

# DIACHRONIC VARIATION WITH ROMANIAN (PSEUDO)-PARTITIVES

MIHAELA TĂNASE-DOGARU, CAMELIA UȘURELU<sup>1</sup>

**Abstract.** The paper discusses partitive and pseudo-partitive constructions in Old and Modern Romanian. The main claim is that Old Romanian featured a partitive preposition *de* separating two fully-fledged DPs. The corresponding partitive structure in Modern Romanian employs (mainly) two partitive prepositions *din* ‘of-in’ and *dintre* ‘of-among’, the former partitive preposition ‘de’ being reserved for pseudo-partitive constructions consisting of a single DP structure. There is one remnant *de* partitive construction – the possessive partitive (Cornilescu 2006). The paper claims that there is one more remnant *de* partitive in Modern Romanian – the demonstrative partitive.

**Keywords:** partitive, pseudo-partitive, diachronic variation.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The paper makes two claims. Firstly, there are two structures available with Romanian (pseudo)partitives, which, following Tănase-Dogaru (2011, 2012), will be dubbed the Double-DP structure and the Single-DP structure. While Old Romanian featured the Double-DP structure with partitive *de*, Modern Romanian employs the Double-DP structure with the partitive prepositions *din* ‘of-in’ and *dintre* ‘of-among’. Secondly, the Single-DP structure is seen as reserved in Modern Romanian for pseudopartitive constructions (Tănase-Dogaru 2012); There are two remnant *de* Double-DP constructions in Modern Romanian: the possessive partitive (Cornilescu 2006) and what we call the demonstrative partitive.

## 2. THE DATA

Examples (1) and (2) are preliminary examples of diachronic variation of Old and Modern Romanian partitive and pseudo-partitive constructions. (1a), from the 16<sup>th</sup> century, displaying a Double-DP structure with the partitive preposition *de* is contrasted with (1b), an example from Modern Romanian, where the same Double-DP structure features the partitive preposition *dintre* ‘of-among’. The contrast in (2a,b) illustrates the pseudo-

---

<sup>1</sup> University of Bucharest, mihaela.dogaru@gmail.com, camelia\_usurelu@hotmail.com.

partitive preposition *de* being used in a Single-DP pseudo-partitive from the 17<sup>th</sup> century and one from Modern Romanian.

- (1) a. nimini **de** rudele meale nu m-a grijit (16<sup>th</sup> century – DÎR: 101)  
 nobody of relatives-the mine not me-have attended  
 ‘no relative of mine has taken care of me’  
 b. fără să vorbesc cu nimeni **dintre** rudele mele  
 without to talk with nobody from relatives-the mine  
 ‘without talking to any of my relatives’
- (2) a. mulțime **de** turci (17<sup>th</sup> century – C. Cantacuzino: 145)  
 multitude of Turks  
 ‘a crowd of Turks’  
 b. **o** mulțime **de** familii de turci  
 a multitude of families of Turks  
 ‘many Turkish families’

Therefore, while Old Romanian employed partitive *de* ‘of’, Modern Romanian witnesses a competition between the partitive prepositions *din* ‘of-in’ and *dintre* ‘of-among’. This competition (see Nedelcu 2009) is an example of synchronic variation.

In Modern Romanian, *de* is confined to the pseudo-partitive domain (3), the only remnants of the partitive use being the ‘possessive partitive’ construction (4) (see Cornilescu 2006) and what we call the ‘demonstrative partitive’ (5).

- (3) pahar **de** vin  
 glass of wine
- (4) o soră **de-a** lui Ion  
 a sister of-the the Ion  
 ‘a sister of Ion’s’
- (5) dați-mi două **de**-astea și trei **de**-alea  
 give me two of these and three of those

Similarly, variation can be noticed in relation to cardinal-noun constructions, which are assumed to be a sub-type of partitive constructions (Tănase-Dogaru 2012) (6)–(7):

- (6) a. și 5 **de** ai voștri vor goni pre 100 (17<sup>th</sup> century – C. Cantacuzino: 87)  
 and 5 of yours will chase DOM 100  
 ‘and five of your men will chase away one hundred’  
 b. cinci **dintre** ei  
 five of-among them  
 ‘five of them’
- (7) a. era la **una de beseareci** întru sâmbătă (16<sup>th</sup> century – *Cazania a II-a*: 120)  
 was at one of churches in Saturday  
 ‘It was one Saturday in one of the churches’  
 b. una **dintre** ele  
 one of-among them  
 ‘one of them’

Modern Romanian partitives have been thoroughly analyzed and classified by Nedelcu (2009); Old Romanian partitives – by Pană Dindelegan (2012). Building on their proposals and on Tănase-Dogaru (2012), the present paper attempts to offer a syntactic account of variation with partitive and pseudo-partitive constructions.

