have no patience'

- d. Tot este CĂ supără RĂBDARE. all what CL.ACC.1SG upsets is that not have patience 'All that upsets me is that you have no patience'
- (4)RĂBDARE este mă supără that not have patience that what CL.ACC.1SG upsets cel mai tare]. most hard

'That you have no patience upsets me most'

b. El este întîlnit cel pe care he is the.one DOM which CL.ACC.3SG=AUX.have.2SG meet.PPLE ieri] / despre vorbit]/ [la care am care about speak.PPLE yesterday which AUX.have.1PL which ducem]. CL.REFL.ACC.1PL go

'He is the one that you met yesterday / we were talking about / we are going at'

 $^{^{1}}$ Though not yet supported by quantitative analysis, an observation should be made: the pattern [FOCALIZED XP + copula + relative clause] is almost absent in MR (or, more precisely, it is limited to the situations in which the focalized constituent is an object, and the relative clause is headed by ce, with a marked preference for the antecedent construction [FOCALIZED XP + copula + antecedent + relative clause]. Compared to the patterns under (3b-d), the pattern [relative clause + copula + FOCALIZED XP], in which the relative clause has a general nominal antecedent, also seems to have a limited distribution. The pattern favours ce as the head of the relative clause, and the focalized constituent is usually an object. Both cleft constructions are in free variation with the variant in which the relative clause has the pronominal antecedent ceea. This remark may lead to the conclusion that, in fact, the headless relative clause is a variant of the construction with *ceea*. For further detail regarding the distribution of (*ceea*) *ce* in MR relative clauses, see Gheorghe (2004, p. 140–148).

2. Cleft patterns in Old Romanian

The investigation of a corpus of Romanian $16^{th}-18^{th}$ century texts revealed the existence of many structures in which the focalization of a constituent is the result of a clefting mechanism. The cleft constructions identified in these texts are different from the clefts in MR, in terms of their general organization and in terms of morpho-syntactic features (that will be discussed below); the analysis of the corpus also showed that the pseudo-cleft pattern illustrated in (3a-d), and the reversed pattern in (4a) are both absent in OR.

2.1. Cleft sentences

The corpus revealed the existence of cleft patterns that are similar to the prototypical ones (which are known to be impossible in MR), and they are organized under the pattern [copula + FOCALIZED XP + relative clause]. The structures in (5) are similar to the ones in (1), with the only difference that they lack the expletive pronoun. The corpus displays a great variety of constructions, both in terms of the syntactic position of the focalized constituent [subject in (5a,d,e), adjunct in (5b₁) and prepositional object in (5b₂,c)], and in terms of the choice of the relative connector. Moreover, the focalized XP may have a simple structure [proper name or demonstrative (5a,b)], or a complex structure (5c,d,e). The complex focalized constituent has a pronominal antecedent and a restrictive relative clause (bearing the focal information). The relative clause may also have a pronominal antecedent (5f).

- (5) Şi iaste **ȚARINA** LUI **EFRON** carea în a. field.DEF Ephron which in and LUI.GEN was peștira cea îndoită (BB.1688, 15) CEL.F.ACC.SG 'It is Ephron's field that the cave was in'
 - b. Au nu iaste ACESTA [den carele bea domnu-nostru] și INT not is this from which drinks Lord=our and [cu carele vrăjaște]? (PO.1582, 155) with which spells

'Isn't it the one that our Lord drinks from and he spells with?'

