VOICE IN ISTRO-ROMANIAN. A CORPUS-BASED ANALYSIS^{*}

IONUŢ GEANĂ

I. ISTRO-ROMANIAN AS A HISTORICAL DIALECT OF ROMANIAN

Typologically, it is part of Eastern Romance, alongside Daco-Romanian, Aromanian and Megleno-Romanian, all four forming the historical dialects of Romanian. The name to designate the language spoken by Istro-Romanians differs according to the region; it is usually a word derived from the name of the community or village where they live, thus those of the northern group call it *Zheyanski* [3e'j^(w)anski], whereas in the south, they call it *Vlashki* ['vlaski] (for current research, see Vrzić & Singler (2016: 51–55)).

The term *Istro-Romanian* (for both the language and the population) has been culturally established, mainly to show its connection to Romanian; traditionally, it has been considered a historical dialect of Romanian (see Puşcariu 1906: 117–120, Kovačec 1984: 550–551). In the sense of this article, the word *language* refers to what Istro-Romanians speak, and has no intention whatsoever of going into the language/dialect dispute.

Istro-Romanian forms two (once large) groups: the northern group – the village of Žejane, and the southern group made of several villages, most prominently Šušnjevica. Istro-Romanians live at the Croatian-Italian border, in a multi-ethnic and multilingual environment and language contact especially with Croatian is widely accepted (for details, see Puşcariu 1906: 117–120, Kovačec 1984: 550–554, Vrzić & Singler 2016: 51). Recent work on the syntax of Istro-Romanian is to be found at Zegrean (2012) and Giusti and Zegrean (2015).

II. VOICE IN ISTRO-ROMANIAN

The same with the other (Eastern) Romance languages and dialects, Istro-Romanian follows the traditional pattern distinguishing between active vs.

FD, XXXVI, București, 2017, p. 36-46

^{*} This work was supported by a grant of the Romanian National Authority for Scientific Research and Innovation, CNCS – UEFISCDI, project number PN-II-RU-TE-2014-4-2523, title of the project: *Balkan Romance Convergences in South-Danubian Romanian Dialects (BALKROM)*, project director: Manuela Nevaci.

37

passive constructions. The use of reflexive pronouns in reciprocal and impersonal constructions is nearly as frequent as in Daco-Romanian.

The dimensions of voice in the grammatical system of Istro-Romanian do not differ from standard Romanian, in the sense that it is organized as active (default, unmarked, as in (1a)) vs. passive (marked either with tensed BE forms + participle, as in (1b), or with SE, as in (2c)) – for transitive verbs, and active/personal vs. impersonal – for intransitive verbs (for Romanian data, see Pană Dindelegan (2010: 264-268); for historical and dialectal evidence, see Timotin 2000a, Timotin 2000b and Timotin 2002):

a. Cum <u>kemåţ</u>		voi?			
how call.2.F	PL	you			
"What do you	call it?"	-			
5					(SF 52)
b. <i>Čel'i miseț</i>	zabraneno	-åv	fost	de	lovi.
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		has	been	DE	hunt
"Hunting was f	forbidden those 1	nonths"			
e					(SF 300)
c. semința	<u>s-å</u>	pus		nutru.	
clot.DEF	SE=has.AUX	put.PP	LE	inside	
"The clot was p	out inside [the m	ilk]"			
		-			(SF 66)
	how call.2.F "What do you of b. <i>Čel'i miseţ</i> those months "Hunting was f c. <i>seminţa</i> clot.DEF	 how call.2.PL "What do you call it?" b. Čel'i miseţ zabraneno those months forbidden "Hunting was forbidden those r c. seminţa s-å clot.DEF SE=has.AUX 	how call.2.PL you "What do you call it?" b. <i>Čel'i miseţ <u>zabraneno</u> -åv</i> those months forbidden has "Hunting was forbidden those months" c. <i>seminţa <u>s-å</u> pus</i>	 how call.2.PL you "What do you call it?" b. Čel'i miseţ zabraneno -åv fost those months forbidden has been "Hunting was forbidden those months" c. seminţa s-å pus clot.DEF SE=has.AUX put.PPLE 	how call.2.PLyou"What do you call it?"b. Čel'i miseţzabraneno-åvfostthose months forbiddenhasbeen"Hunting was forbidden those months"c. seminţas-åpusnutru.clot.DEFSE=has.AUXput.PPLEinside