### 1.1. The Old Romanian corpus

The ‘part’ in the ‘part-of’ relation is represented by the first element of the partitive construction, i.e. N1. This may be a pronominal (8) or nominal (9) element. The pronominal element is either an indefinite pronoun (8a-g), an interrogative pronoun (8h) or negative pronoun (8i-k)) (see also Pană Dindelegan 2012):

- (8) a. **Unul den voinici** cu sulița coastele lui împunse  
 one-the of-in young men with spear-the ribs-the his thrust  
 ‘one of the young men thrust his spear into his ribs’  
*(Liturghierul lui Coresi: 129)*
- b. vineră cu noi și **alți** **de ucenici** den Chesaria  
 came with us and others of disciples from Chesaria  
 ‘other of the disciples from Chesaria came with us’  
*(Lucrul Apostolesc, in Codicele Bratul: 227)*
- c. **mulți de câți** crezut-au (*Codicele Bratul: 204*)  
 many of how-many believed  
 ‘many of those who believed’
- d. Sculară-se **oarecarii dintru gloată** (*Codicele Bratul: 61*)  
 stood-up anyone.pl from crowd  
 ‘some people of the group called L stood up’
- e. au purces [...] cu **câțiva din Potocești** (Miron Costin, *Letopisețul: 57*)  
 have left with a few from Potocesti  
 ‘they left with a few of the Potocestu family’
- f. Și **fieșū-carele de noi** datoriu iaste lui Dumnezeu mii de talași  
 and everyone of us debtor is to God thousands of talants  
 ‘and everyone of us owes God their talent’ (*Cazania a II-a: 285*)
- g. Oare iaste **cineva dintr-acei** ce mă ascultă (Ilie Miniati: 501)  
 Really is someone from-those who me listen  
 ‘is there really any of those who listen to me’
- h. **Carele de proroci** nu goniră părinții voștri? (*Codicele Bratul: 79*)  
 which-the of prophets not chased parents-the yours  
 ‘which prophets were not chased away by your parents’
- i. nerămându **nime** **de noi** (DÎR – 1594: 103)  
 not-remaining nobody of us  
 ‘not remaining any of us’
- j. **nemenele** **den rudele** lui să  
 nobody-the f-in relatives-the his subj.să  
 n-aibă treabă (*Acte și documente – 1588: 107*)  
 not-have business  
 ‘none of his relatives should be concerned’

- k. unde **nici una de acestea** nu le strică (*Evanghelie învățătoare*: 80)  
 where neither one of these not them spoils  
 ‘where none of these spoils them’

Our corpus adds the indefinite pronouns *destui* ‘enough.masc.pl’ and *vreunul* ‘any-one.the’ as well as the demonstrative *aceaia* ‘those’ to the pronominal elements discussed by Pană Dindelegan (2012):

- (9) a. **Destui de ceia** ce făcea fărmațe  
 enough.masc.pl of those who cast spells /  
 (*Codicele Voroneţean*, in *Codicele Bratul*: 205) /  
**Destui de câți** făpt-au fărmece  
 enough.masc.pl of how-many.masc.pl cast spells  
 ‘enough of those involved in witchcraft’  
 (*Codicele Bratul*: 205)
- b. cu umbra să umbrească **vreunul de ei**  
 with shadow-the subj.să shade any-one.the of them /  
 (*Lucrul Apostolesc*, in *Codicele Bratul*: 50)  
 cu umbră se umbrească **vreunul dintru ei** (*Codicele Bratul*: 50)  
 with shadow subj.sa shade any-one.the from them  
 ‘one of them should shade the others’
- c. ne-au ales **den lume pre aceaia** (*Cazania I*: 59)  
 us-have chosen of-in world DOM those  
 ‘they have chosen those of the people’

Our corpus features partitive constructions whose N1 is the noun *parte* ‘part’ (10a), indefinite DPs (10b), bare plurals (10c) or collective nouns (10d–f):