- tremease cătră Domnul Hristos, and=CL.ACC.3PL send.ps.3sG towards Lord **Jesus** Christ să întreabe el de iaste ACESTA pre $S \breve{A}_{SUBJ}$ ask.subj.3sg DOM he whether this CE-AU VENIT] de carele zice scriptura și which Bible.DEF that=AUX.PERF.3SG come.PPLE of tells and prorocești]... (cc².1581, 586) glasurile voices.DEF prophetic
 - 'And they sent them to Lord Jesus Christ, to ask Him whether it is the one who came that the Bible tells about'
- d. De nu ACESTA, iaste CELA CE RĂSIPIIA ÎNTRU au if not this is that who wonder.IMP.3sG in or acesta...]? (CB.1559-60, 98) [IERUSALIM] meniia numele cine fate.IMP.3SG name.DEF 'If it is not this one, is it the one that wondered in Jerusalem who was destined to bear this name?'
- SAMPSON] era OARECINE DE-L **CHEMA** ce era mai which=CL.ACC.3sG call.IMP.3sG Samson who someone more was was lume] $(FD.1592-604, 568^{r})$ cu vîrtute de tot cîți pe era with virtue than all how.much were on Earth

'It was someone called Samson who was most virtuous on Earth'

f. CUVÎNTUL acela zice] $(AD.1722-5, 92, 83^{r})$ și atunce iaste ce word.DEF then and is that which says 'And it is that word that says'

2.2. Prototypical pseudo-clefts

The prototypical pseudo-cleft pattern [$FOCALIZED\ XP + copula + relative\ clause$], with the relative pronoun ce and with a focalized constituent in object position (see discussion in footnote 1), which is almost absent in MR, or at least reduced to a single pattern, is very well represented in the OR corpus.

The examples under (6) show that in OR the patterns are more diversified than in MR. On the one hand, the focalized XP may occur in subject position, on the other hand, the relative clause may have other connectors besides ce [see (6a,c,d)]:

```
(6) a. Că TU ești [cinre me-au trasu that you are who CL.ACC.1sG=AUX.have.2sG pull.pple de zgău] (...) (ph.1500–1510, 103, 17<sup>r</sup>) of belly 'It is you who pulled me through'
```

b. Ştia-l el că ACESTA ce derept era know.imp.3sg=cl.acc.3sg he what that this was milosteniia sedea pre lîngă înfrunsețatele usi ale sit.IMP.3sG embelished mercy.DEF around besearecilor (CP.1570, 29) churches

'He knew that it was this one who would sit around the embellished doors of the churches'

- c. Că (...) ACELA iaste [**de**-l măreaște Domnul] (CC².1581, 556) that that is who=CL.ACC.3SG praises Lord.DEF 'That that is the one who is praised by God'
- iaste care el scris $(cc^2.1581, 590)$ ACESTA amu e that this is which by him now is written 'That this is the one which was written about'

The examples under (7a,b) have focalized proper names, numerals (7c), and complex DP (7d) extracted from subject position. Such constructions can be found in MR only with an antecedent relative clause.

```
(7) a. MIHEA PROROC iaste [de-au prorocit de Mihea prophet is who=AUX.have.2SG predict.PPLE of aceasta] (CC<sup>2</sup>.1581, 562) this.F.ACC
```

'It is Mihea the prophet who predicted this'

b. PAVEL iaste [carile mărturisește adevărata a Domnului Pavel is who confesses true AL.GEN Lord făgadă] (...) (CD.1698, 164) promise

'It is Paul who confesses the true promise of the Lord'

c. Doao era [**de** ținea ruda omenească]: dulceața și two were that keep.IMP.3sG kind human pleasure.DEF and scîrba. (cc².1581, 520) disgust.DEF

'There were two things that drove humankind: pleasure and disgust'

d. că SINGURĂ DREPTATEA iaste de-i face NUMAI which=CL.ACC.3PL makes that only alone justice is cinstit la norod]. $(AD.1722-5, 86, 78^{r})$ praised for people 'It is only justice that makes them praised by the people'

The corpus also revealed examples in which the focalized constituent is extracted from direct object (8a,b) or prepositional object (8c,d) positions; in these examples, too, the relative connector can be other than *ce*:

- (8)Şi acum, ACEASTA iaste care Doamne rog, is which and now this ask Lord.voc CL.ACC.2SG de la tine]! (BB.1688, 644) împărate], si care cer emperor.voc and which ask from you 'And now, it is this that I ask you, Lord, and that I ask from you'
 - b. Aceasta iaste [ce dzice Pavel apostol this is what says Paul disciple $S\check{A}_{SUBJ}$ CL.REFL.3SG socotească omul sîngur pre sine $(CazV.1643, 43^r)$ man.DEF by.himself on him 'It is this that Paul the disciple says that people should reflect upon'
 - c. ACEASTA iaste [care au zis Domnul] (BB.1688, 76) this is what AUX.have.2SG say.PPLE Lord.DEF 'It is this what the Lord said'
 - d. Deaci ACEA iaste [de grăim de stepena întăia that of what speak first master AL.GEN (Prav.1581, 167, 220°) tatălui...l father.GEN.DEF 'So it is this what we say about the first master of our father'

2.3. Reversed pseudo-clefts

In the OR corpus, the most frequent are the examples in which the focalization of a constituent employs the reversed clefting strategy, as in (4b): $[FOCALIZED\ XP + copula + antecedent + relative\ clause])$. As far as the XP under focus is concerned, the corpus analysis revealed the frequency of personal pronouns (9a-c), demonstratives (9d-f) and pro-phrase demonstratives (9g), indefinites (9h), and proper nouns (10), all of them well represented in all stages of the OR.

- (9) a. Etă, EU sîntu **cela** [**ce** căutați]! (CB.1559–60, 109) look I am the.one that look.PRES.2PL 'Look, it is me the one that you are looking for'
 - b. Impărate Alexandre, EU sîmt astăzi ce emperor.VOC Alexander.voc I am today the.one that de leul] (a.1620, 187) fugi lion.DEF run.IMP.3sG of

'Emperor Alexander, it is me who ran away from the lion'

c. EL iaste cela [ce ispitește inimile și he is the.one that tempts hearts.DEF and rărunchii] (AD.1722-5, 92, 82°) kidneys.DEF 'It is him who tempts your guts'

împărăția

răsărita

şi

d. Acesta

cela

ce

```
rule.1MP.3sG
          this
                        the.one that
                                                       East.DEF
                                                                  and
          apusul].
                      (FD.1592-604, 483^{r})
          West.DEF
          'This is the one who ruled the world'
                      iaste ACESTA cela
                                                                    de
                 nu
                                                 ce
                                                       ședea
                             this
                                       the.one
                                                 that
                                                       sit.IMP.3SG
          IMP
                 not
                     is
                                                                    and
                         (CazV.1643, 168<sup>r</sup>)
          cerea]?
          beg.IMP.3sG
          'Isn't he the one who sit there and begged?'
          Cela
                                poruncile mele și
                                                                      păzește
                          are
                                                         le
          the.one who has
                               rules.DEF
                                           my
                                                                      keeps
                                                   and
                                                         CL.ACC.3PL
                               ACELA iaste
                                                         ce
          pre
                 iale,
                                              cela
                                        is
                                               the.one
                                                        who
                 them.F.ACC
                               that
                                                               CL.ACC.1SG
          iubește] (AD.1722-5, 79, 71^{v})
          loves
          'It is the one who keeps my rules that loves me'
                ACEASTA iaste aceia
                                         ce
                                                       prorocul
          and
                this
                            is
                                   that
                                          what
                                                 says
                                                       prophet.DEF
          [lioI
                (AD.1722-5, 219, 200^{\circ})
          Ioil
          'And it is this what says Ioil the prophet'
                              iaste cela [ce
                                                                   ALTUL √
       h. că
                 ALTUL
                                                  samănă],
                                                            și
                another.one
                                     that that
                                                 seeds
                                                                   another
          that
                             is
                                                            and
                       seaceră] (CazV.1643, 160<sup>r</sup>)
                 ce
                that harvests
          'It is one who seeds and it is another one who harvests'
(10)
       a. SAVL
                            acesta [ce
                                                          uciderea
                                                                       lui] (CB.1559–60, 81)
                      era
                                           vrea
          Savl.nom
                      was
                            this
                                    that want.IMP.3SG
                                                          killing.DEF
                                                                       his
          'It was Savl the one who wanted him killed'
       b. SAVEL
                       era
                             cela
                                   ce
                                          vrea
                                                         uciderii
                                                                      lui]
                                                                            (CP.1570, 81)
          Savel.NOM was
                             that that want.IMP.3sG
                                                         killing.DAT
                                                                      his
          'It was Savel the one who wanted him killed'
       c. Că
              Dumnezău iaste Cel
                                                     zdrobeaște războaiele] (BB.1688, 632)
                                               ce
                                                     crushes
          for
              Lord
                                              that
                                                                  wars.DEF
          'It is God who breaks the wars'
       d. Duse-se
                                                                         jidovilor
                                    omul
                                               și
                                                     vestiia
          go.ps.3sg=cl.refl.3sg
                                    man.DEF
                                               and
                                                     announce.IMP.3SG Jews.DAT
                                               l-au
          că
                 Issus
                        iaste Cela
                                         ce
          that Jesus
                        is
                               the.one
                                        that
                                               CL.ACC.3SG=AUX.have.3SG
          făcut
                       pre
                                   sănătos] (BB.1688, 816)
          make.PPLE DOM he healthy
          'The man went to announce the jews that it was Jesus the one who made him well'
       e. IIsus iaste cela
                                  ce
                                         m-au
                                                                      făcut
                                        CL.ACC.1sG=AUX.have.3sG
                         the.one that
                                                                     make.PPLE
          Jesus
          sănătos] (CazV.1643, 151°)
          healthy
          'It was Jesus the one who made me well'
```

