

## **CONSIDERATIONS ON VERBS' MORPHOLOGICAL ADAPTATION AT THE END OF THE 18<sup>TH</sup> CENTURY AND THE BEGINNING OF THE 19<sup>TH</sup> CENTURY**

**Liliana SOARE**  
University of Pitești

**Abstract:** The present paper focuses on the problems regarding the adaptation of the verb to the morphological system of the Romanian language at the end of the 18<sup>th</sup> century and the beginning of the 19<sup>th</sup> century. The lexical corpus is represented by the texts of science popularization elaborated by the Transylvanian scholars. The study reveals the conjugation fluctuation and the main categories of suffixes through which the neological verbs have been adapted to Romanian. Thus, verbs' adaptation emphasizes the two phases of neologisms' adaptation in Romanian, the old one, which is materialized in the usage of non-Romanic suffixes, and the new one, of a Romanic type.

**Key words:** conjugation, morphological adaptation, verbal suffixes.

1. As regards the verb class, the difficulties appear in the case of morphological adaptation. The influences exercised on Romanian by other languages of culture and the scholars' hesitation as concerns verbs' inclusion in one or other of the Romanian conjugations (caused by the lack of unitary grammatical norms) caused most of the neological verbs to be included in other conjugations, different from the one they established later on. Unlike other Romance languages, in which the 1<sup>st</sup> conjugation is the most preponderant, in the history of the Romanian verbal flexion up to 1830-1840 the 4<sup>th</sup> conjugation holds supremacy both from a qualitative and quantitative perspective.

Therefore, the most common situation is represented by the verbs which are to be subsequently included in the 1<sup>st</sup> conjugation but which present, in this period, forms of the 4<sup>th</sup> conjugation, a phenomenon which can be explained by the special productivity of this conjugation. The consolidation of the 4<sup>th</sup> conjugation was caused by the influence of the Neo-Greek in the Romanian principalities and of German and Hungarian languages in Transylvania. All the verbs borrowed from these languages were included in this conjugation. The verbal suffixes through which their morphological adaptation was made are *-isi* (and its variants *-arisi*, *-erisi*, *-irisi*), *-i*, *-ui*, *-ălui* (with the variant *-ului*) and *-irui*.

2. In the period of Neo-Greeks' maximum influence on Romanian, the verbal suffix *-isi*, originating in the form of the Neo-Greek aorist and imposed in Romanian through the verbs borrowed from Greek, appears frequently with verbs borrowed from Romance languages or German. In the Principalities there are numerous verbal forms ended in *-isi*, unlike Transylvania, where such forms are sporadic: *cristălisi* (BDZ, 7 < germ. *kristallisieren*, cf. also fr. *cristalliser*), *critisi* „critica” (BDL, II, 517r < fr. *critiquer*), *economisi* (BDL, II, 459v fr. *économiser*, cf. also ngr *oíkovoμά*), *înterisi* (BDL, IV, 1213r < lat. *interessare*, germ. *interesieren*, cf. also fr. *interessier*), *organisi* (BDL, III, 734v < ngr. *óργονίζω*), *satirisi* „satiriza” (BDL, IV, 898r < fr. *satiriser*, cf. also ngr *σατυρίζω*), *tirănsi* (BDL, IV, 1028r < ngr. *τυράννησα*, aor. *τυράννώ*).

The *-ui*, *-i* suffixes, are rather frequent, due to the German etymons in *-ieren* and even to the Hungarian ones in *-álni*, which do not necessarily have Romanian correspondents in *-ălui* (E. PETROVICI, 1948, p. 184-193): *comendui* „comanda”

