

GEO DUMITRESCU - INTERROGATION AND SARCASM**Julian BOLDEA***"Petru Maior" University of Tîrgu Mureş*

Abstract: Geo Dumitrescu's books reconstruct the journey of an author that influenced to an extremely great extent the Romanian lyricism after the Second World War in its deepest structures and manifestations. In this way, we can see that Geo Dumitrescu's poetic approach has its starting point in an attitude of revolt, of inadhesion to a counterfeit, unnatural reality; a poet with an undeniable "penchant" for the real, Geo Dumitrescu assumes the prerogatives of sarcasm and irony out of an acute sense of inadequacy of literary expression to the data of the concrete. Acutely feeling the poetic word as an alienated and alienating way of communicating, improper to an authentic aesthetic dialogue, the author uses a "democratization" of poetic language, restricting the scale of consecrated vocables and relativizing the amplitude of themes with a consolidated, but otherwise constraining prestige.

Keywords: poetry, interrogation, sarcasm, irony, anti-literature.

Geo Dumitrescu's poetic destiny was rightly assumed by literary criticism in terms of rebelliousness and protest - implicitly and explicitly - against weaknesses of the real but also against the ways of representing these realities lyrically. Joining a tradition of Romanian "anti-literature" the poetry of Geo Dumitrescu was placed by Gheorghe Grigurcu, for example, under the sign of "popular avant-garde" (a syntagm created on the model of "folk Surrealism," applied to Jacques Prévert): "we are dealing with a 'popularization' of an experience that is consumed in a Mandarin-like 'modernism', a sui-generis purism, which turns the black flag of the anarchists into the black of gala suits, through a bizarre attempt at classicization of the most unleashed nonconformism."

One of the many questions that Geo Dumitrescu's poetry can raise is to what extent the nonconformist and negativist flow of lyrically transcribed interrogations retains ethical and aesthetic reasons and semantic energy nowadays, i.e. more than half a century after their enunciation. Fact is, firstly, that the poetry of Geo Dumitrescu has no "obsolete," no anachronistic air. Beyond "trends and time," his lyricism kept intact its debunking energies and the scale of meanings, the ironic and sarcastic subtext and lexical flavor. The "antibourgeois" revolt, the rebellious disposition, are conjugated with a verse of complete directness and transparency, which assumes anticalliphily as a "pre-established" standard of lyrical discourse. For these reasons, the rhetorism of Geo Dumitrescu's verse depends not so much on tonality and attitude, as on the structure of his work, because, even when the lyrical vision seems abstracted, detached or self-reflexive, turned upon itself, it always contains, in fact, a "message" addressed to an addressee (which may be that Baudelairian "hypocrite reader" with whom the poetic self is in constant dialogue). Geo Dumitrescu's books thus

reconstruct the journey of an author that influenced to an extremely important extent Romanian lyricism after the Second World War in its deepest structures and manifestations, as noted, among others, by G. Dimisianu: "among the poets of his generation, Geo Dumitrescu is the one who has the most literary followers, sons, grandchildren and even great-grandchildren, all in a straight line (...). I will not hesitate to mention in this respect Marin Sorescu, himself a cultivator of the common, desolemnized language of unembellished poetic expression, of the humor springing out of the handling of word games, puns etc. but even more appropriate would be to mention Mircea Dinescu (...). Besides these two, we can find Geo Dumitrescu spread, so to speak, throughout the poetry of the generation of the eighties and nineties (grandchildren and great-grandchildren!), a poetry that is, in its turn, devourer of the "everyday", sarcastic, playful, destructuring and destabilizing".

