

Index

A

Abstract argumentation, 627–633
admissible sets of arguments, 628
labelling arguments, 631–633
Abstractors, 312
Abusive *ad hominem*, 165, 173, 581
Academic domain, 586
Accent, 80
Acceptability, 188–189
Accident, 81, 122, 168
Ad baculum, 169
Ad consequentiam, 170
Addressivity, 414
Adequate, 195
Ad fallacy, 25, 142, 168
Adherence, 262
Ad ignorantiam, 165
Adjudication, 558
Ad misericordiam, 169
Ad populum, 169
Ad verecundiam, 165
Affirming the consequent, 171, 547
Amphiboly (fallacy) 79, 548
Analytical research, 11, 522
Analytic argument, 209–212, 225–227
Analytic function, 529
Analytic overview, 522, 536
Answerer, 56, 62–65, 72–74, 78
Antagonist, 520, 525, 537, 546
Araucaria system, 656
Arbiter, 330
Argument, 375–376, 387, 390, 393, 398–400,
 402–404, 429–430, 435, 438, 447, 467
 acceptability, 375, 400, 402–403
 analysis, 375, 388, 393, 398
 evaluation, 390
 field, 213–214, 245, 448
 form, 148, 151, 157
 frame, 467

from effect to effect, 430
from example, 429
from external circumstances, 88
from position to know, 404
from sign, 19, 430
Argumentation, 1, 3–7, 18–21, 272–284, 616
 artificial intelligence, 616
 based on coexistential relation, 277
 based on combination of sequential and
 coexistential relations, 279
 based on contradictions, 273
 based on (perfect or partial) identity, 274
 based on relation part-whole, 273
 based on sequential relation, 276
 based on structure of reality, 275
 based on transitivity, 274
 by comparison, 275
 by example, 280
 by illustration, 280
 by model, 281
 by the probable, 275
 by/from analogy, 281, 429
 claiming a logical relation, 273–274
 claiming a mathematical relation, 273–274
 establishing structure of reality, 279
 from authority, 278
 process, 3, 6
 product, 3, 6
Argumentation dialogues, 642–643, 645
 in AI and law, 643
 in multi-agent systems, 645
Argument(ation) scheme, 19–21, 270,
 376, 381, 403–407, 409, 411,
 537, 640–642
Argumentation stage, 529–530
Argument(ation) structure, 21–24, 399–403,
 536–537, 633–635, 637–638
 arguments and classical logic, 637
 combining support and attack, 638

Argument(ation) structure (*cont.*)
 conclusive force, 634–636
 premise-conclusion model, 399
prima facie assumptions, 636–637
 specificity, 633–634
 standard model, 400
 Toulmin model, 400

Argumentation support software, 655, 657, 659
 argument diagramming, 656–658
 argument evaluation, 660–661
 integration of rules and argument schemes, 659–660

Argumentational integrity, 546

Argumentational strategy, 556

Argumentative activity type, 562, 585

Argumentative means and criticism, 560

Argumentative orientation, 492

Argumentative question, 499

Argumentative strategy, 556

Argumentative theory (of human reasoning) 695–698

Argumentative turn in cognitive psychology, 678, 695–698

Argument mark-up language (AML) 656

Argument scheme rule, 544, 547, 549, 552

Argument strength, probability and other quantitative approaches, 662–663

Argumentum ad baculum, 546

Argumentum ad consequentiam, 548

Argumentum ad hominem, 164–165, 173–174, 431, 546, 564–565

Argumentum ad ignorantiam, 165, 546, 548

Argumentum ad misericordiam, 546

Argumentum ad populum, 431, 546, 548

Argumentum ad verecundiam, 165, 172–173, 546, 548, 552, 564

Argumentum model (of topics) 505

Arrangement, 60, 72, 117, 126–127

Artificial intelligence (AI) 41, 44, 616

Assertion, 317, 326, 328

Assertive, 531

Assertive move, 327

Associated conditional, 111, 537

Association, 270

Atomic sentence, 146

Attitude change, 459

Audience, 260, 262–264, 286, 414, 436, 444, 449

Audience demand, 554

Authoritative argumentation, 236

Authority argument, 564, 566

Axiom, 349

B
 Backing, 219, 223, 241
 Balance-of-consideration argument, 409
 Balk, 362
 Bandwagon argument, 169
 Bayesian epistemology, 662
 Begging the question, 84, 168, 172, 547
 Belvedere system, 657
 Bias *ad hominem*, 165
 Big Rhetoric, 34, 446–447
 Blanket assumption of existential import, 98, 101
 Burden of proof, 545–546, 549, 661
 evading the burden of proof, 546–547, 550
 shifting the burden of proof, 546

