

# Index

## A

Abstract argumentation, 627–633  
admissible sets of arguments, 628  
labelling arguments, 631–633  
Abstractors, 312  
Abusive *ad hominem*, 165, 173, 581  
Academic domain, 586  
Accent, 80  
Acceptability, 188–189  
Accident, 81, 122, 168  
*Ad baculum*, 169  
*Ad consequentiam*, 170  
Addressivity, 414  
Adequate, 195  
*Ad fallacy*, 25, 142, 168  
Adherence, 262  
*Ad ignorantiam*, 165  
Adjudication, 558  
*Ad misericordiam*, 169  
*Ad populum*, 169  
*Ad verecundiam*, 165  
Affirming the consequent, 171, 547  
Amphiboly (fallacy) 79, 548  
Analytical research, 11, 522  
Analytic argument, 209–212, 225–227  
Analytic function, 529  
Analytic overview, 522, 536  
Answerer, 56, 62–65, 72–74, 78  
Antagonist, 520, 525, 537, 546  
Araucaria system, 656  
Arbiter, 330  
Argument, 375–376, 387, 390, 393, 398–400,  
    402–404, 429–430, 435, 438, 447, 467  
    acceptability, 375, 400, 402–403  
    analysis, 375, 388, 393, 398  
    evaluation, 390  
    field, 213–214, 245, 448  
    form, 148, 151, 157  
    frame, 467

from effect to effect, 430  
from example, 429  
from external circumstances, 88  
from position to know, 404  
from sign, 19, 430  
Argumentation, 1, 3–7, 18–21, 272–284, 616  
    artificial intelligence, 616  
    based on coexistential relation, 277  
    based on combination of sequential and  
        coexistential relations, 279  
    based on contradictions, 273  
    based on (perfect or partial) identity, 274  
    based on relation part-whole, 273  
    based on sequential relation, 276  
    based on structure of reality, 275  
    based on transitivity, 274  
    by comparison, 275  
    by example, 280  
    by illustration, 280  
    by model, 281  
    by the probable, 275  
    by/from analogy, 281, 429  
    claiming a logical relation, 273–274  
    claiming a mathematical relation, 273–274  
    establishing structure of reality, 279  
    from authority, 278  
    process, 3, 6  
    product, 3, 6  
Argumentation dialogues, 642–643, 645  
    in AI and law, 643  
    in multi-agent systems, 645  
Argument(ation) scheme, 19–21, 270,  
    376, 381, 403–407, 409, 411,  
    537, 640–642  
Argumentation stage, 529–530  
Argument(ation) structure, 21–24, 399–403,  
    536–537, 633–635, 637–638  
    arguments and classical logic, 637  
    combining support and attack, 638