In this way, we can see that Geo Dumitrescu's poetic approach has its starting point in an attitude of revolt, of inadhesion to a counterfeit, unnatural reality; a poet with an undeniable "penchant" for the real, Geo Dumitrescu assumes the prerogatives of sarcasm and irony out of an acute sense of inadequacy of literary expression to the data of the concrete. Acutely feeling the poetic word as an alienated and alienating way of communicating, improper to an authentic aesthetic dialogue, the author uses a "democratization" of poetic language, restricting the scale of consecrated vocables and relativizing the amplitude of themes with a consolidated, but otherwise constraining prestige. Out this need for truth, of this imperious necessity of "credibility" is born the interrogative mood of the poet, the doubtful fascination that gradually creeps into the heart of the poem, the lyric space being permanently configured as a dialogue, an alternation of questions and answers, as in the well-known poem *Dar eu spun mereu*: "(...) Și discutăm mereu, și ziceam... și vorbeam, -/ «dar fiți atenți! – spunea domnu-nvățător -/ trebuie să stăm la o distanță egală/ de lucruri, de nu și de da!»/ și eu ziceam oare, și tu spuneai însă,/ și el zicea una-alta, și vorbele/ se-mbrânceau unele peste altele,/ și ardeau enorme iluzii și gânduri mănoase/ iluminând până departe, astfel că/ discuția părea lăncedă și fără picioare,/ și tocmai de aceea apăru, ca un strigăt puternic,/ nevoia mișcării, risipindu-ne/ într-un umblet înfrigorat, în zig-zag/ cu ochii deschiși, cu ochii-n pământ, căutând.../ și eu spuneam totuși, și tu spuneai parcă,/ și mai căzu un cuvânt tremurat, ori/ un cuvânt de taină, îndoială sau așa ceva". It is significant, on the other hand, how the methodical, assumed rhetorism of the author (which belongs to both formula and intent) harmonizes with the rebellious attitude that is constitutive of these verses. Reflexive and self-reflexive lyrical consciousness, Geo Dumitrescu relativizes – in well calculated doses of irony, sarcasm and demythologization - emotional and expressive commonplaces of poetry, giving them a new scale and tonality. Denouncing "the bookish slime, the damned stillness of dull words, dulled in meanings", the poet aspires to a "lost Eden" of the original word completely liberated of all successive conventions continually burdening it. With a Heraclitean and agonal poetic structure and agony, for which lyrical discourse means perpetual polemical reaction as well as opposition to any form of "stillness," Geo Dumitrescu articulates his poetic visions in a regime naturalness where phrasing, though apparently entirely freed from rhythmic canons, obeys however a lithe inner rhythm that dictates the flow of utterances. For this reason, the poems of Geo Dumitrescu preserve their open and rigorous

construction and the impeccable architecture of verse does not deny at all the "direct," engaged form of images.

It should be noted however that the attitude of rebellion against aesthetic canons and commonplaces of any kind is not cultivated for its own sake, but presupposes, as a fair corollary, an underlying moral value, exposed through a subtle art of poetic suggestion and persuasion. Antisentimental at a time when sentimentality was manifested in various forms in literature, Geo Dumitrescu disguises his attitudes and inner states under the half-serious, half-buffoon masks of intended commonplace, deliberately declared as such. If, with the lyricism of Geo Dumitrescu "in the hourglass of poetry the sand of prose begins to trickle " (Ioan Buduca), it is not less true that this poetry confesses, next to its fertile appetite for the real, a metaphysical dimension, one that is not explicit, but subsidiary and allusive, manifested in the temptation of the poet to capture in his poems the senses of the world, to lyrically circumscribe the tragic connotations and truths of human condition. The threat of time and of an alienating history, the perception of the fragility of being faced with the gregarious spirit, the overwhelming sense of panic engendered by the monstrous proliferation of matter, are essential moods that reign supreme over the lyricism of Geo Dumitrescu, one of the most important contemporary poets, unforgiving and lucid witness of these times that are reflected - with an ironic and debunking halo - in the rigor and interrogative fascination of his poems. Born of a rebellious reaction and of an inborn discomfort with commonplaces of any kind, the poetry of Geo Dumitrescu has an unmistakable ostentation of cultivation of the trivial, a skepticism of poetic thought and also a certain bacovianism of attitude and style. To idealization, the poet opposes persiflage and to the standstill in patterns, the iconoclastic verve, the ironic and sarcastic instinct, through which he trivializes the forms of too high solemnity of existence, of culture, of the human. Eugen Simion insists on the ironic verve of the poet: "The young poetry around 1940, begins again to communicate under pressure, maybe, from historical events, but also as a result of natural evolution. It continues to harass the indolent reader and to ridicule the inertia of literature, but it does so in an intelligible way, with a wide variety of techniques. Geo Dumitrescu intelligently uses irony. He is an ironist, which here means a sentimentalist, a pathetic person in disguise. His first concern is to deride the sacred objects of traditional poetry. He starts with the very being of the poet, commented in these sacrilegious terms: heart - a "pinhead," brains - "a sacred and concrete machine" thoughts - "a shapeless heap of dirty laundry" etc.. Literature with all its prestigious clichés is next in line: love, death, transcendental life etc., do not enjoy any esteem in the poet's eyes."