C
 Canons of rhetoric, 60, 117, 128
 Case studies, 665
 Case-based reasoning, 391, 650–653
 Categorical assertion, 331
 Categorical statement, 97, 98, 101
 Categorically provisoed assertion, 331
 Causal argumentation/reasoning, 234, 430, 537–538, 547
 Cautious assertion, 331
 Cautiously provisoed assertion, 332
 Chain of arguments, 341
 Challenger, 213, 216, 248
 Circle game, 356
 Circular reasoning, 168, 172, 547
 Circumstantial *ad hominem*, 165
 Civility, 694
 Claim, 14, 212, 216, 247
 Classical dialectic, 15, 27, 53–58, 61–94
 Classical dialogue game, 320
 Classical rhetoric, 23, 27, 31, 37, 58–61
 Code of conduct (for reasonable discussants) 541
 Cogency-centred empirical research, 522
 Collection, 56
 Commissive, 531–532
 Commitment, 335, 350–351, 363
 to assertions, 364
 to concessions, 364
 rules, 350–351
 Common *topoi*, 120, 126
 Communication studies and rhetoric, 43
 Communicative activity type, 557–558, 560, 565, 566
 Communicative domain, 557, 581
 Communicative rationality, 710

Communion-seeking, 558
Company, 176, 196
Comparison argumentation, 548
Competent judge, 313
Complex/compound statements, 311, 314–345
Composition, 59, 79, 91, 121, 170, 547, 549
Concession, 328, 335
Conciliatio, 557
Concluding Rule, 544, 548
Concluding stage, 529–531
Concluding strategy, 556
Conclusion, 14, 145, 151, 154, 156, 158, 181
Conditional, 93, 110, 147, 149, 229, 232, 315
Conditional relevance, 464
Conductive reasoning, 392, 409
Confirmationism, 330
Conflict of avowed opinions, 336
Confrontation stage, 529
Confrontational strategy, 579, 583
Conjunction, 110, 149, 153, 315
Connective, 491
Consequentiae, 153
Consequential inconsistency, 358
Consequent, 83, 93
Constructive dialogue game, 320
Constructive formal dialogue game, 322
Context, 167, 177, 538, 565
 discursive, 538
 intertextual, 538
 macro, 538, 565
 meso, 538
 micro, 538
Contextual criterion, 177
Contextual domain of relevance, 568
Contradicities, 70, 97, 102
Contra-position, 338
Contraries, 69, 97
Contrarium, 711
Controversy, 175–183, 684
Conventional criteria, 176, 181
Conventional validity, 182, 196, 527
Conventionalization of communicative activity
 type, 557
Convergent argument, 22, 380, 401
Conversation analysis, 463, 502
Convertible, 98
Convincingness, 580
Coordinative(ly compound) argumentation, 22,
 569
 complementary coordinative
 argumentation, 569
 cumulative coordinative argumentation,
 569
Correctness condition speech act, 524
Correctness, 179, 187
Counterattack, 337, 339
Counterconsiderations, 409
Counterexample, 151, 156–159
Counterinterpretation, 157
Countermodel, 157
Criteria, 213, 215
Critical discourse analysis, 678–683
Critical discussion, 521, 527–533
Critical linguistics, 681
Critical question(s) 19, 233, 381,
 404–405
Critical rationalism, 193
Critical thinking, 378, 394
Critical-rationalist philosophy of
 reasonableness, 593
Cultural keyword, 509–511