(BDL, II, 504v < germ. *kommandieren*, magh. *kommendálni*, cf. lat. *commendare*), *cultivi* (ICC, 6 < germ. *kultivieren*, fr. *cultiver*), *decretui* (BDL, II, 377v < germ. *Dekretieren*), *electrizui* (SIF, 165 < fr. *électriser*), *încolori* (SIF, 103, *în-* + *color* + *-i* < lat. *color*, *-em*; cf. și fr. *colorer*), *înundi* (SIF, 95 < lat. *inundare*), *harmoni*, *armoni* „*armoniza*” (LB, s. v. < lat. *harmonisare*, germ. *harmonieren*, it. *armonizzare*), *repeti* (DLGR, 164, *repeti*, FDB, 60 < lat. *repetere*, germ. *repetieren*, cf. și fr. *répéter*), *spioni* (SHR, I, 117, derived from *spion* < germ. *Spion*, it. *spione*) etc. Of Slavic origin, the *-ui* suffix served to the adaptation, in Romanian, of verbs of various origins; once constituted as a Romanian morpheme, *-ui* was used for adapting verbs borrowed in various stages of the language and as a derivation element in the interior of the language.

The verbal suffix *-ălui*, extracted with the help of the old Romanian suffix *-ui*, from verbs of Hungarian origin ended in *-álni*, appears, as it was expected, not only in Romance borrowings (also) mediated by the Hungarian language: *administrălui* (SHR, III, 377 < magh. *adminisztrálni*, < lat. *administrare*), *areștălui* (SHR, III, 210 < magh. *árestálni*, cf. lat. *arrestare*), *expedălui* (MIB, 340 < magh. *expediálni*, germ. *expedieren*, cf. lat. *expidire*), *notălui* (SHR, III, 278 < magh. *(an)natál*, cf. lat., it. *notare*), *probălui* „*a dovedi*” (LB, s. v. < magh. *probálni*, cf. lat. *probare*), *repräsentălui* (SHR, III, 372 < magh. *reprezentálni*, cf. lat. *reprezentare*), but also in the direct borrowings from Latin, Italian or German, in which the terminations were substituted with *-ălui*, following the patterns of Hungarian borrowings: *candidălui* (SHR, III, 330 < lat. *candidare*), *comparălui* (TGR, 64 < lat. *comparare*, fr. *comparer*), *înformălui* (SHR, III, 384 < lat. *informare*), *întitulălui* (SHR, III, 24 < lat. *intitulare*), *înștălălui* (SHR, III, 258 < lat. *installare*), *presentălui* (SHR, III, 346 < lat. *praesentare*), *presidălui* (SHR, III, 383 < lat. *praezidere*), *resolvălui* (SHR, III, 361 < germ. *resolvieren*, cf. lat. *resolvere*) etc.

With the verbal suffix *-irui*, also extracted with the help of the old Romanian suffix *-ui* from German verbs ending in *-ieren*, there were adapted the verbs of German origin: *addirui* (OPA, 22 < germ. *addieren*), *avanțirui* (IO, 163 < fr. *avancer*, germ. *avancieren*, it. *avanzare*), *dividirui* (DMA, 66 < germ. *dividieren* < lat. *dividere*), *latinisirui* „*latiniza*” (VA, 210 < germ. *latinisieren*), *multiplicațirui* (DMA, 150, *multiplițirui*, OPA, 29 < lat. *multiplicare*), *recomendărui* (GSP, II, 112 < germ. *rekommandieren*, magh. *rekommendal*, cf. lat. *recommendare*), *reducțirui* (OPA, 47, *reduțirui*, DMA, 112 < germ. *reduzieren*, cf. lat. *reducere*), *repetirui* (VA, 188 < germ. *repetieren*), *rezolvărui* (OPA, 46 < germ. *resolvieren*, cf. lat. *resolvere*), *subtrahirui* (DMA, 50, OPA, 25, < germ. *subtrahieren*). As it can be observed, most terms derived with this verbal suffix belong to the mathematical literature of the period, of German extraction.

Many verbs of Romance origin entered Romanian through non-Romance languages: Neo-Greek, German, Hungarian that caused the *-isi*, *-irui* și *-ălui* suffixes, with their variant, to have a high productivity, creating “an authentic system in the morphology of the Romanian verbs” (URSU, 1965: 376).