- (10) a. cum am fostu cumpărat **o parte de ocină**, din sat din Brăhăşeşti  
 how I have been bought a part of land, from village from Brăhăşeşti  
 ‘I have bought a part of land from the village of Brăhăşeşti’  
 (DÎR – 1577: 154)
- b. au pus [...] dijma **din 5 stupi un stup**  
 have put tribute of-in 5 beehives one beehive  
 ‘they settled the tribute to one beehive out of five’  
 (*Letopiseşul Cantacuzinesc*: 120)
- c. să-m fii **frate den cei 4 frați** (DÎR – 1600: 128)  
 subj.să-me be brother of-in the 4 brothers  
 ‘you should be one of my four brothers’
- d. Şi aléseră pre Ali-paşa cu **o seamă de oşti** ca să păzească  
 and chose DOM Ali-pasha with a number of armies to guard  
 cetatea Târgoviştii (*Letopiseşul Cantacuzinesc*: 126)  
 city-the Târgovişte.gen  
 ‘And they chose Ali-pasha with a number of soldiers to guard the city of Târgovişte’

- e. Tăind **mulțime de turci** îi înecară în Dunăre  
cutting multitude of Turks them drowned in Danube  
'Slaying a crowd of Turks, they drowned them into the Danube'  
(*Letopiseșul Cantacuzinesc*: 126)
- f. strîngea iară **oaste de ai sei** (Miron Costin, *Letopiseșul*: 54)  
gathered again army of AL his  
'he again gathered an army of his men'

As a piece of novelty, our corpus registers situations where N1 is definite (11a–b) or it appears in the company of indefinite quantifiers. Sometimes the collective noun *seamă* is accompanied by indefinite adjectives (11c–e); there are also situations where other N1 are preceded either by a negative adjective or an indefinite adjective (11g–i):

- (11) a. **cuvântu** [...] **de carele** începe Iisus a face și a învăța  
word-the of which-the starts Jesus to do and to teach  
'the word which Jesus starts to do and teach'  
(*Codicele Bratul*: 5)
- b. și le voi da [...] **rămășițelor de poporul**  
and them will give remains-the.gen of people-the  
mieu acesteia toate (Dosoștei, *Parimiile*: 103)  
mine these all  
'and I will give all this to the remains of my people'
- c. **cu câțeva seamă de oaste** a lui  
with some.fem number.fem of army of his  
'with a number of his soldiers'  
(*Letopiseșul Cantacuzinesc*: 215)
- d. iar el **cu altă seamă de boiari** (*Letopiseșul Cantacuzinesc*: 222)  
and he with another number of boyars  
'and he with a different crowd of boyars'
- e. **Multă seamă de oaste** (M. Costin, *Viața lumii*: 323)  
much.fem number.fem of army  
'a great number of soldiers'
- f. **nece o dzisă de-ale mele** (*Codex Sturdzanus*: 238)  
neither one saying of-AL mine  
'none of my sayings'
- g. chemară gloata și **toți bătrânii**  
called the crowd-the and all.masc.pl oldsters.masc.pl  
**di fii** lu Israil (*Codicele Bratul*: 51–52)  
of sons.the of Israel  
'they called the crowd and all the elders from the sons of Israel'
- h. Atunci au pierit [...] **alți oameni**  
then have perished other.masc.pl people.masc.pl  
**de ai pribegilor** (*Letopiseșul Cantacuzinesc*: 118)  
of AL fugitives-the.gen  
'Then perished other fugitives'

N1 can also be a cardinal numeral or numerical noun:

- (12) a. **gumătăti di satū** (DÎR – 1603: 166) (**di = de**)  
 half of village-the  
 ‘half of the village’  
 b. că și Petru apostol, când botedză  
 that and Peter apostle when baptized  
 într-o dzi **3 de oameni** (*Șapte taine*: 179)  
 in-one day 3 of people  
 ‘Peter the apostle baptized 3 people one day’

The corpus registers a single construction where N1 is a relative clause:

- (13) Și tu ia la tine **de toate bucatele ce se mănâncă** (*Palia de la Orăștie*: 29)  
 and you take at you of all foods which SE eat  
 ‘take everything edible from all foods’

As far as N2 is concerned, it is interesting to notice that sometimes, it is the only element remaining in a pseudopartitive headed by a null head (Pană Dindelegan 2012: 195).