- Argument(ation) structure (*cont.*)  
 conclusive force, 634–636  
 premise-conclusion model, 399  
*prima facie* assumptions, 636–637  
 specificity, 633–634  
 standard model, 400  
 Toulmin model, 400
- Argumentation support software, 655, 657, 659  
 argument diagramming, 656–658  
 argument evaluation, 660–661  
 integration of rules and argument schemes, 659–660
- Argumentational integrity, 546
- Argumentational strategy, 556
- Argumentative activity type, 562, 585
- Argumentative means and criticism, 560
- Argumentative orientation, 492
- Argumentative question, 499
- Argumentative strategy, 556
- Argumentative theory (of human reasoning) 695–698
- Argumentative turn in cognitive psychology, 678, 695–698
- Argument mark-up language (AML) 656
- Argument scheme rule, 544, 547, 549, 552
- Argument strength, probability and other quantitative approaches, 662–663
- Argumentum ad baculum*, 546
- Argumentum ad consequentiam*, 548
- Argumentum ad hominem*, 164–165, 173–174, 431, 546, 564–565
- Argumentum ad ignorantiam*, 165, 546, 548
- Argumentum ad misericordiam*, 546
- Argumentum ad populum*, 431, 546, 548
- Argumentum ad verecundiam*, 165, 172–173, 546, 548, 552, 564
- Argumentum model (of topics) 505
- Arrangement, 60, 72, 117, 126–127
- Artificial intelligence (AI) 41, 44, 616
- Assertion, 317, 326, 328
- Assertive, 531
- Assertive move, 327
- Associated conditional, 111, 537
- Association, 270
- Atomic sentence, 146
- Attitude change, 459
- Audience, 260, 262–264, 286, 414, 436, 444, 449
- Audience demand, 554
- Authoritative argumentation, 236
- Authority argument, 564, 566
- Axiom, 349
- B**  
 Backing, 219, 223, 241  
 Balance-of-consideration argument, 409  
 Balk, 362  
 Bandwagon argument, 169  
 Bayesian epistemology, 662  
 Begging the question, 84, 168, 172, 547  
 Belvedere system, 657  
 Bias *ad hominem*, 165  
 Big Rhetoric, 34, 446–447  
 Blanket assumption of existential import, 98, 101  
 Burden of proof, 545–546, 549, 661  
 evading the burden of proof, 546–547, 550  
 shifting the burden of proof, 546
- C**  
 Canons of rhetoric, 60, 117, 128  
 Case studies, 665  
 Case-based reasoning, 391, 650–653  
 Categorical assertion, 331  
 Categorical statement, 97, 98, 101  
 Categorically provisoed assertion, 331  
 Causal argumentation/reasoning, 234, 430, 537–538, 547  
 Cautious assertion, 331  
 Cautiously provisoed assertion, 332  
 Chain of arguments, 341  
 Challenger, 213, 216, 248  
 Circle game, 356  
 Circular reasoning, 168, 172, 547  
 Circumstantial *ad hominem*, 165  
 Civility, 694  
 Claim, 14, 212, 216, 247  
 Classical dialectic, 15, 27, 53–58, 61–94  
 Classical dialogue game, 320  
 Classical rhetoric, 23, 27, 31, 37, 58–61  
 Code of conduct (for reasonable discussants) 541  
 Cogency-centred empirical research, 522  
 Collection, 56  
 Commissive, 531–532  
 Commitment, 335, 350–351, 363  
 to assertions, 364  
 to concessions, 364  
 rules, 350–351  
 Common *topoi*, 120, 126  
 Communication studies and rhetoric, 43  
 Communicative activity type, 557–558, 560, 565, 566  
 Communicative domain, 557, 581  
 Communicative rationality, 710