In some active constructions, it is to be noted that, in the absence of a specific intonation, some sentences may become ambiguous, as in:

(2)	Bovu	<u>ântręba</u>	åsiru.
	ox.DEF(NOM/ACC)	asks	donkey.DEF(NOM/ACC)
	"The ox is asking the d	lonkey" or "The	donkey is asking the ox"
	-		(Kovačec 580)

The ambiguity is given by the fact that Istro-Romanian does not have a fixed word-order. The order of the constituents by itself does not help identify the exact syntactic position. Moreover, unlike standard Romanian, Istro-Romanian does not have differential object marking, and clitic doubling is rare and optional. It is usually the context that helps identify the syntactic function of each constituent.

II. 1. The fi 'be' passive constructions

Given the exclusive oral use of Istro-Romanian and the pragmatic value of the passive voice, the corpus shows only a few, though very diverse, examples of passive constructions with tensed BE + participle. Thus, the example provided above in (1b) is particular to peculiar, to the extent that the form of the adjective does not resemble a typical (Istro-)Romanian participle, and it is included more for semantic and analogic reasons under BE passive constructions. Clearer examples are in what follows:

			Ion	uț Geană				3
(3)	a. se	conoște	2	сит	ie	а	fost	<i>lucråta</i> .
	SE	know.3	S.SG	how	she	has	been	worked.F
	"It is k	nown ho	w it [the	e church] was we	orked up	on"	
								(SF 54)
	b. De	când	<u>ie</u> c <u>åş</u>	su		<u>facut</u>	rem	âre zeru
	of	when	is che	ese.DEF	.NOM	make.P	PLE	
						rest.3.8	G.PRES	whey.DEF
	"After	the chee	se is ma	ide, whe	y is wha	t is left"		
								(SF 66)
	c. pârle	а	doi,		åń		fost	zruşita.
	until.	.at	two	three	years	has.AU	Х	been
							destroy	
	"It [t	he churc	h] was c	lestroye	d two or	three ye	ars ago"	
								(SF 92)
	d. <i>Şi</i>	<u>fost-a</u>			restegr		za	noi
		be.PPLI	E-has.AU	JX	crucifi		for	us
	şi	<u>fost-a</u>			zecope	<u>it</u>	сит	<u>fost-a</u>
		_						
	and	be.PPLI	E -has.A U	JX	eartheo	1	as	
				~		-	be.PP	LE - has.AUX
	<u>pisei</u>	_	ăn	Sveta		Pisma		
		PPLE	in	holy.D		book		•,
	"Anc	i he wa	s crucit	ied, and	he wa			, as it was
						v	vritten ir	the Bible"
								(LM 6)

II.2. The *veri* **'come' passive constructions** There is no synthetic passive in tensed forms, and the particularity of the southern varieties of Istro-Romanian is the use of the auxiliary veri 'come', under the influence of Italian:

(4)	a. Vaca	<u>virit-a</u>			uțisę.	
	cow.DEF	come.l	PPLE -has	. AUX	killed	.F
	"The cow wa	s killed"				
					(1	Kovačec577)
	b. <i>țesći fețor</i>		pena	can-a	fost	nascuț
	these boys.	NOM	till	when-have.A	UX be.PP	LE born.PPLE
	iel' <u>a</u>		virit	<u>hitiț</u>	C	ăn apę.
	they have.	AUX	come	thrown.PPLE.	M.PL	in waters
	"These sons,	soon afte	er they w	vere borne, the	y were the	hrown in the
						water"
						(SP129)
(5)	Neca	se	strica	låpte	ele,	
	so.that	SE	break.	PRES.3.SGmilk	.DEF	