There are also verbs of Latin and Romance origin which were included, from the very beginning, in the 1<sup>st</sup> conjugation (lat., it. *-are*, fr. *-er* > rom. *-a*) and which hold a special weight in the texts of the Transylvanian scholars: *apela* (MIB, 265 < lat. *appelare*, fr. *appeler*), *aproba* (BDL, I, 233r < lat. *approbare*), *apropria* (SHR, I, 331 < lat. *appropriare*), *asecura* „*asigura*” (LB, s. v. < lat., it. *assecurare*), *candida* (SHR, III, 135 < lat. *candidare*), *confrunta* (SHR, I, 239 < fr. *confronter*), *contamina* (FDB, 91 < lat. *contaminare*, fr. *contaminer*), *conțenta* (VA, 159 < fr. *concentrer*), *copia* (SHR, I,

494, LB, s.v. < it. *copiare*, fr. *copier*), *decreta* (BDL, II, 377v < fr. *décréter*), *deda* (BDL, II, 377r, LB, s. v. < lat. *dedere*, după *da*), *deriva* (IO, 113 < lat. *derivo*, -are, fr. *dériver*), *desarma* (SHR, I, 207 < fr. *désarmer*), *fermenta* (FDB, 62 < lat. *fermentare*, cf. și fr. *fermenter*), *forma* (BDL, IV, 1099v < lat. *formare*, fr. *former*), *împuta* (SHR, I, 203 < it. *imputare*, cf. și fr. *imputer*, lat. *imputo*), *necesita* (FDB, 108 < lat. *necessare*, it. *necessitare*, fr. *nécessiter*), *observa* (VA, 95 < lat. *observare*, cf. și fr. *observer*, *oserba*, FDB, 101 < it. *osservare*), *prognostica* (SHR, I, 198 < lat. *prognosticare*), *publica* (MIB, 81 < lat. *publicare*), *recomenda* „recomanda” (DLGR, ded., < lat. *recommendare*, cf. și fr. *recommander*), *răsista* (FDB, 65 < lat. *resistare*, fr. *résister*), *vizita* (IO, 165 < lat. *visitare*, fr. *visiter*) etc.

Conjugation fluctuation is not well-represented: *candida* - *candidălui*, *decreta* - *decretui*.

Only a few verbs belong to the 4<sup>th</sup> conjugation, following the Latin and Romance pattern: lat., it. -ere, -ire, fr. -er, -ir > rom. -i: *răsorbi* (FDB, 95), a calque after lat. *resorber*, fr. *résorber*; *nutri* (ICC, 14 < lat. *nutrire*).

The verbal neological suffixes, derivative ones, are not well represented: -*ifica* (lat. -*ificare*): *scarifica* (FDB, 120 < lat. *scarificare*). They entered Romanian through Latin and romance verbs, displaying an erudite character. Their reduced frequency is clear proof that their adaptation is not realized before 1830.

The 3<sup>rd</sup> conjugation, poorly represented in the Romanian language of the 18<sup>th</sup> century, experiences a process of consolidation, due to the preference manifested from the scholars towards the verbs of this conjugation borrowed from Latin and Italian, as well as to some verbs obtained from copying the structure of foreign verbs. The foreign verbs which served as models for this lexical structure calques are derived with prefixes. They were preserved or replaced by an old Romanian prefix and the root-word was replaced with the Romanian equivalent of the foreign verb (URSU, N. A. URSU, 2004: 346): *conduce* (IO, 177) < lat. *conducere*, besides borrowing, it is likely we should have a calque, after *a duce*; *consta* (IO, 221) < lat. *constare*, besides borrowing, it is likely we should have a calque, produced under the influence of *a sta*; *descrie* (DLGR, 132) after lat. *describere* (the root *scribere* was inherited in Romania > *scrie*); *înscrie* (DLGR, 132), after lat. *inscribere*; *petrece* (IO, 177), adaptation of lat. \**pertraicere*, after *trece*; *proscrie* (DLGR, 133), adaptation of fr. *proscire*, an adaptation of *écrire*, after the lat. *proscribere*; *reduce* (IO, 235), lat. *reducere*, fr. *réduire*; besides borrowing, it is likely we should have a calque, produced under the influence of *a duce*; *subscrie* (DLGR, 133), after lat. *subscribere*, fr. *souscrire*; *transcrie* (DLGR, 133), adaptation of fr. *transcrire*, after rom. *scrie*; *supune* (IO, 175), adaptation of lat. *supponere*, after *pune*.