- Communion-seeking, 558  
Company, 176, 196  
Comparison argumentation, 548  
Competent judge, 313  
Complex/compound statements, 311, 314–345  
Composition, 59, 79, 91, 121, 170, 547, 549  
Concession, 328, 335  
*Conciliatio*, 557  
Concluding Rule, 544, 548  
Concluding stage, 529–531  
Concluding strategy, 556  
Conclusion, 14, 145, 151, 154, 156, 158, 181  
Conditional, 93, 110, 147, 149, 229, 232, 315  
Conditional relevance, 464  
Conductive reasoning, 392, 409  
Confirmationism, 330  
Conflict of avowed opinions, 336  
Confrontation stage, 529  
Confrontational strategy, 579, 583  
Conjunction, 110, 149, 153, 315  
Connective, 491  
*Consequentiae*, 153  
Consequential inconsistency, 358  
Consequent, 83, 93  
Constructive dialogue game, 320  
Constructive formal dialogue game, 322  
Context, 167, 177, 538, 565  
    discursive, 538  
    intertextual, 538  
    macro, 538, 565  
    meso, 538  
    micro, 538  
Contextual criterion, 177  
Contextual domain of relevance, 568  
Contradictries, 70, 97, 102  
Contra-position, 338  
Contraries, 69, 97  
*Contrarium*, 711  
Controversy, 175–183, 684  
Conventional criteria, 176, 181  
Conventional validity, 182, 196, 527  
Conventionalization of communicative activity  
    type, 557  
Convergent argument, 22, 380, 401  
Conversation analysis, 463, 502  
Convertible, 98  
Convincingness, 580  
Coordinative(ly compound) argumentation, 22,  
    569  
    complementary coordinative  
        argumentation, 569  
    cumulative coordinative argumentation,  
        569  
Correctness condition speech act, 524  
Correctness, 179, 187  
Counterattack, 337, 339  
Counterconsiderations, 409  
Counterexample, 151, 156–159  
Counterinterpretation, 157  
Countermodel, 157  
Criteria, 213, 215  
Critical discourse analysis, 678–683  
Critical discussion, 521, 527–533  
Critical linguistics, 681  
Critical question(s) 19, 233, 381,  
    404–405  
Critical rationalism, 193  
Critical thinking, 378, 394  
Critical-rationalist philosophy of  
    reasonableness, 593  
Cultural keyword, 509–511
- D**
- Dark-side commitments, 364  
Data, 216–217, 230, 247–248  
Debate, 433  
Debate proposition, 14, 428  
Declarative, 532  
Declaring a standpoint sacrosanct, 552  
De-dichotomization, 686  
Deductibility, 155  
Deductive argument, 428  
Deductive move, 327  
Deductive validity, 150, 152, 377, 390  
Deductivism, 390  
Deep disagreement, 6, 687, 739  
Defeasible reasoning, 622–623,  
    626–627  
    deductive reasons, 624  
    forms of argument defeat, 626  
    induction, 625  
    memory, 625  
    origins, 622  
    perception, 624–625  
    statistical syllogism, 625  
    undercutting and rebutting, 623  
Definite description, 312  
Definition, 71, 88, 91, 313  
Deliberation, 406–407, 413, 558  
Deliberative genre, 119, 123  
Demonstrative (argument) 111  
Denying an accepted starting point, 552  
Denying an unexpressed premise, 546–547,  
    552  
Denying the antecedent, 171, 547

- Deontic logic, 149  
 Derailments of strategic manoeuvring, 563–567  
 Derivationally valid, 151, 159  
 Descriptive (empirical) dimension, 7–9, 35  
 Descriptive standpoint/thesis, 7, 191  
 Determiner, 331, 335  
 Dialectic, 184, 302  
 Dialectical clue, 568  
 Dialectic(al) dimension, 520, 522, 587  
 Dialectical profile, 367, 570  
 Dialectical strength, 461  
 Dialectical system, 348  
 Dialectical tier, 385  
 Dialectification, 526–527  
 Dialogical clue, 569  
 Dialogical model of argumentation, 499  
 Dialogical shift, 375, 406  
 Dialogical tableaux, 309  
 Dialogically valid, 151, 159  
 Dialogue, 265, 308, 317, 339, 349  
     attitude, 339  
     game, 309  
     rule, 318, 350  
 Dialogue type, 406–407, 409, 413, 560  
     deliberation, 406–407, 413  
     information-seeking, 406–407  
     inquiry, 406–407  
     negotiation, 406–407  
     persuasion, 406–407, 410  
 Dichotomization, 686  
 Difference of maximal propositions (*differentia*) 92–93  
 Difference of opinion, 2, 6, 8, 15, 24, 38, 520–521, 524  
 Direct proof, 102, 104  
 Directive, 531  
 Discourse analysis, 503  
 Discourse component of relevance, 568  
 Discourse entity, 483  
 Discursive context, 538  
 Discussion *vs.* debate, 265  
 Discussion strategy, 556  
 Disjunction, 110, 147, 149, 316  
 Dissociation, 271, 282, 287, 573  
 Distinction, 363  
 Distorting an unexpressed premise, 546–547  
 Divergent argument, 380  
 Division, 57, 80, 121, 170, 547, 549  
 Double hierarchy argumentation, 279  
 Doxa, 504  
 Dynamic dialectics, 342–343
- E**  
 Effectiveness (research) 456, 575  
 Elaboration likelihood, 462  
 Elementary rule, 338  
 Elementary statement, 311  
 Elementary truth table, 147  
 Emic perspective, 526  
 Emotion, 501  
 Empirical criterion/criteria, 176–178  
 Empirical logic, 388  
 Empirical research, 11, 39, 521–522  
 Empragmatic speech, 310  
*Endoxon*, 63, 507  
 Enthymeme, 17, 118–120, 443  
 Entitlement-preserving argument, 398  
 Enunciator, 495–496  
 Epicheireme, 31, 125, 237  
 Epistemic approach to fallacies, 175  
 Epistemic dimension, 595–600  
 Epistemic logic, 149  
 Epistemological approach, 375, 394  
 Epistemology, 211, 242  
 Equivocation, 79, 548  
 Eristic debate, 56, 66, 73  
 Eristics, 406–407  
 Ethical (ethotic) fallacy, 168  
 Ethical (means of persuasion) 117, 125–126  
 Ethos, 432, 503, 542, 546, 585  
 Ethotic fallacy, 546  
 Etic perspective, 526  
 Euler diagram, 212  
 European Predicament, 583  
 Evaluation, 374–376, 381, 390, 393, 397–398, 409  
 Evaluative function, 522  
 Evaluative standpoint, 7  
 Evidence, 661, 663  
     burden of proof, 661  
     inference to the best explanation, 663  
 Examples, 118, 124–125  
 Exhibiting genre, 119, 123  
 Exigence, 444  
 Existential statement, 318  
 Explicitization procedure, 540  
 Expressive, 532  
 Expressive design, 454–455  
 Extended theory, 552  
 Extension, 98, 125, 130  
 External *loci*, 88  
 Externalization, 525–526  
 Extrinsic difference, 93