D

Dark-side commitments, 364
Data, 216–217, 230, 247–248
Debate, 433
Debate proposition, 14, 428
Declarative, 532
Declaring a standpoint sacrosanct, 552
De-dichotomization, 686
Deductibility, 155
Deductive argument, 428
Deductive move, 327
Deductive validity, 150, 152, 377, 390
Deductivism, 390
Deep disagreement, 6, 687, 739
Defeasible reasoning, 622–623,
 626–627
 deductive reasons, 624
 forms of argument defeat, 626
 induction, 625
 memory, 625
 origins, 622
 perception, 624–625
 statistical syllogism, 625
 undercutting and rebutting, 623
Definite description, 312
Definition, 71, 88, 91, 313
Deliberation, 406–407, 413, 558
Deliberative genre, 119, 123
Demonstrative (argument) 111
Denying an accepted starting point, 552
Denying an unexpressed premise, 546–547,
 552
Denying the antecedent, 171, 547

Deontic logic, 149
 Derailments of strategic manoeuvring, 563–567
 Derivationally valid, 151, 159
 Descriptive (empirical) dimension, 7–9, 35
 Descriptive standpoint/thesis, 7, 191
 Determiner, 331, 335
 Dialectic, 184, 302
 Dialectical clue, 568
 Dialectic(al) dimension, 520, 522, 587
 Dialectical profile, 367, 570
 Dialectical strength, 461
 Dialectical system, 348
 Dialectical tier, 385
 Dialectification, 526–527
 Dialogical clue, 569
 Dialogical model of argumentation, 499
 Dialogical shift, 375, 406
 Dialogical tableaux, 309
 Dialogically valid, 151, 159
 Dialogue, 265, 308, 317, 339, 349
 attitude, 339
 game, 309
 rule, 318, 350
 Dialogue type, 406–407, 409, 413, 560
 deliberation, 406–407, 413
 information-seeking, 406–407
 inquiry, 406–407
 negotiation, 406–407
 persuasion, 406–407, 410
 Dichotomization, 686
 Difference of maximal propositions (*differentia*) 92–93
 Difference of opinion, 2, 6, 8, 15, 24, 38, 520–521, 524
 Direct proof, 102, 104
 Directive, 531
 Discourse analysis, 503
 Discourse component of relevance, 568
 Discourse entity, 483
 Discursive context, 538
 Discussion *vs.* debate, 265
 Discussion strategy, 556
 Disjunction, 110, 147, 149, 316
 Dissociation, 271, 282, 287, 573
 Distinction, 363
 Distorting an unexpressed premise, 546–547
 Divergent argument, 380
 Division, 57, 80, 121, 170, 547, 549
 Double hierarchy argumentation, 279
 Doxa, 504
 Dynamic dialectics, 342–343

E
 Effectiveness (research) 456, 575
 Elaboration likelihood, 462
 Elementary rule, 338
 Elementary statement, 311
 Elementary truth table, 147
 Emic perspective, 526
 Emotion, 501
 Empirical criterion/criteria, 176–178
 Empirical logic, 388
 Empirical research, 11, 39, 521–522
 Empragmatic speech, 310
Endoxon, 63, 507
 Enthymeme, 17, 118–120, 443
 Entitlement-preserving argument, 398
 Enunciator, 495–496
 Epicheireme, 31, 125, 237
 Epistemic approach to fallacies, 175
 Epistemic dimension, 595–600
 Epistemic logic, 149
 Epistemological approach, 375, 394
 Epistemology, 211, 242
 Equivocation, 79, 548
 Eristic debate, 56, 66, 73
 Eristics, 406–407
 Ethical (ethotic) fallacy, 168
 Ethical (means of persuasion) 117, 125–126
 Ethos, 432, 503, 542, 546, 585
 Ethotic fallacy, 546
 Etic perspective, 526
 Euler diagram, 212
 European Predicament, 583
 Evaluation, 374–376, 381, 390, 393, 397–398, 409
 Evaluative function, 522
 Evaluative standpoint, 7
 Evidence, 661, 663
 burden of proof, 661
 inference to the best explanation, 663
 Examples, 118, 124–125
 Exhibiting genre, 119, 123
 Exigence, 444
 Existential statement, 318
 Explicitization procedure, 540
 Expressive, 532
 Expressive design, 454–455
 Extended theory, 552
 Extension, 98, 125, 130
 External *loci*, 88
 Externalization, 525–526
 Extrinsic difference, 93

