

## FACTORS CONTRIBUTING TO RECEPTIVITY TO NEW CLEAN AIR LAW AMONG TRANSYLVANIAN TEENAGERS

Enikő Albert-Lőrincz, Botond Dániel, Kristie L. Foley, Béla Szabó, Edit Paulik,  
Ildikó Andrea Gáspárik

Professor, PhD, University Babes-Bolyai, Cluj Napoca, PhD. student, Babes-Bolyai  
University of Cluj-Napoca, Professor, Department of Implementation Science,  
Program leader for Cancer Prevention and Control, Wake Forest School of  
Medicine, Winston-Salem, NC, USA

Associate Professor, PhD, Faculty of Sociology and Social Work, University  
Babes-Bolyai, of Cluj Napoca, Associate Professor, PhD, Department of Public  
Health, Faculty of Medicine, University of Szeged, Szeged, Hungary, Assistant  
Lecturer, PhD, Department of Public Health and Health Management, University  
of Medicine and Pharmacy of Târgu Mureș, Târgu Mureș

*Abstract: In March 2016, a new Clean Air Law was implemented in Romania, which bans smoking in common areas and enclosed public spaces. The aim of study was to assess the perceptions of the new tobacco control law among adolescents in Romania and to understand the role of sociodemographic, behavioral, environmental and personal factors on influencing adolescent perceptions. We conducted a self-administered, cross-sectional survey of 695 7th grade students from 21 localities, 21 schools, and 55 classes. Logistic regression was used to assess the correlates of acceptance of the clean air law. Most of the responders (94.8%) had heard about the new law, and 76.0% of the students agreed with the bans on smoking in closed places. According to the regression analysis the law was eight times more accepted by the non-smokers. It is also important how informed they are concerning the law – more they know, more they accept it.*

*Keywords: smoking bans, knowledge, attitude*

### Introduction

Tobacco use is a major preventable cause of premature death and disease, causing over five million deaths each year worldwide. Nearly all tobacco use begins in childhood and adolescence (Shaik and Doshi 2016; U.S. Department of Health and Human Services 2012). More than 4 million Romanians (27% of the population) were current smokers in 2014, and 42,000 Romanians die annually from tobacco-related causes (European Commission 2015). Survey data reveal that 43.1% of the smokers started daily smoking before reaching the age of 19; 43.1% started between the ages 17–19 years; and 21.7% started between 15–16 years (World Health Organization 2012).

The attitudes towards smoking are influenced by multiple factors, including the family, peer influence, societal norms and tobacco control policies that create an either permissive or restrictive culture towards tobacco. While the policy may have a direct impact on adolescent attitudes towards smoking, such attitudes among young adolescents are likely mediated through environmental (e.g. parental attitudes and/or behavior), behavioral, and personal (e.g. knowledge) factors (Tyas and Pederson 1998).

In March 2016, the Romanian government modified the 349/2002 law regarding tobacco control and from the beginning of April, a new National Clean Air law (15/2016) was implemented, which bans smoking in common areas and all enclosed public spaces. The aim of this study was to assess the perceptions of the new tobacco control law among adolescents in Romania and to

understand the role of sociodemographic, behavioral, environmental and personal factors on influencing adolescent perceptions.

### Methods

We conducted a cross-sectional, self-administered questionnaire-based study among 7th grade students from three Transylvanian counties (Mures, Covasna, Harghita) during June 2016, approximately 2 months after the new law was implemented. The stratified sample involved 695 students from 21 localities, 21 schools, and 55 classes; the stratification was based on the size of the settlements, and three categories – below 5000 inhabitants, 5000–30000 inhabitants, above 30000 inhabitants – were defined. Because of the incomplete filling of questionnaires, only 588 students were involved into the multivariate analyses.

The questionnaire measured sociodemographic characteristics, smoking behavior of students and their parents, students' knowledge about the anti-smoking law, communication of the law in the school and in the family, and the agreement with the content of the law.

Sociodemographic variables included gender, age in years and type of settlement. Students and their parents were classified into two groups according to their actual smoking status, such as smokers and non-smokers. The students' knowledge about the anti-smoking law was measured with twelve questions about the places (school, bus station, closed public places etc.) where smoking is allowed or not allowed according to the new law; the number of correct answers was summarized and expressed as „knowledge-index” ranged from 0 to 12 (0=the lowest knowledge, 12=the best knowledge). The communication of the law was measured by two simple questions, such as „Have you heard about the antismoking law in your school / in your family?” The agreement with the bans on smoking in various places was measured by a 4-point-scale (1=completely yes; 4=completely no), the answers were dichotomized as „yes” or „no” for the purpose of binary logistic regression analyses (1=yes; 2,3,4=no).