**F**

- Facts, 267, 275, 277, 279  
Fallacy, 21–27, 39, 43, 76–85, 120–121, 163–175, 545–552  
Fallacy of ambiguity, 168  
Fallacy of relevance, 168  
Fallacy (theory) 375, 380, 397, 408  
False, 147, 156, 163  
False analogy, 168, 548  
Falsey presenting a premise as self-evident, 547, 552  
Falsey presenting something as a common starting point, 547, 552  
Falsificationism, 330  
Field-independency, 232  
Field-invariance, 213–214, 222, 246  
Force, 213, 215  
Form of expression, 80, 121  
Formal, 302–303, 305–306, 334–336, 344  
criterion, 334  
dialectical system, 303, 306, 348  
logical system, 302  
Formal approach, 31, 33  
Formal dialectic, 25–26, 32, 38, 42, 305–306, 336–337, 348  
Formal validity, 207, 210, 224  
Formally valid, 151, 153, 158  
Four terms, 166  
Free floating forms of reasoning, 234–235  
Freedom Rule, 542, 545  
Functional design, 454–455  
Functionalization, 523–524  
Fundamental move, 331  
Fundamental norm, 338, 340

**G**

- Game of inductive generalization, 349  
General dialogue rule, 320, 322  
constructive dialogue game, 320  
constructive formal dialogue game, 322  
General principle of communication, 538  
General principle of interaction, 538  
General soundness criterion, 544, 565  
General term, 96–97  
Genre of communicative activity, 557  
Genres, 118–119, 121  
*Genus*, 58, 71  
Geometrical model of validity, 206–207  
Gnostic criteria, 396  
Good reasoning, 411  
Greek game, 349  
Ground, 215, 229

**H**

- Hasty generalization, 548  
Health domain, 581  
Hermes system, 657  
Heuristic function, 529  
Higher-order conditions/rules, 346, 583  
Historical controversy analysis, 678, 683–688  
Historical-political analysis, 439  
Hunch, 362