Cel f. Cunoașteți dară că Domnul iaste therefore that Lord.DEF know.pres.2pl is the.one ce turburat] (BB.1688, 371) AUX.have.3sG trouble.PPLE that 'You know that it is the Lord the one who has been disturbed' VODĂ au

ALEXANDRU ce Alexander the.ruler AUX.have.3sG be.PPLE the.one that bătut Pătru vodă s-au cu CL.REFL.3SG=AUX.have.3SG fight.PPLE with Pătru the.ruler (AU.1700, 53)Aron Aron

'It was Alexander the ruler who fought Petru Aron the ruler.'

2.4. Hybrid pseudo-clefts

spuiu

tell.pres.1sg

A hybrid variant of cleft construction can be found in the examples under (11). The pattern amalgamates two structures: the prototypical cleft (with overt subject instead of an expletive) and the reversed pseudocleft. The focalized constituent is included in a predication of identification, which makes difficult the reconstruction of its relationship to the extraction position. As an argument for the amalgamated structure, see the hesitation regarding the person agreement in (11a) vs. (11b). The schema proposed for these constructions is [overt subject + copula + FOCALIZED XP + antecedent + relative clause]). Though the prototypical patterns are altered, these examples should also be considered clefts, due to the emphatic value of the construction.

(11)a. Eu (CB.1559-60, 93)sîntu Isus. cela ce-l gonești] tu **Jesus** the.one that=CL.ACC.3sG banish am you 'I am Jesus, the one that you banish b. Eu sînt Isus NAZARINEANUL, cela ce tu I from.Nazareth am Jesus the.one that you gonești] (CP.1570, 93) mă banish CL.ACC.1SG 'I am Jesus from Nazareth, the one that you banish' Acela iaste Moisi cela ce zise fiilor lu that the.one that say.PS.3SG Moses sons.DAT LUI.GEN **Israil** (CB.1559-60,75)Israel 'It is Moses the one who told Israels sons' Hristos. Isus cela d. Acesta iaste ce-l this is Christ Jesus the.one that=CL.ACC.3sG (CB.1559-60, 181) spuiu voao tell.pres.1sg I you.DAT.2PL 'It is Jesus Christ the one that I am telling you about' Isus Hristos ce Acela iaste eu that is **Iesus** Christ that he.ACC=CL.ACC.3sG I