The poem *Iubire* has the aspect and character of a brief lyrical "reportage" in which the author does nothing but record, with minuteness and accuracy of detail, several relevant facts of human condition. The poem begins with a concise comment on death, in brief and poignant notations, where the verve of ideation mingles with symbolical braces („Cineva hotărâse de mult/ că oamenii au voie să moară.../ Moarte frumoasă, nemuritoare, moarte bună,/ moarte obscură, moarte grea, plină/ de înțelesuri și întoarceri..."). The following sequence of the poem makes room for the notations of the lyrical "reportage," where the poet unnoticeably adds some highly expressive turns of phrase of metaphorical character: "El muri tânăr. Încă tânăr. Era îndrăgostit./ Trupul i-a fost găsit împăcat, fierbinte,/ adormit pe un mare

zâmbet./ Asupra lui s-au găsit/ mai multe bilete de tramvai/ de pe traseul 8 orizontal,/ o scrisoare nescrisă, din care/ s-a putut afla totul,/ un certificat de stare sufletească/ pe numele Clement Ion/ și o batistă curată, umedă...”. The last sequence of the poem has a higher dose of lyricism. One perceives here a wave of compassion, of regret before an untimely broken fate. The feeling of love is delicately transcribed as transfiguration of ordinary existence, as redeeming emotional elevation: “Inima i se oprise, mare,/ după ce izbise zadarnic în gratiile/ pieptului, încercând să scape./ În ochii larg deschiși, lumină,/ în locul vieții, imaginea Ei,/ albastră,/ căreia o rază de soare/ îi adăuga șuvițe blonde”. Demystification and resemantization of a feeling, the poem *Iubire* shows the range of a major contemporary poet, Geo Dumitrescu. The feeling of love, debunked, subjected to a desecrating reaction, is also transcribed in *Madrigal răsturnat*, in the volume *Nevoia de cercuri*. *Madrigal* is, as well-known, a species of gallant poetry which proposes a compliment addressed to women, implying, generally, expressive ingenuity and sophistication. In Geo Dumitrescu’s poetry gallantry is replaced by a sense of skepticism, tenderness is supplanted by a more detached attitude and expressive refinement is substituted with prosaic, direct, genuine diction.

The lyricism of his poetry comes precisely from this sense of abandonment and skepticism, from the apparently uninvolved attitude of self, for whom the “fata de fum” represents a capital of love and beauty that it has appropriated. The girl herself remained after the ravishment sad, ugly, poor: “Ai să te faci urâtă, fată tristă, fată de piatră./ Tot ce mi-ai dăruit sporește, urcă-/ piere încet ce ți-am dat, așa cum seacă/ bălțile neadânci bătute de vânt./ Mi-ai dat puțin – ți-am luat tot,/ ochii mei te păstrează întreagă/ și-n cana de lut a inimii mele/ murmură sângele tău./ Ai să te faci urâtă, fată tristă, fată de fum./ Tot ce era frumos, tot ce era de preț/ pe piept am luat, pe frunte, comori uriașe -/ ce-a mai rămas e aproape nimic/ și mai puțin încă, ce-a mai rămas,/ încet-încet tot mie-mi rămâne,/ căci strâng după tine harnic, avar, bob cu bob,/ ca vrabia în urma sacului rupt”. The resonance of erotic feeling, blurred, barely heard in the lines of the poem, is devoid of any mystery, deprived of the sound of the ineffable, recorded in a playful-debunking key. Referring to the specificity Geo Dumitrescu's poetry, Petru Poantă notices that “in his case this antipurist resurrection has the most literary aspect. Almost cynically, he compromises all commonplaces of a lyricism generally considered ‘beautiful’, he methodically destroys the very ineffable of poetry, its grace, its imponderables, and its privacy. The imaginary is removed and compromised by a permanent confrontation with reality. A cold irony undermines metaphors about to form, language is no longer selective, therefore not ‘poetic;’ it incorporates words from extremely diverse areas, with unusual associations, manhandling the bourgeois sense of a ‘beautiful’ work. The poems are full of cheap comparisons, located in a peripheral, violently trivial, often grotesque world. An absolute denial of mystery is encountered in such a universe that seems to have lost the idea of ‘literature.’”