**I**

- Ideal speech situation, 528  
Ideas in themselves, 154  
Identification procedure, 539  
Identity condition speech act, 524  
Idol, 163  
*Ignoratio elenchi*, 77, 82–83, 166, 168–169, 546  
Illative core, 384  
Image, 484  
Immediate consequence, 359  
Immediately inconsistent, 359  
Immunizing a standpoint against criticism, 552  
Indeterminate statement, 178  
Indirect argumentation, 575  
Indirect proof, 102, 104  
Individual constant, 318  
Inductive argument, 429  
Inference claim, 232, 412  
Inference licence, 230  
Inference procedure, 540  
Inference rule, 250  
Informal logic, 23, 33, 34, 41–42, 373–376, 381–387, 390–394, 399, 406, 410–413  
argument diagramming methods, 399  
Blair and Johnson's contributions, 381–387  
conceptions of, 373–376  
epistemological approaches, 394–399  
fallacy theory, methods, and key concepts, 408–410  
Finocchiaro's historical and empirical approach, 387–390  
Govier's critical analysis, 390–394  
Hitchcock's contributions, 410–413  
movement, 376–381  
Information-seeking, 406–407  
Information-seeking dialogue, 326–329  
Inherent interactional (perlocutionary) effect, 580  
Initial move, 366  
Initial situation, 560  
Inquiry, 406–407

- Institutional point, 558  
 Institutional precondition, 562  
*Intellectio*, 123–124  
 Intension, 98  
 Intermediate difference, 94  
 Internal *loci*, 88  
 Interpersonal argumentation, 463  
 Interpersonal verification, 314  
 Interpretation function, 157  
 Interpretational counterexample, 157  
 Interpretation, 157, 186  
 Interrogative move, 327  
 Intersubjective validity, 195, 577  
 Intrinsic difference, 93  
 Introduction by means of examples, 312  
 Invalid, 156–157  
 Invention, 60, 121, 123–126  
*Ipse dixisti!* remark, 341  
 Irrelevant argumentation, 546
- J**  
 Judicial genre, 119, 123  
 Justifying force, 190
- L**  
 Language Use Rule, 544, 548  
 Law of identity, 182  
 Law of non contradiction, 182  
 Legal domain, 582–583  
*Lekton*, 106–107  
 Linked argument, 22, 380, 400, 402  
 Local discussion, 340  
 Locality, 414  
 Local thesis, 340  
 Loci, 87–94, 269  
*Locus*, 86, 88, 91, 506–507  
*Locus a genere*, 90  
*Locus ex comparatione*, 90  
 Locution rules, 350  
 Locutor, 495  
 Logical axiom system, 159  
 Logical calculus, 213  
 Logical constant, 145–146, 153, 309, 314, 318  
 Logical convention, 196  
 Logical criteria, 176  
 Logical fallacy, 166  
 Logical (means of persuasion), 59,  
     117–118, 125  
 Logical minimum, 537  
 Logical semi-convention, 196  
 Logical type, 213, 245, 447
- Logical validity, 150–151, 163, 182, 196  
 Logician's conditionals, 359  
 Logico-discursive operation, 489  
 Logico-intellectual language problem, 194  
 Logos, 236, 433, 546
- M**  
 Macro level, 216  
 Major term, 99  
 Making an absolute of the success of the  
     defense, 548, 552  
 Many questions (fallacy), 84, 167–168, 172,  
     547  
 Material criterion, 335  
 Material dialogue game, 321  
 Material implication, 110  
 Materially valid, 153  
 Maximal proposition, 91–94  
 Medical domain, 585–586  
 Memorizing, 68, 128–129  
 Message content, 460, 689  
 Message structure, 459, 689  
 Method of collection and division, 57–58  
 Method of hypothesizing, 57  
 Method of semantic tableaux, 149, 195  
 Method of truth tables, 149, 195  
 Methodological formulation, 182  
 Methodological necessity, 182, 195  
 Methodological statement, 177  
 Micro argument, 233, 239  
 Middle term, 99  
 Minor term, 99  
 Mixed conflict under complete opposition, 337  
 Modal logic, 149  
 Modal qualifier, 218, 241  
 Modal terms, 213, 215  
 Modality, 488, 510  
 Mode of strategic manoeuvring, 566  
 Model, 157  
*Modus (ponendo/tollendo) ponens*, 113–114  
 Modus ponens, 237  
 More acceptable than, 188  
 More precise than, 188  
 Motivational argumentation, 236  
 Multiple argumentation, 23, 537, 569  
*Münchhausen trilemma*, 193  
 Myself, 324
- N**  
 Narrativity, 442  
 Natural deduction, 160, 163