(CP.1570, 181)

'It is Jesus Christ the one that I am telling you about' f. acela iaste ILIE [cela [ce va să that is Elias the.one that AUX.want.3SG $S\check{A}_{SUBJ}$

voao

you.dat.2pl

```
(cc^2.1581, 585)
   vie]]
    come.subj.3sg
    'It is Elias the one who will come'
                 iaste
                        PÎINEA
                                                                dat
                                      carea
   aceasta
    this.NOM.F
                         bread.DEF
                                     which
                                               AUX.have.3sG
                                                               give.PPLE
                          vă
                                                    (po.1582, 232)
    voao
                                        hrăni]
                                        feed.INF
    you.dat.2pl
                   \mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{INF}}
                          CL.ACC.2PL
    'It is this the bread which they gave you to feed with'
h. Acesta
            iaste
                                [cel
                    OMUL
                                          ce
                                                        oamini
                                                                      şi
                                                                             despre
   this
             is
                    man.DEF
                                the.one
                                          that
                                                        people.ACC
                                                                            about
                                                 to
                                                                      and
    Leage
                             locul
                                                         tutuindenea
            și
                   spre
                                          acesta
                                                  toti,
   law
                  towards place.DEF
                                          this
                                                  all
                                                         everywhere
            and
                   (CB.1559-60, 230)
   învăță]] (...)
    teach.ps.3sG
    'It is this the man who used to preach to everybody and everywhere'
                  iaste
                          OMUL
                                      ACELA
                                                ce
                                                      spre
                                                             oameni
                                                                           și
    that
          this
                          man.DEF
                                      that
                                                that
                                                      to
                                                             people.ACC
            Leage
                                                           toți,
   spre
                                      locul
                                                                  tutindirea
                    și
                           spre
                                                  acesta
   about law
                     and
                           towards
                                      place.DEF
                                                  this
                                                           all
                                                                  everywhere
```

'It is this that man who teaches the law to everybody and everywhere'

(CP.1570, 230)

învață] (...)

teaches

Example (12) is interesting due to the different organization of the constituents in the structure (the copula is in front of the focalized XP), due to the negation and to the semantic nature of the focalized XP (it is an indefinite quantifier embedded in a phrase with empty head). In the same time, the example is peculiar because the empty head of the DP makes it difficult to be framed either into the prototypical pseudo-cleft pattern (12a) or into the reversed pseudo-cleft pattern (with an antecedent relative clause) (12a'):

```
(12)
          Den
                                               MULTE √
                                                           care
                  aceastea toate,
                                     nu-s
           form
                  these
                             all
                                                           which
                                     not=are
                                               many
           n-au
                                                 limba
                                                            rumînească
                                                                          den
                                ieșit
                                             în
                                                 language Romanian
           not=AUX.have.3sG
                                arise.PPLE
                                            in
                                           grecești]. (PO.1582, Prefață, 3<sup>r</sup>)
                           sîrbesti
                                     si
           those
                  books
                           Serbian
                                     and
                                           Greek
           'Out of all these, there are not many those that came up in Romanian from Serbian and
           Greek'
       a'. Den
                  aceastea
                                                         [CĂRŢI]
                                                                    [cele]
                            toate.
                                     nu-s
                                               MULTE
                                                         books
           from
                  these
                             all
                                                                    those
                                     not=are
                                               many
           care
                                                         limba
                                                                     rumânească
                   n-au
                                         iesit
           which
                   not=AUX.have.3sG
                                                     in
                                                          language
                                         arise.PPLE
                                                                     Romanian
                                                              (PO.1582, Prefață, 3<sup>r</sup>)
           den
                  ceale
                                                   grecești].
                          cărți
                                  sîrbeşti
                                             şi
           from
                  those
                          books
                                  Serbian
                                           and
                                                  Greek
           'Out of all these, there are not many books those that came up in Romanian from Serbian
           and Greek'
```

Other constructions may be also included into the category of hybrid clefts: interrogative clauses as in example (13), negative constructions like (14a,b), where the focalized element is a covert negative quantifier,

or reduced relative clauses like (15a) and elliptical constructions as in (15b); they all share the emphasis, the focalization of a constituent and its placement into a structure that 'breaks' the canonical organization of the sentence.