песа		<u>vire</u>	сåşu	? <u>yust</u>
so.that	comes	chees	e.DEF.NOM	clotted
"So tha	t milk fe	rment	s and cheese	gets clotted"

(SF 66)

39

However, all these examples show something particular. Although it is claimed that this is an influence from Italian (see, among others, Kovačec (1984: 577)), with which it shares the 'change of state' feature, the distribution of the periphrastic passives with *venire* 'come' in Italian is different from the examples identified for Istro-Romanian. Thus, according to Giacalone Ramat & Sansò (2014: 21–44), at least for standard contemporary Italian, both passive constructions with *essere* 'be' and *venire* 'come' passives can appear with simple tenses, whereas for compound tenses only the *essere* 'be' possibility is available.

The *venire* 'come' passive constructions in Italian are "highly favoured with telic predicates in imperfective contexts" (Giacalone Ramat & Sansò (2014: 21)). From this perspective, examples (4a, b) – where the verb *veri* 'come' is in the compound past – are an innovation in the use of the verb *veri* 'come' for passive periphrases.

Moreover, Dragomirescu & Nicolae 2014 (following Iordan 1950: 278) admit to the fact that, for Romanian, the *veni* 'come' passive constructions are the equivalent of the reflexive passive (added by a weak deontic meaning). This is again not the case for the examples (4a, b) in Istro-Romanian, which cannot be rephrased using SE passives; this can be done, however, in example (5). The values identified by Dragomirescu & Nicolae 2014 for Romanian do not hold in total for Istro-Romanian – not for the examples (4a, b) identified above, but they do hold in (5).

Unfortunately, the Romanian examples do not show instances of *veni* 'come' passive constructions in compound tenses, like Istro-Romanian. Following Dragomirescu & Nicolae 2014 and references therein, in this present paper I believe it not to be an influence from Italian, rather it is similar to dialectal Romanian.

II. 3. The SE passive and impersonal constructions

Far more productive are the passive and impersonal constructions with SE:, for which the corpus provides such examples as coordinated structures with the auxiliary inversion of the second element of the coordination (and never the first one, at least not according to the data found in the corpus), thus not falling under the Wackernagel law (for details, see Giusti and Zegrean 2015); this is not a feature of the passive-reflexives, rather it regards all coordinated compound tenses¹:

(6)	a. <i>Månt"e</i>	<u>s-åu</u>	iåco	fino	<u>jivit</u>	şi	<u>zasluj</u>	<i>it s-a</i> .
	before	SE-has	very	fine	lived	and	won	SE-has

¹ For a detailed analysis on the use of the compound past in Istro-Romanian, see Geană (2017).

"Before, people used to live very well and earn money"

					(SF 1	19)
b. <i>Ţesåru</i>	l- <u>a</u>			S	<u>cutåt</u>	si
emperor.DEF	him.CL.3.PL	F - has.AUX	listen.PPL	E a	ind	
<u>avzit-av</u>	tot	ţ-av		iale	ganę	eit.
hear.PPLE-have	AUX all.	what-have.	AUX.3.PL.	they.F	spok	en
"The emperor l	istened to the	em and hear	d all they h	had to sa	ıy"	
-					(SP 12	25)

Other examples include repeated structures, with the reflexive dislocation in the second part; since the editor did not use correction/intonation markers, we cannot tell for sure whether this construction with verb-reflexive inversion is a correction or just another option of expressing the same syntactic function:

(7)	Atunče	se	<u>spredę</u> ,	spredę	se.
	then	SE	weave.3.SG	weave.3.SG	SE
	"Then,	it [the w	vool] is woven"		

(SF 65)

A lot of instances of SE passives regard verbs denoting activities; this is the most frequent pattern, especially since most of the available texts deal with the general activities of the Istro-Romanian community, which receive explanations in the form of impersonal passives:

(8)	a. <i>Lâra</i>	se	fače		de	oi.		
	wool.DEF	SE	make.	3.SG	of	sheep.P	L	
	"Wool is made	e from sl	heep"			Ĩ		
			1				(SF 6	5)
	b. La voi	SP	сит	<u>ziče</u> ?			(51 0	2)
	at you.PI		how sa					
				iys				
	"What do you	call it?"					·	
							(SF 72	2)
	c. Za atâta		åń	s-å		<u>lucråt</u>	carbı	ır.
	for so.mai	ny	years	SE-hav	ve.AUX	worked	coal.I	PL
	"For so many	vears. pe						
	J .	,, r	P				(SF 7	(1)
	d. Iuva-s,		che	10.1.1	50	vadu?	(51 /	1)
	,			<u>nu</u>	se	<u>vedu</u> ?		
	where-be.3.		that	not	SE	see.3.PI		
	"Where are the	ey [the c	hıldren],	because	e they ca	nnot be s	een"	
							(TC	5)
	e. Nu se	va	şti		ŭatu		iuva d	ăi.
	not SE	will	know.	INF	anothe	r.DEF	where	is
	"No one will k							~
					10		(TC 1	2)
							(TC 1	51

A subclass of activity verbs are those used in cooking terminology (specific to recipes), as in:

(9)	a. <i>Farina</i> flour.DEF "The flour is	<u>se friję</u> . SE fry.3	SG	
	"The flour is	ined		274)
	h I a and			274)
			iuva <u>se cuhę</u> rakiia.	
			where SE boil.3.SG brandy.DEF	.NOM
	"It's a spec	ial bucket to bo		
				195)
	e in standard Ror	nanian, the SE c	onstructions can take a plural sub	oject:
(10)	a. <u>Učide</u>	se rę,	ama nu låsu	
	kill.INF	SE CONI	but not let.3.SG	
	ca şi	lovacco	druștvo.	
	like and	hunting	association	
	"They [the b	oars] would be	killed, but the hunters' associ	ation
	does not allow		,	
			(8	F 63)
	b. Oile	<u>se striję</u> ,	pac vire lâra.	,
			L.IMPF then comes wool.DEF.	NOM
		clipped, then wo		
	Sheep were	inpped, men we		F 65)
	o I omno	4.11.2.0		r 03)
	c. Lemne	uvâc	<u>se vindu</u> .	
	wood.PL	always	SE sell.3.PL	
	"Wood alway	s sells good"		
				F 98)
Moving	g on to impersona	l constructions,	they can appear with modals; in	(11),

Moving on to impersonal constructions, they can appear with modals; in (11), the pattern is similar to Daco-Romanian (the same impersonal construction with SE + poate 'can'), whereas in (12), the structure possibly follows a presumably Croatian pattern:

(11)	a. <u>Nu</u> s	e pote		zaučide	1	porcu	div'iu.
	not S	E can.3.s	G	kill.INF	1	pig.DEF	wild
	"It is forb	oidden to kill b	oars"				
							(SF 303)
	b. <i>ali n</i>	ıâre	nu	se	<u>pote</u>		
	but to	omorrow	not	SE	can.PS.3	.SG	
	de n	ıånt'e		ziče.			
	of th	he.day.before.	yesterda	y say			
	"But tom	orrow one can	not say/	utter the	day befor	re yester	day"
			2		2	2	(SF 51)
(12)	ke' <u>se n</u>	<u>norę</u> totile	e cu s	strehul'a	репи	ntu žos	omacni.
	as SE n	nust.3.SG all.D	EF with	shovel.D	EF eart.D	EF dowr	n give.INF
		l the earth mus					-
						(Kor	vačec580)

(Kovačec580)

41

Ionuț Geană	
-------------	--

Just like in Daco-Romanian, the se impersonal constructions are allowed with intransitive verbs, as in:

	-								0
(13)	Iårna (<u>męję</u>		tractor	· 1		se	tråje.
	winter.	DEF SE	walks	with	tractor	DEF th	en	SE	pulls.
	"In wir	nter, one	takes the	e tractor	and th	en it is r	ulled.		•
		,			,	r			(SF 68)
– or with raised clitics (with the verb <i>can</i>):									
			-		<i>n</i>).	~ 4 °	1.	<i>c</i> :	l
(14)	ån ț a		se			<u>stå</u>	de	fril	•
	here	not	SE	can.3.S		stay	of	fea	.r
	"One cannot stay here for fear"								
								(5	SP 122)
Another	kind of i	mpersor	nal use ir	n Istro-R	omania	n is wit	h verb		
empty subject j									
				~.					
		Imperso	onal verb				,		,
(15)	a. <i>mai</i>			mi- <u>se</u>		<u>va</u>	zgod	_	ke
	more	e		I.DAT.S		will	happ	en	that
	va	si	åt		mire				
	will	also	another	•	I.ACC				
	"Later of	on. what	will happ	en is me	also bei	ing killed	l by and	other p	erson"
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				0			SP 121)
	b. <i>Ţe</i>		s-a tiie		țiie	ănm		nişat?	
	what		,		,			n.PPLE	
	"What did you dream?"							_	
	W nut	ulu you	urcuiii:					(TC 66)
- or co	ntextual	imnerso	male					(10 00)
- 01 00				vedę	ke-s		tel'	frå	+
	C. <i>į i-<u>se</u></i>		<u>vu</u>				<i>J</i> ,		
	-		SE will		that-ar		your	bro	others
	"It will	seem to	o you tha	t they and	e your l	orothers	,		
								(5	SP 130)
	d. <i>Samoć</i> only		nemisl	e pre	tela	pul',		ţiie	,
			think	of	,	chicke	'n	,	
	5		scåndu		inui	United	11	you.c	, G ,D/11
	se	<u>va</u>							
	SE	will	table	mak					
	"Just tł	nink of t	hat chick	en, and	it will b	become y	our m	eal"	
								(5	SP 134)
Of course	• the ref	levives	can he us	sed in tv	mical re	flexive y	verhs (· ·	
Of course, the reflexives can be used in typical reflexive verbs (i.e., without a passive reading), just like in other Romance (particularly Eastern Romance), with									
			ulei Koli	iance (p	articula	ily East		mance	, with
human subject:									
(17)	a. <i>Şi-or</i>	niri	<u>s-åu</u>		си	čå		<u>bavit</u> .	
	and-	people	SE-have	e.AUX.3	.PL wit	h that.	F	dealt.1	PPLE
and-people SE-have.AUX.3.PL with that.F dealt.PPLE									

(SF 45)

"And people dealt with it"

b. <i>Şi cum li <u>s-åv</u> muiåra</i> and how his.DAT.POS SE-has.AUX woman.DEF "What was his wife's name?"	<u>kemåt</u> ? called
c. ? <i>Ura <u>se vire</u> de jos, din Hârvaţca</i> one.F SE comes of down from Croatia "A woman comes from down, from Croatia"	
The example (17b) shows that the verb <i>se cl(')ema</i> 'to be named/called inherent reflexive use, like Italian and unlike standard Romanian. The ex (17c) shows a particular reflexive use of the verb <i>veri</i> 'come', unatte standard Romanian.	ample in ested for
Some constructions are similar with sub-standard examples from Rom (12)	nanian:
(18) a. <i>Hlapăţu tåţe si <u>se ărde</u>.</i> hired.man.DEF shuts.up and SE laughs	
"The hired man keeps his mouth shut and is laughing"	
	(SP 136)
b. Căn se ărde, schipune din g	nouth
	(TC 6)
Compare with:	
(18') Argatul tace și se râde.	
It can also be used with the so-called weather verbs:	
(19) de rent'e de nopte se ziče <u>se nop</u>	<u>ota</u> .
of before of night se say.3.SG se get.	dark.INF
"Before night, it is said that is is getting dark"	
	(SF 49)
Impersonal constructions with raised clitics are also attested:	
(19) Ma <u>nu se</u> vręse <u>sculå</u> .	
but not se wanted wake.up.INF	
"But he wouldn't wake up"	
	(SP 142)

II. 4. Expressing Agent in passive and impersonal constructions

Due to its mostly oral use, Istro-Romanian shows little to no examples with *de* 'by' phrases to express the agent of a passive construction; also, there are no attested examples as of yet with regard to the use of the passive in non-finite verb forms.