We used descriptive and analytical methods to describe the basic characteristics of the sample and answer our research questions. Simple frequency distributions, chi-square tests and one-way ANOVA were applied to characterize the sample. The calculation of percentages based on the number of actual respondents. The associations of background variables on the acceptance of the anti-smoking law were examined using uni- and multivariable binary logistic regression analyses. The dependent variable within the regression models was the acceptance of smoking bans, with non-acceptance as the reference category. Independent variables introduced in the model were gender, type of settlement, smoking status of students and their parents, the communication about the law in the school and the family as categorical variables, and knowledge-index as a continuous variable. We calculated the odds ratios (OR or aOR) and 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) for each variable; and  $p < 0.05$  was considered to be statistically significant. IBM SPSS version 24 was used for statistical analysis.

The research was approved by the university's ethical commission and the specialists took an exam in order to get permission from the NIH (National Institutes of Health) to involve investigational persons in the project. Written permission was obtained from school inspectorates, headmasters (school management) and parents for conducting the survey.

### Results

The characteristics of the respondents are summarized in Table 1. The mean age of the population was 14.97 (SD:  $\pm 0.49$ ) years.

**Table 1. Characteristics of respondents**

Variables	N	%
Settlement size (no. of inhabitants)		
below 5,000	258	37.2

between 5,000-30,000	235	33.9	Most of the respondents (94.8%) had heard about the new law and 72.3% of them answered that they talked about the new law at home
over 30,000	200	28.8	
County			
Harghita	216	31.1	
Kovácsna	119	17.1	
Maros	359	51.8	
Gender			
male	319	46.0	
female	374	54.0	
Agreement with new clean air law			
completely yes (1)	520	76.0	
rather yes (2)	110	16.1	
may be no (3)	29	4.3	
completely no (4)	25	3.7	
Smoking behavior – students			
non-smokers	616	88.9	
smokers	77	11.1	
The clean air law was presented in the school			
no	262	38.1	
yes	426	61.9	
The clean air law was discussed in the family			
no	191	27.7	
yes	499	72.3	
Smoker behavior – father			
smokers	300	47.0	
non-smokers	338	53.0	
Smoker behavior – mother			
smokers	228	36.5	
non-smokers	397	63.5	

, and this was reported more often among girls than boys ( $\chi^2=10.4$ ,  $p=0.006$ ). Adolescents living in smaller settlements were more likely than adolescents from larger settles to talk about the law at home ( $\chi^2=26.3$ ;  $p<0.001$ ). County of residence was inconsequential. Just less than half of students (46.2%) reported that the new law was presented by school officials; smaller settlements had more frequent discussions of the laws in schools ( $\chi^2=39.7$ ;  $p<0.001$ ).

The mean knowledge-score was  $8.75\pm 1.69$  points (minimum: 0, maximum: 12). There was no difference between the boys and girls ( $p=0.348$ ) and between smokers and non-smokers ( $p=0.832$ ) on the basis of the knowledge-score averages. There was a difference, however, according to settlement size: adolescents living in smaller settlements had more adequate knowledge than young people in large settlements. In settlements with less than 5,000 inhabitants, the average was 8.89 points, in settlements between 5 and 30 thousand inhabitants was 8.91, while settlements with a population of more than 30 thousand reached only 8.37 points ( $F=7.187$ ,  $p=0.001$ ).

Altogether 76.0% of the students agreed with the bans on smoking in closed places. The factors influencing the agreement with bans were analyzed by binary logistic regression models (Table 2).

**Table 2. Factors associated with the positive attitude toward the Romanian national clean air law (results of binary logistic regressions)**