- (14) se gustați **de trupul lui Hristos și de sângele**  
 should taste of body-the of Christ and of blood-the  
**său** să beați (*Manuscrisul de la Ieud*: 159)  
 his should drink  
 ‘taste the body of Christ and drink his blood’

The partitive prepositions in our corpus are *de* (very frequent in the 16<sup>th</sup> century), *den* / *din* ‘of-in = from’, *dentru* / *dintru* ‘of-in=from’, *dintre* / *dentre* ‘of-among’ și *între* ‘among’. Marginally, one can find hybrids (*de între* for *dintre*).

- (15) a. **De** toate să vă îndulciți (*Cronica lui Mihail Moxa*: 352)  
 of all should you savour  
 ‘you should savour everything’  
 b. întru una **den** sâmbete (*Cazania a II-a*: 124)  
 one of-in Saturdays  
 ‘one of the Saturdays’  
 c. vor împărăți **din** feciorii lui Ham (Antim, *Scrieri*: 30)  
 will reign of-in sons-the of Ham  
 ‘the sons of Ham will reign’  
 d. să dea **dentru** avuția lui (*Șapte taine*: 194)  
 should give of richness-the his  
 ‘he should give (part of) his wealth’  
 e. Acesta au avut 4 feciori, **dintru** carii iaste unul și Irod  
 this has had 4 sons, of-among whom is one-the and Irod  
 ‘This (one) had 4 sons, among whomone was Herod’  
 (Antim, *Scrieri*: 70)

- f. s-a rădica unul **dintre** dânșii  
 SE-will raise one-the of-among them  
 mai cumplit și mai rău (Dosoștei, *Parimiile*: 290)  
 more atrocious and more wicked  
 ‘there will be one of them more wicked and barbarous’
- g. erai un om **între** ceilalți oameni (Ilie Miniat: 323)  
 were one man among other people  
 ‘you were a man among the others’

### 3. ANALYSIS

As stated before, partitive *de* is attested in old Romanian before partitive *din* is formed out of *de* (of) and *în* (in):

- (16) Deaci de vă veți pocăi și carii vor face bire să-i dau parte  
 so if you will repent and which will do good subj.-him give part  
**de** împărăția mea și raiul și blagosloviia mea  
**of** kingdom-the my and heaven-the and benediction-the my  
 ‘So, I will give a part of my kingdom and heaven and benediction to those of you who will repent and do good deeds’ (*Legenda duminicii* – MS. BAR 5910, quoted in Nedelcu 2009:102)

Old Romanian featured a Double-DP (D-DP) structure with partitive *de* while Modern Romanian employs the D-DP structure with the partitive prepositions *din* and *dintre*, so that the structure of (16) is (17):


- (17)
- ```

  DP
  /  \
 parte PP
 part /  \
      de  DP
      of  împărăția
          kingdom-the
  
```

Thus, Old Romanian observed the partitive constraint (see Ladusaw 1982), i.e. the second nominal in the partitive structure denotes an individual.

Partitivity in Old Romanian was parameterized on *de* (see also Biberauer and Roberts 2012); in Modern Romanian, partitivity is parameterized on *din* and *dintre*.

Modern Romanian uses a single-DP structure with *de* (with pseudopartitives); the structure of a pseudopartitive is that in (18) (see Tănase-Dogaru 2012).



The only remnant ‘de’ Double-DPs are the possessive partitive (19) and the demonstrative partitive (20):

- (19) un prieten de-al meu  
a friend of-AL mine  
‘one of my friends’
- (20) dați-mi două **de**-astea și trei **de**-alea  
give me two of these and three of those

### 3.1. The replacement of *de* in partitives

In Latin, the partitive is a value of the genitive case as in (21); the inflectional partitive has been gradually replaced by prepositional means of indicating the part-of relation.

One can speak about a surviving partitive value in French as in (22), where *du* is a partitive article:

- (21) parum frumenti (Latin)  
little wheat-gen  
‘very little wheat’
- (22) boire du lait (French)  
drink part. milk  
‘drink (some) milk’

Pană Dindelegan (2012:195) discusses a very interesting case of Old Romanian partitives, strikingly similar to that in (22):

- (23) cine va mânca de pâine (Coresi, *Tetraevanghelul*: 146)  
who will eat of bread  
‘Who will eat bread’

In Old Romanian, *de* was a true partitive preposition, corresponding to the Latin inflectional partitive-genitive; constructions such as (23) were Double-DP structures which translate into bare Single-DP structures in Modern Romanian.