One peculiar use of the reflexive with a possible passive interpretation can be in such examples as:

			Ionuț Gea	nă			9
(20)	a.	??io	<u>m-am</u>		<u>ănmețåtde</u>		iel'
		Ι	myself.CL-have.	AUX.1.SG	teach.PPLE?	by	him
	"I was taught by him"						
							(HS 96)
	b.	??	? <u>ănmeț</u> åt-s-a	şi	ie, ma ne	de	ţåţe
		te	ach.PPLE=himself.	CL=has.AU	xand he bu	t not	?by dad
	"Н	le was a	lso taught, but not	by dad"			
							(HS 96)

The question marks are there because the source and the agent are expressed in Istro-Romanian with the preposition de (as opposed to $de \, la$ 'from' for source and de 'by' for agent in Daco-Romanian). However, especially with this verb (*learn*//*teach*), similar cases with 1st and 2nd person reflexive passives are attested for southern Italian dialects as well.

III. CONCLUSIONS

The analysis of Istro-Romanian syntactic particularities is important because it shows interesting parallels with standard and regional Daco-Romanian, on the one hand, or with the history of Romanian, on the other. The particular structure of Istro-Romanian passives is, expectedly, similar to Daco-Romanian in many concerns. The fi 'be' and SE passives are widely attested in both historical dialects, whereas the *veri* 'come' passive periphrastic constructionshave a limited distribution both in Istro-Romanian, and in standard/regional Daco-Romanian.

The contact with Slavic languages (Croatian, in particular), which is – as already stated – widely accepted, and the contact with Italian dialects can be indeed seen in syntax as well (more obvious though in the Istro-Romanian vocabulary), but this is yet to be revisited. For example, as seen in subsection *II.2.* above, the *veri* 'come' passives, although stated by most literature to be an influence from Italian (Kovačec (1984: 577), a.o.), have a different distribution both from Italian (with clear examples in (4a, b) – cases in which Italian would not allow for compound tenses), and from Daco-Romanian (the impossibility of impersonal SE rephrasing), the intuition however being that this kind of construction is closer to Western varieties of Daco-Romanian, for which similar periphrases have been attested.

For the contact with Croatian, I have identified verbs that are used reflexively (either inherent, or contextual reflexives, some of them in the so-called SE passive constructions) in different way than Daco-Romanian uses them. For the time being, I have not taken into account the comparison with Aromanian or Megleno-Romanian.

Although the corpus used for the purposes of this article cover more than one hundred years, and in spite of some empirical observations, I did not make any claimson the historical evolution of voice in Istro-Romanian (or any other types of evolution, for that matter). This could however be the subject of future research.

44

SOURCES

- HS M. Marin, M. Tiugan (eds.), 2014, *"Harta sonoră" a graiurilor și a dialectelor limbii române*, Editura Academiei Române.
- LM L. Morariu, Lu Frați Noștri. Libru lu Rumeri din Istrie, Suceava, Editura Revistei "Făt-Frumos", 1928.
- SF Sârbu, R., V. Frățilă, 1998, *Dialectul istroromân*, Timișoara, Armacord.
- SP S. Puşcariu, 1906, Studii istroromâne, I. Texte, and II, 1926, Introducere. Gramatică. Caracterizarea dialectului istroromân, Bucureşti, Cultura Națională, Institutul de Arte Grafice "Carol Göbl".
- TC Cantemir, T., *Texte istroromâne*, 1959, București, Editura Academiei Populare Române.
- Kovačec A. Kovačec, "Istroromâna", in V. Rusu (ed.), 1984, *Tratat de dialectologie românească*, Craiova, Editura Scrisul Românesc, 550–591.