The poet, recognizing himself in the image of a “thief” of feminine beauty and grace, is the one that stripped the female being of its very secrets, of its unalterable beauty, of the “shining powder” of the beloved creature. The treasures of the girl have remained embedded in the soul of the lover, and his increasing his emotional dowry: “Ai rămas puțină, fată tristă, creangă desfrunzită./ Ca un tâlhar sălbatic te-am prădat;/ te-am jefuit de taine, de idoli,/ de flori și de lacrimi,/ iar fluturele tău viu, luminos și năstrușnic,/ ți l-am furat, dezgropându-l din

inima ta/ și lăsându-te stinsă, deșartă,/ ca o veștedă crisalidă pustie.// Ai să te faci urâtă, fată tristă, fată amară,/ ca o grădină bătută de grindină./ Lacom, înfrigurat, te-am spălat în apele mele,/ te-am ales strecurându-te ca pe un nisip aurifer -/ nimic n-a mai scăpat printre degete:/ toată pulberea ta strălucitoare/ e-n mine.// Chiar umbra ta, să n-o cauți zadarnic,/ ți-am oprit-o pe zid, la plecare,/ atunci când inima mea explodând,/ te-a spulberat./ Și iată mâinile mele, privește-le:/ în palma lor a rămas încrustată/ urma genunchilor tăi, așa cum rămâne/ pe cojile nucii urma miezului dulce”. The end of the poem takes a melancholy turn, the atmosphere is of overwhelming sadness, the verse opens to an emotionality also enhanced by the invoking tone of the poet: („Și-ți strig în fiecare noapte, răutăcios,/ deschizând fereastra spre luna ce scapătă,/ îți strig mereu cu mâhnire adâncă și teamă:/ ai să te faci urâtă, ai să te faci puțină,/ fată tristă, fată de gheață.// Ai să te faci urâtă, fată amară!...”). The poem is, in fact, a madrigal with reversed meanings, but which echoes, with awe and recollection, an insinuating and abulic love. Geo Dumitrescu's poetry stands out, in the context of postwar poetry, by its prosaic-reserved tone, through its direct notations of an all the more pronounced plasticity as they are placed under the sign of brevity and of the lack of rhetoric.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Iulian Boldea, *Istoria didactică a poeziei românești*, Editura Aula, Brașov, 2005; Iulian Boldea, *Poezia neomodernistă*, Editura Aula, Brașov, 2005; Iulian Boldea, *Poeți români postmoderni*, Ed. Ardealul, Tîrgu-Mureș, 2006; Marin Bucur, *Literatura română contemporană* (coord.), Ed. Academiei, București, 1980; Matei Călinescu, *Cinci fețe ale modernității*, Ed. Polirom, Iași, 2005; Al. Cistelecan, *Poezie și livresc*, Editura Cartea Românească, București, 1987; Gabriel Coșoveanu, *O generație pierdută?*, Editura Scrisul Românesc, Craiova, 1999; Gheorghe Grigurcu, *Existența poeziei*, Ed. Cartea Românească, București, 1986; Gh. Grigurcu, *Poeți români de azi*, Editura Cartea Românească, București, 1979; Nicolae Manolescu, *Istoria critică a literaturii române*, Ed. Paralela 45, Pitești, 2008; Emil Manu, *Eseu despre generația războiului*, Ed. Cartea Românească, 1978 I. Negoïtescu, *Istoria literaturii române*, Ed. Minerva, București, 1991; Eugen Negrici, *Introducere în poezia contemporană*, Ed. Cartea Românească, București, 1985; Ion Pop, *Avangarda în literatura română*, Editura Minerva, București, 1989; Alex. Ștefănescu, *Istoria literaturii române contemporane*, Ed. Mașina de scris, București, 2005.

Acknowledgement: *This paper was supported by the National Research Council-CNCS, Project PN-II-ID-PCE-2011-3-0841, Contract Nr. 220/ 31.10.2011, title Crossing Borders: Insights into the Cultural and Intellectual History of Transylvania (1848-1948)/Dincolo de frontiere: aspecte ale istoriei culturale si intelectuale a Transilvaniei (1848-1948)/ Cercetarea pentru aceasta lucrare a fost finantata de catre Consiliul Național al Cercetării Științifice (CNCS), Proiect PN-II-ID-PCE-2011-3-0841, Contract Nr. 220/31.10.2011, cu titlul Crossing Borders: Insights into the Cultural and Intellectual History of Transylvania (1848-1948)/Dincolo de frontiere: aspecte ale istoriei culturale si intelectuale a Transilvaniei (1848-1948)*