In Latin, the structure corresponding to present-day Romanian pseudopartitives consisted of a Nom.N1+GenN2 sequence. This inflectional genitive seems to have evolved into a prepositional genitive.

- (24) a.        cadus vini            b.        mica panis  
               jar wine-Gen            crumb bread-Gen  
               ‘jar of wine’                ‘crumb of bread’

Romanian disposes of an inflectional genitive, while in other Romance languages the genitive is prepositional, marked by *de* ‘of’ (see Dobrovie-Sorin 1994, 2013). When Romanian developed an inflectional genitive, the prepositional genitive, based on the same preposition *de* as in all Romance, *became very limited and specialized* (see Cornilescu 2004 for details).

Romanian has developed a *morphological distinction* between ‘anchoring genitives’, always DPs, and ‘non-anchoring (Prepositional) genitives’, always syntactic.

The inflectional genitive and the *de* genitive show different morpho-syntactic and semantic properties (see Cornilescu 2010):

- |      |    |                                  |                 |                            |
|------|----|----------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------|
| (25) | a. | citirea                          | cât mai des     | <b>a autorilor clasici</b> |
|      |    | reading.the                      | more frequently | of classical authors       |
|      | b. | citirea                          | frecventă       | <b>de romane polițiste</b> |
|      |    | reading.the                      | frequent        | of crime fiction           |
| (26) |    | Anchoring Gens                   |                 | Non-anchoring Gens         |
|      | a. | inflectional                     |                 | a. prepositional           |
|      | b. | DP                               |                 | b. NP                      |
|      | c. | referential, <e>-type denotation |                 | c. <e, t> denotation       |

The morphosyntactic specialization of the genitive in Romanian led to the disappearance of partitive *de* in constructions like *unul de noi* ‘one of us’.

Concerning the question of why Romanian lost the construction, while all other Romance kept it, we will adopt the hypothesis, that partitive *de* was lost as a by-product of the specialization of genitive *de* (Cornilescu 2006).

As a result of this analysis, genitive *de* only selects NPs interpreted as properties. *De* is replaced in proper partitives because the complement of *de* must be specific/definite and interpreted as individual, which was rendered impossible as a result of the specialization of the genitive.

### 3.2. Quirky cases

If we consider the examples in (27), it becomes apparent that lower numerals in Old Romanian functioned in a way very similar to partitive constructions:

- (27) a.        că și Petru apostol,            când botează într-o dzi  
               that and Peter apostle            when baptized in-one day  
               **3 de oameni** (*Șapte taine*: 179)  
               3 of people

- ‘Peter the apostle baptized 3 people one day’
- b. trei oameni (Modern Romanian)  
three people
- c. trei dintre oameni  
three of-among people  
‘three of the people’

Cardinal-noun constructions in Romanian enter two distinct types of syntactic configurations: spec-head for cardinals from ‘one’ to ‘nineteen’ and head-complement for cardinals from ‘nineteen’ onwards:

- (28) a. două fete  
two.fem girls.fem
- b. douăzeci de fete  
twenty of girls  
‘twenty girls’


There are syntactic differences between lower and higher cardinals crosslinguistically (see Corbett 1978, Franks 1994, a.o.). While lower cardinals behave ‘adjectivally’, higher cardinals seem to behave ‘nominally’. Corbett (1978) proposes two *universals* accounting for the crosslinguistic behavior of cardinals: (i) simple cardinal numerals fall between adjectives and nouns; (ii) if they vary in behavior it is the higher which will be more noun-like (Corbett 1978: 368).

Romanian cardinals evince two different types of syntactic structures (Tănase-Dogaru 2012 following Danon 2011, Stan 2010).

The first type of structure is one in which a projection of the numeral occupies a specifier position, this being the case of Romanian cardinals from 1 to 19:


- (29) zece cărți  
ten books

[NP [CardP zece] cărți]



The second type of structure is one in which the cardinal heads a recursive DP structure, this being the case of Romanian cardinals from 19 onwards:

- (30) douăzeci de cărți  
twenty of books  
twenty books



A final example of quirky pseudo-partitive constructions in Old Romanian is given in (31):