F

Facts, 267, 275, 277, 279
Fallacy, 21–27, 39, 43, 76–85, 120–121, 163–175, 545–552
Fallacy of ambiguity, 168
Fallacy of relevance, 168
Fallacy (theory) 375, 380, 397, 408
False, 147, 156, 163
False analogy, 168, 548
Falsey presenting a premise as self-evident, 547, 552
Falsey presenting something as a common starting point, 547, 552
Falsificationism, 330
Field-independency, 232
Field-invariance, 213–214, 222, 246
Force, 213, 215
Form of expression, 80, 121
Formal, 302–303, 305–306, 334–336, 344
criterion, 334
dialectical system, 303, 306, 348
logical system, 302
Formal approach, 31, 33
Formal dialectic, 25–26, 32, 38, 42, 305–306, 336–337, 348
Formal validity, 207, 210, 224
Formally valid, 151, 153, 158
Four terms, 166
Free floating forms of reasoning, 234–235
Freedom Rule, 542, 545
Functional design, 454–455
Functionalization, 523–524
Fundamental move, 331
Fundamental norm, 338, 340

G

Game of inductive generalization, 349
General dialogue rule, 320, 322
constructive dialogue game, 320
constructive formal dialogue game, 322
General principle of communication, 538
General principle of interaction, 538
General soundness criterion, 544, 565
General term, 96–97
Genre of communicative activity, 557
Genres, 118–119, 121
Genus, 58, 71
Geometrical model of validity, 206–207
Gnostic criteria, 396
Good reasoning, 411
Greek game, 349
Ground, 215, 229

H

Hasty generalization, 548
Health domain, 581
Hermes system, 657
Heuristic function, 529
Higher-order conditions/rules, 346, 583
Historical controversy analysis, 678, 683–688
Historical-political analysis, 439
Hunch, 362

I

Ideal speech situation, 528
Ideas in themselves, 154
Identification procedure, 539
Identity condition speech act, 524
Idol, 163
Ignoratio elenchi, 77, 82–83, 166, 168–169, 546
Illative core, 384
Image, 484
Immediate consequence, 359
Immediately inconsistent, 359
Immunizing a standpoint against criticism, 552
Indeterminate statement, 178
Indirect argumentation, 575
Indirect proof, 102, 104
Individual constant, 318
Inductive argument, 429
Inference claim, 232, 412
Inference licence, 230
Inference procedure, 540
Inference rule, 250
Informal logic, 23, 33, 34, 41–42, 373–376, 381–387, 390–394, 399, 406, 410–413
argument diagramming methods, 399
Blair and Johnson's contributions, 381–387
conceptions of, 373–376
epistemological approaches, 394–399
fallacy theory, methods, and key concepts, 408–410
Finocchiaro's historical and empirical approach, 387–390
Govier's critical analysis, 390–394
Hitchcock's contributions, 410–413
movement, 376–381
Information-seeking, 406–407
Information-seeking dialogue, 326–329
Inherent interactional (perlocutionary) effect, 580
Initial move, 366
Initial situation, 560
Inquiry, 406–407

Institutional point, 558
 Institutional precondition, 562
Intellectio, 123–124
 Intension, 98
 Intermediate difference, 94
 Internal *loci*, 88
 Interpersonal argumentation, 463
 Interpersonal verification, 314
 Interpretation function, 157
 Interpretational counterexample, 157
 Interpretation, 157, 186
 Interrogative move, 327
 Intersubjective validity, 195, 577
 Intrinsic difference, 93
 Introduction by means of examples, 312
 Invalid, 156–157
 Invention, 60, 121, 123–126
Ipse dixisti! remark, 341
 Irrelevant argumentation, 546

J
 Judicial genre, 119, 123
 Justifying force, 190

L
 Language Use Rule, 544, 548
 Law of identity, 182
 Law of non contradiction, 182
 Legal domain, 582–583
Lekton, 106–107
 Linked argument, 22, 380, 400, 402
 Local discussion, 340
 Locality, 414
 Local thesis, 340
 Loci, 87–94, 269
Locus, 86, 88, 91, 506–507
Locus a genere, 90
Locus ex comparatione, 90
 Locution rules, 350
 Locutor, 495
 Logical axiom system, 159
 Logical calculus, 213
 Logical constant, 145–146, 153, 309, 314, 318
 Logical convention, 196
 Logical criteria, 176
 Logical fallacy, 166
 Logical (means of persuasion), 59,
 117–118, 125
 Logical minimum, 537
 Logical semi-convention, 196
 Logical type, 213, 245, 447