- Natural language argument, 374–375, 377, 390, 393, 407, 409  
Natural logic, 37, 43, 481  
Nature, 324  
Necessary premise, 72  
Necessary signs, 118  
Necessitation, 151–152  
Negation, 147, 149, 317  
Negotiation, 406–407  
New rhetoric, 20, 31–32, 34, 40, 260  
*Non sequitur*, 169  
Non-analytic thinking, 261  
Non-cause, 84, 121  
Non-empirical statement, 180  
Non-logical constant, 146  
Non-logical fallacy, 166  
Non-monotonic logic, 618–622  
    default rules, 618–619  
    impact of, 621–622  
    logic programming, 619–620  
    themes in, 620–621  
Norm of reasonableness, 9–11, 690  
Normative (critical) dimension, 9, 38  
Normative pragmatic research program, 520–523  
Normative pragmatics, 9, 454–459, 520  
Normative thesis, 191  
*Notatio*, 89
- O**  
Objects of agreement for argumentation, 267, 270  
Objective criterion, 177  
Objectively better than, 195  
Objective validity, 195  
Obligation game, 349  
Obligation to Defend Rule, 542  
*Officia oratoris*, 60  
One-sided message, 460  
Opening stage, 529–530  
Opening strategy, 556  
Operator, 488  
Opponent, 315, 318, 331  
Orderly dialectics, 342  
Ortholanguage, 310  
Ostensive/deictic act, 311  
Outcome of the discourse, 560
- P**  
Pancritical rationalism, 193  
Paralogism, 76  
Partially convertible, 98  
Particular vs. universal audience, 264, 266  
*Partium enumeratio*, 88  
Party, 340–341  
Pathetical fallacy, 168, 546  
Pathetical (means of persuasion) 118  
Pathos, 432, 542  
Perfect inference, 153  
Performance, 129  
Permissive persuasion dialogue, 364  
Personal attack, 564  
Personal sphere, 451  
Persuasion dialogue, 354  
Persuasion research, 16, 30, 44, 459–462, 678, 689  
Persuasion, 384, 395, 406–407, 410, 428, 459  
Persuasive vs. convincing, 264, 487  
Persuasiveness, 580  
*Petitio principii*, 168, 172, 547  
Philosophical research, 9, 521  
Plausibilist criteria, 396–397  
Point of departure of argumentation, 12, 266–270, 571  
Point of view, 14  
Political domain, 583–585  
Polyphony, 494–497, 500  
Possible world, 156  
*Post hoc ergo propter hoc*, 169  
Practical research, 11–12, 522–523  
Pragma-dialectical theory, 22, 26, 38, 43, 175, 520, 583  
Pragmatic argumentation, 276  
Pragmatic clue, 569  
Pragmatic concept of validity, 150, 344  
Pragmatic dimension, 588–589  
Pragmatic optimum, 537, 547  
Pragmatics, 9, 506  
Precision, 179  
Precization, 186–187, 195  
Predicables, 69  
Predicate, 97, 99  
Predicate logic, 149  
Predicator rules, 312  
Predicator, 311  
Premise, 145, 154, 156  
Premise-conclusion model, 399  
Premises relating to preferable, 267  
Premises relating to real, 267  
Prescriptive standpoint, 7  
Presentational device, 554  
Presentational transformation, 537  
Presumption, 267, 402  
Presupposition, 167  
Primitive definition, 349