Variables	Univariable		Multivariable	
	OR	p-value	aOR	p-value
Gender				
Female	1.39 (0.98-1.98)	0.064	1.20 (0.79-1.82)	0.386
Male	ref.		ref.	
Settlement size (no. of inhabitants)				
Below 5.000	1.76 (1.14-2.71)	0.010	1.34 (0.79-2.27)	0.276
Between 5.000-30.000	1.36 (0.88-2.08)	0.166	1.07 (0.64-1.80)	0.791
Over 30.000	ref.		ref.	
Smoking behavior – students				
non-smokers	7.99 (4.78-13.36)	<0.001	8.02 (4.55-14.15)	<0.001
smokers	ref.		ref.	
Knowledge of clean air law (continuous variable)	1.14 (1.03-1.26)	0.013	1.16 (1.04-1.31)	0.010
The clean air law was presented in the school				
no	1.04 (0.73-1.50)	0.821	0.98 (0.64-1.50)	0.921
yes	ref.		ref.	
The clean air law was presented in the family				
no	1.11 (0.75-1.65)	0.612	1.09 (0.68-1.74)	0.718
yes	ref.		ref.	
Smoking behavior – father				
smokers	0.73 (0.50-1.06)	0.095	0.76 (0.46-1.26)	0.289
non-smokers	ref.		ref.	
Smoking behavior – mother				
smokers	0.67 (0.46-0.98)	0.038	1.06 (0.62-1.79)	0.838
non-smokers	ref.		ref.	

OR: odds ratio, AOR: adjusted odds ratio

The univariable logistic regression analyses showed significantly higher odds of agreement in smaller settlements, among non-smokers, and among those having better knowledge about the content of anti-smoking law, while the level of agreement was lower among those whose mother was smoking. In the multivariable model the type of the settlement and the role of mothers' smoking were no more significant, while the results in connection with the other variables were similar. The smoking behavior of students was the main predictor of the agreement with the law: the odds of agreement was nearly eight times higher (aOR: 7.99) among non-smokers than smokers ( $p < 0.001$ ). The knowledge of the law also significantly increased the probability of acceptance (aOR=1.16,  $p=0.01$ ). Neither in the univariable nor in the multivariable analysis, the fact that they talked about the new law in the family or school did not affect the attitude towards the law.

### Discussion

Our research shows most adolescents in our sample are aware of the new Romanian National Clean Air Law, and most of them thought that the measures are appropriate. There was no significant relationship between the fact that the teenagers were talking about the law on their attitude toward the law, although the existing knowledge about the content of the law significantly influenced the agreement with the smoking bans. The most significant factor effecting the reaction towards the law was the teenagers' personal smoking habits. The more actively they smoke, is the less they support

the law.

Our research findings demonstrate that it is not enough to talk about restrictive legislative measures. There is a need for accurate knowledge transfer. It would be important to respect each adolescent's fundamental right to have access to information about their health (Albert-Lőrincz 2013). In order to transform the knowledge into a pro-policy attitude, it is necessary to establish conviction towards the policy. They need to be helped to recognize that smoking is a lifestyle and they have control whether or not they choose to be a smoker (Poland et al. 2006). Prevention of smoking requires reinforcement of smoking control, including knowledge of policy that shapes one's behavior. These data consistent with other research findings such as Unger and colleagues' findings (Unger et al. 1999).

Most research points out that parent's smoking is one of the most influent factors in adolescent's smoking, but there is no consensus that father's or mother's behavior has greater impact (Tyas and Pederson 1998; Hover and Gaffney 1988; Scragg and Laugesen 2007). In Romanian culture, there is some evidence that the maternal model is more influential on children's behavior (Szabo et al. 2017). Although neither parent's smoking behavior was not correlated with attitudes towards the clean air law in the multivariable model, other studies have found parental behavior important in shaping adolescent attitudes and behaviors.

A series of studies demonstrate that adolescent smoking habits are positively influenced by local communities and their collective attitude on adolescence smoking (Henderson and Thomas 2005; Dupuy 2003). Consistent with these studies, we found that children in smaller communities had a more positive perspective on the clean air law. One explanation is that the smaller communities may have more tightly networked individuals creating more cohesive and collective perspectives on the clean air law. The most robust finding of the research is the correlation between smoking status and attitudes towards the clean air law. Thus, if the protection of adolescents' health and the protection of minors from secondhand smoke exposure is important, then smoking adolescents should be targeted to support the prevention of transitions from social smoking to nicotine addiction and support cessation. Changing the attitudes towards smoking and reduction of secondhand smoke requires a long process, as outlined by the European Commission (The ASPECT Commission 2004). We encourage the use of a system approach, where the intrapersonal, familial and school environments are considered as intervention targets. This must be supplemented by the political-social environments that would limit smoking.