3. The texts of the Transylvanian scholars reveal the co-existence of the features characteristic of the adaptation of the neological verbs already mentioned<sup>1</sup>. The 4<sup>th</sup> conjugation is preponderant, due to the larger amount of suffixes: -*isi*, -*ui* / -*i*, *ălui*, -*irui*. We must observe that the early Romanic orientation manifested by the authors made possible the adaptation of many verbs to the 1<sup>st</sup> conjugation, following the Latin and Romance pattern of adaptation. We must also emphasize that most of them

<sup>1</sup> D. Ursu, *art. cit.*, p. 379, distinguishes between a former period, before 1830-1840, when the adaptation of the Romance and non-Romance verbs is made with non-Romance suffixes, and a latter period, after 1840 and up to 1860, when these suffixes are removed, the verbs following the Latin and Romance pattern of morphological adaptation.

established from the very beginning to the form which will be consecrated by the subsequent evolution of the literary language.

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

Petrovici, E., *Sufixul -ui al verbelor de origine maghiară*, în DR, XI, 1948, p. 184-193.  
Ursu, D., *Încadrarea morfologică a verbelor neologice în limba română din perioada 1760-1860*, în LR, XIV, 1965, nr. 3, p. 371-379.  
Ursu, N. A., Ursu, D., *Împrumutul lexical în procesul modernizării limbii române literare. I. Studiu lingvistic și de istorie culturală*, Editura Cronica, Iași, 2004.

#### Sources

BDL = Ion Budai-Deleanu, *Lexicon românesc-nemțesc*, vol. I, BAR ms. 3728, vol. II, BAR, ms. 3729, vol. III, BAR, ms. 3730, vol. IV, BAR, ms. 3731.  
BDZ = *Dissertație a lui Ioann Burgher M.D. despre zăhár, carele din must de tulei de cuceruz și de jugastru se face*, Buda, 1813 (28 p.), traducere din germană de P. Maior.  
DLGR = Constantin Diaconovici-Loga, *Gramatica românească pentru înțeptarea tinerilor*, text stabilit, prefață, note și glosar de O. Șerban și E. Dorcescu, Timișoara, 1973.  
DMA = *Ducere de mână către aritmetică sau socoteala pentru traba pruncilor rumâneștii celor neunițiilor ce învață în școale cele mice*, Viena, 1777.  
FDB = *Învățătură pentru ferirea și doftoria boalelor celor ce se încing prin țeară...*, Buda, 1816, traducere din maghiară efectuată de P. Maior.  
GSP = *Gheografia sau scrierea pământului*, Buda, I, II, 1814 -1815.  
ICC = *Învățătură despre cultura sau lucrarea cânepei*, Buda, 1828.  
IO = P. Iorgovici, *Observații de limba românească*, ediție critică, studiu introductiv, tabel cronologic, note, bibliografie de D. Bogdan-Dascălu și C. Dascălu; prefață de Șt. Munteanu, Timișoara, 1979.  
LB = *Lesicon românesc-latinesc-unguresc-nemțesc*, Buda, 1825.  
MIB = Petru Maior, *Istoria besericei românilor*, Buda, 1813.  
OPA = Gr. Obradovici, *Povățuire către învățătura socoatei sau aritmetică*, Buda, 1805.  
SHR, I, III = Gh. Șincai, *Opere. Hronica românilor și a mai multor neamuri*, I-III. Ediție îngranjată și studiu lingvistic de Fl. Fugariu; prefață și note de M. Neagoe, EPL, București, 1967-1969.  
SIF = Gh. Șincai, *Învățătură firească spre surparea superstiției norodului*, Biblioteca Filialei Cluj a Academiei Române, fondul Oradea, ms. 39.  
TGR = Radu Tempea, *Gramatică românească*, Sibiu, 1797.  
VA = P. Vasici-Ungurean, *Anthropologhia sau scurtă cunoștință despre om*, Buda, 1830.