- Principal objective of theoretical logic, 194  
 Principle of conditionalization, 111  
 Principle of (moderate) charity, 392  
 Principle of Reasonableness, 563  
 Principle of (verbal) externalization of dialectics, 340  
*Pro-*aut-contra* survey*, 189  
*Probabilities*, 118  
 Problematology, 719  
 Problem-solving validity, 195, 527, 545, 592  
 Procedurist, 713  
*Pro-*et-contra* survey*, 189  
 Profile of dialogue, 366–367, 570  
 Proof, 102, 111  
 Property, 71  
 Proponent, 318, 320, 331  
 Proposition, 2–3, 107–111, 125, 147, 154, 338  
 Propositional constants, 146  
 Proposition(al content) 2  
 Propositional logic, 146, 148, 151  
 Propositional question, 327  
 Prosbatic criteria, 396  
 Protagonist, 537  
*Protasis*, 63  
 Protective defence, 339  
 Public sphere, 450, 453  
 Purpose (of making a statement) 177
- Q**  
 Quality notion, 690  
 Quasi-logical argumentation, 272–275  
 Questioner, 55, 62, 79
- R**  
 Radical argumentativism, 37, 43, 490–494  
*Ratio cognoscendi*, 444  
*Ratio essendi*, 444  
 Rational judge, 5, 40, 259  
 Real disagreement, 187–188  
 Realistic dialectics, 340  
 Reason, 13, 18, 22  
 Reasonable judge, 5–7, 313  
 Reasonable(ness) 9–10, 259, 518, 563
  - anthropological perspective, 9
  - critical perspective, 9
  - geometrical perspective, 9–10
 Reasoning from analogy, 235  
 Reasoning from authority, 235  
 Reasoning from cause to effect, 235  
 Reasoning from circumstantial evidence to hypothesis, 235
- Reasoning from comparison, 235  
 Reasoning from criteria to a verbal classification, 235  
 Reasoning from definition to characteristics, 235  
 Reasoning from example to a descriptive generalization, 235  
 Reasoning from sign to unobserved event, 235  
 Rebuttal, 218, 243  
 Reconstruction, 534–539  
 Reconstruction transformation, 535  
*Reductio ad absurdum/impossibile*, 54, 84, 94, 102, 160, 431  
 Reflection-minded practical research, 523  
 Refutation, 55, 76  
 Relational respect of relevance, 568  
 Relevance, 168, 191, 381–382, 385, 400–401, 415, 568
  - analytic relevance, 568
  - evaluative relevance, 568
  - interpretive relevance, 568
 Relevance, acceptability, sufficiency (RAS) criteria, 381–382, 384, 393  
 Relevance Rule, 542  
 Representation, 485  
 Research program, 9–10, 36  
 Respondent, 331, 350  
 Resolution-oriented analysis, 522  
 Responsibilist criteria, 396  
 Rewarding dialectics, 341–342  
 Rhetoric, 7  
*Rhetorica ad Alexandrum*, 61, 236  
 Rhetorical analogue of dialectical aim, 554  
 Rhetorical approach, 395, 413–416  
 Rhetorical criticism, 426, 439  
 Rhetorical dimension, 553, 555  
 Rhetorical situation, 445  
 Rhetorical theory, 443, 447  
 Rigorous persuasion dialogue, 364  
 Room 5 system, 657–658  
 Rule for critical discussion, 539–552, 545, 564–565, 577  
 Rule of communication, 538  
 Rule-based reasoning, 647–650  
 Rules of conversion, 98, 104  
 Rules of inference, 231
- S**  
 Schematization, 487  
 Scientific controversy, 387  
 Scientific dialectics, 722  
 Second order condition, 541