This is the first published study to document the relationship between intrapersonal, familial, and community factors that contribute to adolescent attitudes of the new Romanian national clean air law. Our findings demonstrate the importance of accurate knowledge transfer related to the future smoking prevention and cessation programs targeting youth population. However, there are methodological limitations, and results should be interpreted with caution. First, we are unable to infer causality due to the cross-sectional nature of our study. It would be valuable to conduct a longitudinal study to understand the change in adolescent attitudes before and after the law. Additionally, the generalizability is limited because our focus is only on Romania and therefore cannot be generalized to other countries. However, given the diversity of communities represented in our sample, we believe the results are generalizable to other regions of Romania.

### Acknowledgement

The research reported in this publication was supported by the Fogarty International Centre and the National Cancer Institute of the National Institutes of Health under Award Number 1 R01 TW09280-01. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health.

**Disclosure statement:** The authors report no conflicts of interest.



## BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Albert-Lőrincz Cs. (2013). The rights and moral dilemmas concerning children with medical diagnosis. Presa Universitară Clujeană, Cluj-Napoca (in Romanian)
- Dupuy ST. (2003). Study of the social capital of the Val-d'Or community with regard to youth issues. Research center. Regional Board of Health and Social Services of Abitibi-Témiscamingue, Rouyn-Noranda (Québec) (in French)
- European Commission. (2015). Eurobarometer. Attitudes of Europeans towards Tobacco and Electronic Cigarettes. [http://ec.europa.eu/commfrontoffice/publicopinion/archives/ebs/ebs\\_429\\_fact\\_ro\\_en.pdf](http://ec.europa.eu/commfrontoffice/publicopinion/archives/ebs/ebs_429_fact_ro_en.pdf) (last accessed 10 June 2018).
- World Health Organization. (2012). Global Adult Tobacco Survey – Romania 2011. World Health Organization, Regional Office for Europe, Ministry of Health Romania. [http://www.who.int/tobacco/surveillance/survey/gats/gats\\_romania\\_report\\_2011.pdf?ua=1](http://www.who.int/tobacco/surveillance/survey/gats/gats_romania_report_2011.pdf?ua=1) (last accessed 10 June 2018).
- Henderson P, Thomas DN. (2005). Skills in Neighbourhood Work. Routledge, 3<sup>rd</sup> edition, London, New York
- Hover SJ, Gaffney LR. (1988). Factors associated with smoking behaviour in adolescent girls. *Addict Behav.* 13(2):139-145.
- Poland B, Frohlich K, Haines RJ, Mykhalovskiy E, Rock M, Sparks R. (2006). The social context of smoking: the next frontier in tobacco control? *Tob Control* 15(1):59–63.
- Scragg R, Laugesen M. (2007). Influence of smoking by family and best friend on adolescent tobacco smoking: results from the 2002 New Zealand national survey of Year 10 students. *Aust N Z J Public Health* 31(3):217–223. <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1467-842X.2007.00051.x/pdf> (last accessed 10 June 2018).
- Shaik SS, Doshi D. (2016). Tobacco Use Cessation and Prevention – A Review. *J Clin Diagn Res.* 10(5):ZE13–ZE17. doi: 10.7860/JCDR/2016/19321.7803.
- Szabo B, Albert-Lorincz M, Albert-Lorincz E, Gasparik I, Barna G. (2017). Family determinants of adolescents smoking, in: Science & Society conference proceedings: 4th International Multidisciplinary Scientific Conference on Social Sciences and Arts. *Sociology and Healthcare.* 3:279–284.
- The ASPECT Commission. (2004). Tobacco or health in the European Union. Past, present and future. Luxembourg: Office for Official Publications of the European Communities. [http://ec.europa.eu/health/archive/ph\\_determinants/life\\_style/tobacco/documents/tobacco\\_fr\\_en.pdf](http://ec.europa.eu/health/archive/ph_determinants/life_style/tobacco/documents/tobacco_fr_en.pdf). (last accessed 10 June 2018)
- Tyas SL, Pederson LL. (1998). Psychosocial factors related to adolescent smoking: a critical review of the literature. *Tob Control* 7:409–420
- U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. (2012). The Epidemiology of Tobacco Use Among Young People in the United States and Worldwide. In: Preventing Tobacco Use Among Youth and Young Adults: A Report of the Surgeon General. Atlanta, GA: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, Office on Smoking and Health. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK99237/> (last accessed 10 June 2018).
- Unger BJ, Rohrbach LA, Howard AK, Cruz BT, Johnson TA, Chen X. (1999). Attitudes toward anti-tobacco policy among California youth: associations with smoking status, psychosocial variables and advocacy actions. *Health Educ Res.* 14(6):751–763. <https://academic.oup.com/her/article/14/6/751/745889> (last accessed 10 June 2018).