- (31) a. cându **noi nici unul** n-au fost în Țarigrad (DÎR – 1593: 184)  
 when us not one not-have been to Țarigrad  
 ‘when neither of us has been to Țarigrad’
- b. iară **alalte rude** cine am, **nimini** să n-aibă  
 and other relatives who (I) have nobody subj not-have  
 lucru cu bucatele mele (DÎR 1591-1600: 101)  
 business with things-the mine  
 ‘and of the other relatives that I have, nobody should have anything to do with my things’
- c. iară **alte rude** ale mele, **nimini** să  
 and other relatives of mine nobody subj.să  
 n-aibă niciun lucru (DÎR 1591-1600: 101)  
 not-have no thing  
 ‘and no other relative of mine should have anything to do with this’

These constructions represent a distinct and interesting case of Old Romanian pseudo-partitives because the partitive preposition is missing. Tănase-Dogaru (2012) analyzes Modern Romanian pseudo-partitives as topicalized constructions, topicalization being a process leading to the disappearance of the preposition.

- (32) Ceai, a băut toată lumea câte o ceașcă (\*de)  
 tea, has drunk all world distr. a cup (\*of)  
 ‘Tea, everybody drank a cup’

Our corpus, therefore, registers pseudo-partitive constructions in Old Romanian, where N2 is topicalized, which represents yet another argument in favor of the base-generated order N1 de N2.

#### 4. CONCLUSIONS

There are two structures available with Romanian (pseudo)partitives: the Double-DP structure and the Single-DP structure. While Old Romanian featured the Double-DP structure with partitive *de*, Modern Romanian employs the D-DP structure with the partitive prepositions *din* and *dintre*.

The Single-DP structure is confined in Modern Romanian to pseudopartitive constructions (Tănase-Dogaru 2012); the possessive partitive (Cornilescu 2006) and the demonstrative partitive are remnant 'de' Double-DPs.

#### SOURCES

- Acte și fragmente*, în B.P. Hasdeu, *Cuvente den bătrâni. Limba română vorbită între 1550-1600*, ed. by G. Mihăilă, București Editura Didactică și Pedagogică, 1983, 70-199.
- Codex Sturdzanus*, ed. by Gh. Chivu, București, Editura Academiei Române, 1993.
- Codicele Bratul*, ed. by Alexandru Gafton, Iași, Editura Universității „Al. I. Cuza”, 2003.
- Codicele Voronețean* [1563-1583], ed. by Mariana Costinescu, București, Editura Minerva, 1981.
- Coresi, *Tetraevanghelul* [1561], ed. by Florica Dimitrescu, București, Editura Academiei Române, 1963.
- Coresi, *Tâlcul evangheliilor (1567)*, ed. by Vladimir Drimba, București, Editura Academiei Române, 1998 (= Cazania I).
- Costin, Miron, *Viața lumii*, in *Opere*, ed. by P.P. Panaitescu, București, Editura de Stat pentru Literatură și Artă, 1958.
- Costin, Miron, *Letopiseșul Țării Moldovei*, in *Opere*, ed. by P.P. Panaitescu, București, Editura de Stat pentru Literatură și Artă, 1958.
- Cronica lui Mihail Moxa (Oltenia, 1620)*, în B.P. Hasdeu, *Cuvente den bătrâni. Limba română vorbită între 1550-1600*, ed. by G. Mihăilă, București, Editura Didactică și Pedagogică, 1983, 299-425.
- Diaconul Coresi, *Carte cu învățătură (1581)*, ed. by Sextil Pușcariu, Alexie Procopovici, București: Atelierele Grafice Socec & Co., 1914 (= Cazania a II-a).
- Documente și însemnări românești din secolul al XVI-lea*, ed. by Gh. Chivu, Magdalena Georgescu, Magdalena Ioniță, Alexandru Mareș, Alexandra Roman-Moraru, București, Editura Academiei Române, 1979.
- Dosoftei, *Parimiile preste an, Iași, 1683*, ed. by Mădălina Ungureanu, Iași, Editura Universității „Alexandru Ioan Cuza”, 2012.
- Evanghelie învățătoare (Govora, 1642)*, ed. by Alin-Mihai Gherman, București, Editura Academiei Române, 2011.
- Ilie Miniăt, *Cazanii (București, 1742)*, ed. by Cristina Crețu, Iași, Editura Universității “Al. I. Cuza”, 2013.
- Istoria Țării Rumânești de stolnicul Constantin Cantacuzino*, in *Cronicari munteni*, ed. by M. Gregorian, București, Editura pentru Literatură, 1961, 1-79.
- Istoria Țării Rumânești de când au descălecat pravoslavnicii creștini (Letopiseșul Cantacuzinesc)*, in *Cronicari munteni*, ed. by M. Gregorian, București, Editura pentru Literatură, 1961, 81-224.
- Legenda duminicii*, ed. by Emanuela Timotin, București, Fundația Națională pentru Știință și Artă, 2005.
- Liturghierul lui Coresi (1570)*, ed. by Al. Mareș, București, Editura Academiei Române, 1969.
- Lucrul Apostolesc. Apostolul tipărit de diaconul Coresi la Brașov în anul 1563*, in *Texte de limbă din secolul XVI*, ed. by I. Bianu, București, 1930.