Logical validity, 150–151, 163, 182, 196
 Logician's conditionals, 359
 Logico-discursive operation, 489
 Logico-intellectual language problem, 194
 Logos, 236, 433, 546

M
 Macro level, 216
 Major term, 99
 Making an absolute of the success of the
 defense, 548, 552
 Many questions (fallacy), 84, 167–168, 172,
 547
 Material criterion, 335
 Material dialogue game, 321
 Material implication, 110
 Materially valid, 153
 Maximal proposition, 91–94
 Medical domain, 585–586
 Memorizing, 68, 128–129
 Message content, 460, 689
 Message structure, 459, 689
 Method of collection and division, 57–58
 Method of hypothesizing, 57
 Method of semantic tableaux, 149, 195
 Method of truth tables, 149, 195
 Methodological formulation, 182
 Methodological necessity, 182, 195
 Methodological statement, 177
 Micro argument, 233, 239
 Middle term, 99
 Minor term, 99
 Mixed conflict under complete opposition, 337
 Modal logic, 149
 Modal qualifier, 218, 241
 Modal terms, 213, 215
 Modality, 488, 510
 Mode of strategic manoeuvring, 566
 Model, 157
Modus (ponendo/tollendo) ponens, 113–114
 Modus ponens, 237
 More acceptable than, 188
 More precise than, 188
 Motivational argumentation, 236
 Multiple argumentation, 23, 537, 569
Münchhausen trilemma, 193
 Myself, 324

N
 Narrativity, 442
 Natural deduction, 160, 163

Natural language argument, 374–375, 377, 390, 393, 407, 409
Natural logic, 37, 43, 481
Nature, 324
Necessary premise, 72
Necessary signs, 118
Necessitation, 151–152
Negation, 147, 149, 317
Negotiation, 406–407
New rhetoric, 20, 31–32, 34, 40, 260
Non sequitur, 169
Non-analytic thinking, 261
Non-cause, 84, 121
Non-empirical statement, 180
Non-logical constant, 146
Non-logical fallacy, 166
Non-monotonic logic, 618–622
 default rules, 618–619
 impact of, 621–622
 logic programming, 619–620
 themes in, 620–621
Norm of reasonableness, 9–11, 690
Normative (critical) dimension, 9, 38
Normative pragmatic research program, 520–523
Normative pragmatics, 9, 454–459, 520
Normative thesis, 191
Notatio, 89

O
Objects of agreement for argumentation, 267, 270
Objective criterion, 177
Objectively better than, 195
Objective validity, 195
Obligation game, 349
Obligation to Defend Rule, 542
Officia oratoris, 60
One-sided message, 460
Opening stage, 529–530
Opening strategy, 556
Operator, 488
Opponent, 315, 318, 331
Orderly dialectics, 342
Ortholanguage, 310
Ostensive/deictic act, 311
Outcome of the discourse, 560

P
Pancritical rationalism, 193
Paralogism, 76
Partially convertible, 98
Particular vs. universal audience, 264, 266
Partium enumeratio, 88
Party, 340–341
Pathetical fallacy, 168, 546
Pathetical (means of persuasion) 118
Pathos, 432, 542
Perfect inference, 153
Performance, 129
Permissive persuasion dialogue, 364
Personal attack, 564
Personal sphere, 451
Persuasion dialogue, 354
Persuasion research, 16, 30, 44, 459–462, 678, 689
Persuasion, 384, 395, 406–407, 410, 428, 459
Persuasive vs. convincing, 264, 487
Persuasiveness, 580
Petitio principii, 168, 172, 547
Philosophical research, 9, 521
Plausibilist criteria, 396–397
Point of departure of argumentation, 12, 266–270, 571
Point of view, 14
Political domain, 583–585
Polyphony, 494–497, 500
Possible world, 156
Post hoc ergo propter hoc, 169
Practical research, 11–12, 522–523
Pragma-dialectical theory, 22, 26, 38, 43, 175, 520, 583
Pragmatic argumentation, 276
Pragmatic clue, 569
Pragmatic concept of validity, 150, 344
Pragmatic dimension, 588–589
Pragmatic optimum, 537, 547
Pragmatics, 9, 506
Precision, 179
Precization, 186–187, 195
Predicables, 69
Predicate, 97, 99
Predicate logic, 149
Predicator rules, 312
Predicator, 311
Premise, 145, 154, 156
Premise-conclusion model, 399
Premises relating to preferable, 267
Premises relating to real, 267
Prescriptive standpoint, 7
Presentational device, 554
Presentational transformation, 537
Presumption, 267, 402
Presupposition, 167
Primitive definition, 349