SELECTED REFERENCES

- Dragomirescu, A., A. Nicolae, 2014, "The Multiple Grammaticalization of Romanian veni 'come'. Focusing on the Passive Construction", in Maud Devos, Jenneke van der Wal (eds.), 'Come' and 'go' off the beaten grammaticalization path. Berlin-New York: Mouton de Gruyter, pp. 69–100.
- Geană, I., 2017 "On the Use of Compound Past in Istro-Romanian", in A. Dragomirescu, A. Nicolae, C. Stan, R. Zafiu (eds.), *Sintaxa ca mod de a fi. Omagiu Gabrielei Pană Dindelegan, la aniversare*, Editura Universității din București, pp. 207–212.
- Giacalone Ramat, A., A. Sansò, 2014, "Venire ('come') as a passive auxiliary in Italian", in Maud Devos, Jenneke van der Wal (eds.), 'Come" and 'go' off the beaten grammaticalization path. Berlin-New York: Mouton de Gruyter, pp. 21–44.
- Giusti, G., I. Zegrean, 2015, "Syntactic Protocols to Enhance Inclusive Cultural Identity. A Case Study on Istro-Romanian Clausal Structure", Quaderni di Linguistica e Studi Orientali / Working Papers in Linguistics and Orientral Studies, n. 1 (2015), pp. 117–138.
- Iordan, Iorgu, 1950, Note sintactice, SCL, I (2), 269.
- Kovačec, A., 1984, "Istroromâna", in: V. Rusu (ed.), *Tratat de dialectologie românească*, Craiova, Editura Scrisul Românesc, pp. 550–591.
- Pană Dindelegan, G. (coord.), 2010, *Gramatica de bază a limbii române*, București, Editura Univers Enciclopedic Gold.
- Pușcariu, S., 1926, *Introducere. Gramatică. Caracterizarea dialectului istroromân*, Cultura Națională București, Institutul de Arte Grafice "Carol Göbl".
- Rusu, V. (ed.), 1984, Tratat de dialectologie românească, Craiova, Editura Scrisul Românesc.
- Sârbu, R., V. Frățilă, 1998, Dialectul istroromân, Timișoara, Editura Armacord.
- Timotin, E., 2000a, "Pasivul românesc în textele originale din secolul al XVI-lea", in SCL, LI, nr. 1, pp. 225–231.
- Timotin, E., 2000b, "Modalități de exprimare a pasivului în dialectele românești sud-dunărene", in SCL, LI, nr. 2, pp. 481–490.
- Timotin, E., 2002, "Originea pasivului românesc", SCL, LIII, pp. 95-109.
- Vrzić, Z., J. V. Singler, 2016, "Identity and language shift among Vlashki/Zheyanski speakers in Croatia", in Ferreira, V., P. Brouda, Language Documentation & Conservation Special Publication No. 9 (January 2016): Language Documentation and Conservation in Europe, pp. 51–68.
- Zegrean, I.-G., 2012, Aspects on the Syntax of Istro-Romanian (PhD Thesis, mss), Venezia, Università Ca'Foscari, Italy.

VOICE IN ISTRO-ROMANIAN. A CORPUS-BASED ANALYSIS

ABSTRACT

This article deals with the presentation of voice in Istro-Romanian. Voice in Istro-Romanian shows many similarities with standard (and regional) Romanian, mainly due to their Easter Romance status (comparisons with Aromanian or Megleno-Romanian are beyond the scope of this article, but they are a source for future research). Although not bringing methodological or scientific breakthroughs, my contribution based on corpus analysis brings evidence on the vitality of voice as a pragma-syntactic category in Istro-Romanian. The active/passive/impersonal system is both described and exemplified. The contact with Croatian is obvious also in the use of voice, whereas the Italian influence, as per the literature, – in the sense of a specific passive periphrastic construction with *veri* come' – is reconsidered, as some of the examples provided by the corpus contradict the literature on the use of the 'come' passives in (dialectal) Italian.

Institutul de Lingvistică al Academiei Române "Iorgu Iordan – Alexandru Rosetti" Facultatea de Litere, Universitatea din București