- (13) Mirară-se ceia ce auzia grăiia: amaze.PS.3PL=CL.REFL.3PL all those that hear.IMP.3PL and SAY.IMP.3PL cela besearecile ACESTA iaste ce spărgea if break.IMP.3sG this is the.one that churches.DEF not acesta...]? (CP.1570, 97) Ierusalim ceia ce meniia numele that foredoom.IMP.3PL name.DEF Ierusalem those this 'All who heard (this) were amazed and they said: isn't it this the one who used to break the churches in Jerusalem and that was meant to bear this name?'
- a. Nu (14)iaste √ să rîdice sicriiul lui coffin.DEF is in.order.to $S\check{A}_{SUBJ}$ lift.subj.3sg not LUI.GEN (BB.1688, 298) Dumnezău], numai levitii God without only Levites.DEF 'There is no one but the Levites who are supposed to lift God's coffin'
 - iaste √ cel știe ce să nici √ that way.DEF not is that know.subj.3sg her SA_{SUBI} nor cel ce pomenească cărarea ei] (BB.1688, 635)the.one that SĂ_{SUBJ} mention.subj.3sg path.def 'There is no one but him that is supposed to know it's way or mention it's path'
- (15)Petru cercetîndu deștenge toți towards be.ps.3sG Peter ask.GER go.INF everybody.ACC \mathbf{A}_{INF} svintii ceia ce viia întru Lida (CP.1570, 100) those who live.IMP.3PL 'It was Peter who asked them all to go to the saints that lived in Lida'
 - b. Era FIIUL LUI **cela** $\sqrt{}$ mai marele la sat. (CC².1581, 12) be.IMP.3SG son.DEF his that more great.DEF at village 'It was his son who was the greatest in the village'

3. Conclusions

The analysis of the corpus showed that, unlike MR, the cleft sentences patterns are more diverse in OR, both in terms of the structure organization and in terms of the focalizing procedures. The absence in the OR corpus of basic pseudo-clefts (much frequent in MR as compared to the reversed ones) seems to confirm the intuition that the pseudo-cleft pattern in MR is based on a French or Italian model and that it is relatively recent. On the other hand, the variety of these constructions (including the hybrid clefts, which may be influenced by some foreign models) show that the cleft constructions are not accidental in OR, and that they were a viable strategy for the focalization of a constituent.

Bibliography

A. Corpus

- A.1620 = Alexandria, in Zgraon, Fl. (ed.), Cele mai vechi cărți populare în literatura română, vol. 11, Fundația Națională pentru Știință și Artă, București, 2006.
- AD.1722–5 = Antim Ivireanul, *Didahii*, in Antim Ivireanul, *Opere*, ed. G. Ştrempel, Editura Minerva, Bucureşti, 1972, p. 1–238.
- AU.1700 = Axinte Uricariul, *Cronica paralelă a Țării Românești și a Moldovei*, ediție critică și studiu introductiv de Gabriel Ştrempel, Editura Minerva, București, 1993.

BB. 1688 = Biblia adecă Dumnezeiasca Scriptură a Vechiului și Noului Testament, tipărită întîia oară la 1688 în timpul lui Şerban Vodă Cantacuzino, Domnul Țării Românești, Editura Institutului Biblic, București, 1977.

CazV.1643 = Varlaam, Cazania, ed. J. Byck, Fundația Regală pentru Literatură și Artă, București, 1943, p. 1–506.

CB.1559–60 = *Codicele Bratul*, ed. Al. Gafton, [online].

cc².1581 = Coresi, Cartea cu învățătură, ed. S. Pușcariu & Al. Procopovici, Atelierele Grafice Socec, București, 1914.