- Manuscrisul de la Ieud*, ed. by Mirela Teodorescu, Ion Gheție, București. Editura Academiei Române, 1977.
- Palia de la Orăștie (1581-1582)*, ed. by Viorica Pamfil, București, Editura Academiei Române, 1968.
- Sfântul Antim Ivireanul, *Scrieri*, ed. by Mihail Stanciu, Gabriel Ștrempel, București, Editura Basilica a Patriarhiei Române, 2011.
- Șapte taine a besearecii: Iași, 1644*, ed. by Iulia Mazilu, Iași, Editura “Universității Alexandru Ioan Cuza”, 2012.

## REFERENCES

- Biberauer, T., I. Roberts, 2012, “Towards a parameter hierarchy for auxiliaries: diachronic considerations”, *Cambridge Occasional Papers in Linguistics*, 6, 267–294.
- Corbett, G., 1978, “Universals in the syntax of cardinal numerals”, *Lingua*, 46, 355–368.
- Cornilescu, A., 2003, “Romanian Genitive Constructions Revisited”, *Bucharest Working Papers in Linguistics*, V, 1, 45–70.
- Cornilescu, A., 2010, *The Modal quantificational nature of nominal peripheries*, Ms. University of Bucharest.
- Cornilescu, A., 2006, “Din nou despre un prieten de-al meu”, in: G. Pană Dindelegan (ed.), *Limba română. Aspecte sincronice și diacronice (Actele celui de al 5-lea Colocviu al Catedrei de Limba Română, 8-9 decembrie 2005)*, București, Editura Universității din București, 25–39.
- Danon, G., 2011, “Two structures for numeral-noun constructions”, in *Lingua*, 122, 12, 1282–1307.
- Franks, S., 1994, “Parametric properties of numeral phrases in Slavic”, *Natural Language and Linguistic Theory*, 12, 4, 599–677.
- Dobrovie-Sorin, C., 2000, “Spec, DP and (In)definiteness Spread: From Romanian Genitives to Hebrew Construct State Nominals” in: V. Motapanyane (ed.), *Studies in Romanian Syntax*, Amsterdam, Elsevier, 177–226.
- Dobrovie-Sorin, C., I. Nedelcu, I. Giurgea, 2013, “Genitive DPs and Pronominal Possessors”, in C. Dobrovie-Sorin, I. Giurgea (eds), *A Reference Grammar of Romanian*, Amsterdam-Philadelphia: John Benjamins, 309–354.
- Ladusaw, W., 1982, “Semantic Constraints on the English Partitive Construction”, in: D. Flickinger et al. (eds), *Proceedings of WCCFL*, 1, 231–242.
- Nedelcu, I., 2009, *Categoria partitivului în limba română*, București, Editura Universității din București.
- Pană Dindelegan, G., 2012, “Sintagma partitivă în limba veche”, in: R. Zafiu, A. Dragomirescu, A. Nicolae (eds), *Limba română. Direcții actuale în cercetarea lingvistică (I). Actele celui de al 11-lea Colocviu internațional al Departamentului de lingvistică (București, 9–10 decembrie 2011)*, București, Editura Universității din București, 189–198.
- Stan, C., 2010, “On the grammaticality status of numerals in Romanian”, *Revue roumaine de linguistique*, LV, 3, 237–246.
- Tănase-Dogaru, M., 2008, “Pseudo-partitives and (silent) classifiers in Romanian”, in: S. Blaho, C. Constantinescu, E. Schoorlemmer (eds), *Proceedings of ConSOLE XV*, 295–320.
- Tănase-Dogaru, M., 2011, “Single-DP and Double-DP Qualitative Constructions in Romanian”, *Revue roumaine de linguistique*, LVI, 2, 115–135.
- Tănase-Dogaru, M., 2012, *The Syntax of Quantity and Quality in Romanian. Prepositional Binominal Constructions*, București, Editura Universității din București.