Principal objective of theoretical logic, 194
 Principle of conditionalization, 111
 Principle of (moderate) charity, 392
 Principle of Reasonableness, 563
 Principle of (verbal) externalization of dialectics, 340
*Pro-*aut-contra* survey*, 189
Probabilities, 118
 Problematology, 719
 Problem-solving validity, 195, 527, 545, 592
 Procedurist, 713
*Pro-*et-contra* survey*, 189
 Profile of dialogue, 366–367, 570
 Proof, 102, 111
 Property, 71
 Proponent, 318, 320, 331
 Proposition, 2–3, 107–111, 125, 147, 154, 338
 Propositional constants, 146
 Proposition(al content) 2
 Propositional logic, 146, 148, 151
 Propositional question, 327
 Prosbatic criteria, 396
 Protagonist, 537
Protasis, 63
 Protective defence, 339
 Public sphere, 450, 453
 Purpose (of making a statement) 177

Q
 Quality notion, 690
 Quasi-logical argumentation, 272–275
 Questioner, 55, 62, 79

R
 Radical argumentativism, 37, 43, 490–494
Ratio cognoscendi, 444
Ratio essendi, 444
 Rational judge, 5, 40, 259
 Real disagreement, 187–188
 Realistic dialectics, 340
 Reason, 13, 18, 22
 Reasonable judge, 5–7, 313
 Reasonable(ness) 9–10, 259, 518, 563

- anthropological perspective, 9
- critical perspective, 9
- geometrical perspective, 9–10

 Reasoning from analogy, 235
 Reasoning from authority, 235
 Reasoning from cause to effect, 235
 Reasoning from circumstantial evidence to hypothesis, 235

Reasoning from comparison, 235
 Reasoning from criteria to a verbal classification, 235
 Reasoning from definition to characteristics, 235
 Reasoning from example to a descriptive generalization, 235
 Reasoning from sign to unobserved event, 235
 Rebuttal, 218, 243
 Reconstruction, 534–539
 Reconstruction transformation, 535
Reductio ad absurdum/impossibile, 54, 84, 94, 102, 160, 431
 Reflection-minded practical research, 523
 Refutation, 55, 76
 Relational respect of relevance, 568
 Relevance, 168, 191, 381–382, 385, 400–401, 415, 568

- analytic relevance, 568
- evaluative relevance, 568
- interpretive relevance, 568

 Relevance, acceptability, sufficiency (RAS) criteria, 381–382, 384, 393
 Relevance Rule, 542
 Representation, 485
 Research program, 9–10, 36
 Respondent, 331, 350
 Resolution-oriented analysis, 522
 Responsibilist criteria, 396
 Rewarding dialectics, 341–342
 Rhetoric, 7
Rhetorica ad Alexandrum, 61, 236
 Rhetorical analogue of dialectical aim, 554
 Rhetorical approach, 395, 413–416
 Rhetorical criticism, 426, 439
 Rhetorical dimension, 553, 555
 Rhetorical situation, 445
 Rhetorical theory, 443, 447
 Rigorous persuasion dialogue, 364
 Room 5 system, 657–658
 Rule for critical discussion, 539–552, 545, 564–565, 577
 Rule of communication, 538
 Rule-based reasoning, 647–650
 Rules of conversion, 98, 104
 Rules of inference, 231

S
 Schematization, 487
 Scientific controversy, 387
 Scientific dialectics, 722
 Second order condition, 541