- Secundum quid*, 82, 168, 548  
Self-deliberation, 265  
Semantic block, 497–498  
Semantic concept of validity, 150  
Semantics, 489–490  
Semantic tableau, 309  
Semi-conventional principle, 196  
Semi-conventional validity, 196  
Sentence, 145  
Sentence form, 148  
Sentential constant, 146  
Serial argument, 22, 380  
Side payment, 328  
Sign triangle, 186  
Signs, 107–109, 118  
Simple (pure) conflict, 338  
Simply valid, 154  
Single argumentation, 574  
Situational counterexample, 156  
Situationally valid, 159  
Slippery slope, 170, 548  
Social and cultural critique, 447  
Socialization, 524–525  
Socratic refutation debate, 55–56  
Solution, 75, 84  
Sophism, 163  
Sophistical refutation, 73, 78  
Soundness, 10, 29, 31  
Soundness criteria, 566  
  general soundness criteria, 566  
  specific soundness criteria, 566  
Special topoi, 120  
Specific soundness criteria, 566  
Speech act, 464, 518, 523, 538  
Speech event, 558  
Sphere of argumentation, 447–453  
Stage, 340  
Standard model, 400  
Standard of reasonableness, 690 *See also* Norm of reasonableness  
Standard theory, 552  
Standard Treatment, 25, 142, 166–175  
Standoffs at force five, 687  
Standpoint, 2, 4–5, 7, 13–16, 21, 31, 542  
Standpoint Rule, 542  
Starting point, 560  
Starting Point Rule, 543, 547  
Stasis, 432  
*Stasis/status* theory, 121, 124  
*Status conjecturalis*, 124  
*Status definitionis*, 124  
*Status qualitatis*, 125  
*Status translationis*, 125  
Stereotype, 503  
Stoc-issue, 432  
Strategic maneuvering, 552–557  
Strategic maneuvering triangle, 554  
Straw man, 170, 550  
Strictly constructive dialogue game, 320  
Strong distinction, 333  
Structural rules, 350  
Stylistics, 447  
Sub-discussion, 540  
Subjective thought, 154  
Subject, 87, 96  
Subordinative(ly compound) argumentation, 23, 537  
Substantial argument, 209–212  
Substantive argumentation, 236–237  
Substitutional counterexample, 157, 158  
Sufficiency, 381–383, 385  
*Syllogismos*, 94  
Syllogistic, 27, 41, 94–105  
Symptomatic argumentation, 547  
Syntactic concepts of validity, 150, 159–163  
System H, 349–352  
System of classical rhetoric, 121–123, 128  
Systematic dialectics, 340
- T**  
Tasks of the speaker, 60, 117, 121  
Technical (means of persuasion) 117  
Technical sphere, 451  
Ten Commandments, 541  
Tenability, 189  
Tense logic, 149  
Term, 311  
Testing procedure, 540  
Thema, 112  
Theoretical research, 7, 39–40, 521  
Theory of argumentation in the language, 497–498  
Theory of inquiry, 446  
Thesis, 14, 309, 322, 330, 336  
Thetical reason, 708  
Third order condition, 541  
Thoroughgoing dialectics, 342  
Topical potential, 554  
Topics, 505  
*Topos*, 20, 68–72, 120–121, 493  
Toulmin model, 31–32, 35, 42  
*Trivium*, 131  
True, 147  
Truth, 267, 377, 382, 385, 395  
Truth preservation, 231

Truth-preserving argument, 398

Truth table, 147, 149

Truth-value, 146

*Tu quoque*, 165

Turn-taking, 464

Two-sided message, 461

Type of argumentation, 19–21, 24

## U

Unclearness fallacy, 548

Undemonstrated arguments, 112–113

Unexpressed premise/standpoint, 17–18, 20, 537

Unexpressed premise rule, 543, 546

Unexpressed standpoint, 546

Universal context, 179

Universal statement, 317–318

Usage declarative, 532

## V

Valid, 148, 153

Valid as for now, 154

Validity, 17, 26, 40, 42, 99, 102–103, 142, 144,

148, 150–163, 206–210, 222, 245

logical validity, 17, 26, 42, 150

pragmatic validity, 40

Validity Rule, 543, 547

Value hierarchies, 268

Values, 268

Values and audiences, 654–655

Variable, 148, 157

Verbal disagreement, 188

Verbal reasoning, 234

## W

Warrant, 216–217, 222–223, 229–230, 240,

250, 398, 400, 401, 403, 410–411

Weak distinction, 332

Wh-question, 327

Why-Because system with questions, 349

Winning rule, 320, 322

Winning strategy, 344

Woods-Walton approach, 175, 355–358

Woods-Walton dialogue-segment, 357

Wording, 128