CD.1698 = Dimitrie Cantemir, *Divanul*, in D. Cantemir, *Opere complete*, I, *Divanul*, ed. V. Cîndea, Editura Academiei RSR, Bucureşti, 1974, p. 103–405.

CP.1570 = Coresi, *Psaltirea slavo-română (1577) în comparație cu psaltirile coresiene din 1570 și din 1589*, ed. S. Toma, Editura Academiei RSR, București, 1976, p. 35–662.

FD.1592-604 = Floarea darurilor, in Roman Moraru, Al. (ed.) (1996). Cele mai vechi cărți populare în literatura română, 1, Editura Minerva, București, p. 119-182.

PH.1500-1510 = Psaltirea Hurmuzaki, ed. I. Gheție & M. Teodorescu, Editura Academiei Române, București, 2005.

PO.1582 = Palia de la Orăștie, ed. V. Pamfil, Editura Academiei RSR, București, 1968.

Prav.1581 = Pravila ritorului Lucaci, ed. I. Rizescu, Editura Academiei RSR, București, 1971, p. 161–183.

B. References

Akmajian, A. (1970). On Deriving Cleft Sentences from Pseudo-Cleft Sentences, in "Linguistic Inquiry", vol. 1, iss. 2, p. 149–168, [online].

Boškovic, Ž. (1997). Pseudoclefts, in "Studia Linguistica", vol. 51, iss. 3, p. 235–277, Crossref.

Collins, P. (1991). Clefts and Pseudo-Cleft Constructions in English, Routledge, London & New York.

Declerck, R. (1984). The pragmatics of it-clefts and WH-clefts, in "Lingua", vol. 64, iss. 4, p. 251–289, Crossref.

Declerck, R. (1988). Studies in Copular Sentences, Clefts and Pseudo-clefts, Foris Publications, Holland/USA, Crossref.

Gheorghe, M. (2004). Propoziția relativă, Editura Paralela 45, Pitești.

Gundel, J.K. (1977). Where do cleft sentences come from?, in "Language", vol. 53, iss. 3, p. 543-559, Crossref.

Halliday, M.A.K. (1985). An Introduction to Functional Grammar, Edward Arnold, London.

Hartmann, K. & Tonjes V. (eds) (2013). Cleft Structures, John Benjamins Publishing Company, Amsterdam, Crossref.

Hedberg, N. (2013). Multiple focus and cleft sentences, in Hartmann & Tonjes (2013), p. 227–250, Crossref.

Higgins, F.R. (1979). The Pseudo-cleft Construction in English, Garland Publishing, New York, Crossref.

Huddlestone, R., Pullum, G.K. et al. (2002). The Cambridge Grammar of the English Language, Cambridge University Press. Iatridou, S. & Varlokosta, S. (1998). Pseudoclefts crosslinguistically, in "Natural Language Semantics", vol. 6, iss. 1, p. 3–28, Crossref.

Jespersen, O. (1937/1984). Analytic Syntax, The University of Chicago Press.

Lambrecht, K. (2001). A Framework for the Analysis of Cleft Constructions, in "Linguistics", vol. 39, iss. 3, p. 463–516, Crossref. Pană Dindelegan, G. (ed.) (2013). The Grammar of Romanian, Oxford University Press.

Prince, E. F. (1978). A comparison of Wh-clefts and it-clefts in discourse, in "Language", vol. 54, iss. 4, p. 883–906, Crossref.

Prince, E. F. (1981). *Toward a taxonomy of given-new information*, in Cole, P. (ed.), *Radical Pragmatics*, Academic Press, New York, p. 223–255.

Reeve, M. (2011). The syntactic structure of English clefts, in "Lingua", vol. 121, iss. 2, p. 142-71, Crossref.

Reeve, M. (2012). Clefts and their Relatives, John Benjamins Publishing Company, Amsterdam, Crossref.

Şerbănescu, A. (1996). *Construcții scindate*, in "Limba Română", vol. 35, iss. 1, p. 3–10.