Secundum quid, 82, 168, 548
Self-deliberation, 265
Semantic block, 497–498
Semantic concept of validity, 150
Semantics, 489–490
Semantic tableau, 309
Semi-conventional principle, 196
Semi-conventional validity, 196
Sentence, 145
Sentence form, 148
Sentential constant, 146
Serial argument, 22, 380
Side payment, 328
Sign triangle, 186
Signs, 107–109, 118
Simple (pure) conflict, 338
Simply valid, 154
Single argumentation, 574
Situational counterexample, 156
Situationally valid, 159
Slippery slope, 170, 548
Social and cultural critique, 447
Socialization, 524–525
Socratic refutation debate, 55–56
Solution, 75, 84
Sophism, 163
Sophistical refutation, 73, 78
Soundness, 10, 29, 31
Soundness criteria, 566
 general soundness criteria, 566
 specific soundness criteria, 566
Special topoi, 120
Specific soundness criteria, 566
Speech act, 464, 518, 523, 538
Speech event, 558
Sphere of argumentation, 447–453
Stage, 340
Standard model, 400
Standard of reasonableness, 690 *See also* Norm of reasonableness
Standard theory, 552
Standard Treatment, 25, 142, 166–175
Standoffs at force five, 687
Standpoint, 2, 4–5, 7, 13–16, 21, 31, 542
Standpoint Rule, 542
Starting point, 560
Starting Point Rule, 543, 547
Stasis, 432
Stasis/status theory, 121, 124
Status conjecturalis, 124
Status definitionis, 124
Status qualitatis, 125
Status translationis, 125
Stereotype, 503
Stoc-issue, 432
Strategic maneuvering, 552–557
Strategic maneuvering triangle, 554
Straw man, 170, 550
Strictly constructive dialogue game, 320
Strong distinction, 333
Structural rules, 350
Stylistics, 447
Sub-discussion, 540
Subjective thought, 154
Subject, 87, 96
Subordinative(ly compound) argumentation, 23, 537
Substantial argument, 209–212
Substantive argumentation, 236–237
Substitutional counterexample, 157, 158
Sufficiency, 381–383, 385
Syllogismos, 94
Syllogistic, 27, 41, 94–105
Symptomatic argumentation, 547
Syntactic concepts of validity, 150, 159–163
System H, 349–352
System of classical rhetoric, 121–123, 128
Systematic dialectics, 340

T
Tasks of the speaker, 60, 117, 121
Technical (means of persuasion) 117
Technical sphere, 451
Ten Commandments, 541
Tenability, 189
Tense logic, 149
Term, 311
Testing procedure, 540
Thema, 112
Theoretical research, 7, 39–40, 521
Theory of argumentation in the language, 497–498
Theory of inquiry, 446
Thesis, 14, 309, 322, 330, 336
Thetical reason, 708
Third order condition, 541
Thoroughgoing dialectics, 342
Topical potential, 554
Topics, 505
Topos, 20, 68–72, 120–121, 493
Toulmin model, 31–32, 35, 42
Trivium, 131
True, 147
Truth, 267, 377, 382, 385, 395
Truth preservation, 231

Truth-preserving argument, 398

Truth table, 147, 149

Truth-value, 146

Tu quoque, 165

Turn-taking, 464

Two-sided message, 461

Type of argumentation, 19–21, 24

U

Unclearness fallacy, 548

Undemonstrated arguments, 112–113

Unexpressed premise/standpoint, 17–18, 20, 537

Unexpressed premise rule, 543, 546

Unexpressed standpoint, 546

Universal context, 179

Universal statement, 317–318

Usage declarative, 532

V

Valid, 148, 153

Valid as for now, 154

Validity, 17, 26, 40, 42, 99, 102–103, 142, 144,

148, 150–163, 206–210, 222, 245

logical validity, 17, 26, 42, 150

pragmatic validity, 40

Validity Rule, 543, 547

Value hierarchies, 268

Values, 268

Values and audiences, 654–655

Variable, 148, 157

Verbal disagreement, 188

Verbal reasoning, 234

W

Warrant, 216–217, 222–223, 229–230, 240,

250, 398, 400, 401, 403, 410–411

Weak distinction, 332

Wh-question, 327

Why-Because system with questions, 349

Winning rule, 320, 322

Winning strategy, 344

Woods-Walton approach, 175, 355–358

Woods-Walton dialogue-segment, 357

Wording, 128