

# Index

## A

- Abstract argumentation, 627–633
  - admissible sets of arguments, 628
  - labelling arguments, 631–633
- Abstractors, 312
- Abusive *ad hominem*, 165, 173, 581
- Academic domain, 586
- Accent, 80
- Acceptability, 188–189
- Accident, 81, 122, 168
- Ad baculum*, 169
- Ad consequentiam*, 170
- Addressivity, 414
- Adequate, 195
- Ad fallacy*, 25, 142, 168
- Adherence, 262
- Ad ignorantiam*, 165
- Adjudication, 558
- Ad misericordiam*, 169
- Ad populum*, 169
- Ad verecundiam*, 165
- Affirming the consequent, 171, 547
- Amphiboly (fallacy) 79, 548
- Analytical research, 11, 522
- Analytic argument, 209–212, 225–227
- Analytic function, 529
- Analytic overview, 522, 536
- Answerer, 56, 62–65, 72–74, 78
- Antagonist, 520, 525, 537, 546
- Araucaria system, 656
- Arbiter, 330
- Argument, 375–376, 387, 390, 393, 398–400, 402–404, 429–430, 435, 438, 447, 467
  - acceptability, 375, 400, 402–403
  - analysis, 375, 388, 393, 398
  - evaluation, 390
  - field, 213–214, 245, 448
  - form, 148, 151, 157
  - frame, 467
  - from effect to effect, 430
  - from example, 429
  - from external circumstances, 88
  - from position to know, 404
  - from sign, 19, 430
- Argumentation, 1, 3–7, 18–21, 272–284, 616
  - artificial intelligence, 616
  - based on coexistential relation, 277
  - based on combination of sequential and coexistential relations, 279
  - based on contradictions, 273
  - based on (perfect or partial) identity, 274
  - based on relation part-whole, 273
  - based on sequential relation, 276
  - based on structure of reality, 275
  - based on transitivity, 274
  - by comparison, 275
  - by example, 280
  - by illustration, 280
  - by model, 281
  - by the probable, 275
  - by/from analogy, 281, 429
  - claiming a logical relation, 273–274
  - claiming a mathematical relation, 273–274
  - establishing structure of reality, 279
  - from authority, 278
  - process, 3, 6
  - product, 3, 6
- Argumentation dialogues, 642–643, 645
  - in AI and law, 643
  - in multi-agent systems, 645
- Argument(ation) scheme, 19–21, 270, 376, 381, 403–407, 409, 411, 537, 640–642
- Argumentation stage, 529–530
- Argument(ation) structure, 21–24, 399–403, 536–537, 633–635, 637–638
  - arguments and classical logic, 637
  - combining support and attack, 638

- Argument(ation) structure (*cont.*)  
 conclusive force, 634–636  
 premise-conclusion model, 399  
 prima facie assumptions, 636–637  
 specificity, 633–634  
 standard model, 400  
 Toulmin model, 400
- Argumentation support software, 655,  
 657, 659  
 argument diagramming, 656–658  
 argument evaluation, 660–661  
 integration of rules and argument schemes,  
 659–660
- Argumentational integrity, 546
- Argumentational strategy, 556
- Argumentative activity type, 562, 585
- Argumentative means and criticism, 560
- Argumentative orientation, 492
- Argumentative question, 499
- Argumentative strategy, 556
- Argumentative theory (of human reasoning)  
 695–698
- Argumentative turn in cognitive psychology,  
 678, 695–698
- Argument mark-up language (AML) 656
- Argument scheme rule, 544, 547, 549, 552
- Argument strength, probability and other  
 quantitative approaches, 662–663
- Argumentum ad baculum*, 546
- Argumentum ad consequentiam*, 548
- Argumentum ad hominem*, 164–165, 173–174,  
 431, 546, 564–565
- Argumentum ad ignorantiam*, 165, 546, 548
- Argumentum ad misericordiam*, 546
- Argumentum ad populum*, 431, 546, 548
- Argumentum ad verecundiam*, 165, 172–173,  
 546, 548, 552, 564
- Argumentum model (of topics) 505
- Arrangement, 60, 72, 117, 126–127
- Artificial intelligence (AI) 41, 44, 616
- Assertion, 317, 326, 328
- Assertive, 531
- Assertive move, 327
- Associated conditional, 111, 537
- Association, 270
- Atomic sentence, 146
- Attitude change, 459
- Audience, 260, 262–264, 286, 414, 436,  
 444, 449
- Audience demand, 554
- Authoritative argumentation, 236
- Authority argument, 564, 566
- Axiom, 349
- B**
- Backing, 219, 223, 241
- Balance-of-consideration argument, 409
- Balk, 362
- Bandwagon argument, 169
- Bayesian epistemology, 662
- Begging the question, 84, 168, 172, 547
- Belvedere system, 657
- Bias *ad hominem*, 165
- Big Rhetoric, 34, 446–447
- Blanket assumption of existential import,  
 98, 101
- Burden of proof, 545–546, 549, 661  
 evading the burden of proof, 546–547, 550  
 shifting the burden of proof, 546
- C**
- Canons of rhetoric, 60, 117, 128
- Case studies, 665
- Case-based reasoning, 391, 650–653
- Categorical assertion, 331
- Categorical statement, 97, 98, 101
- Categorically provisoed assertion, 331
- Causal argumentation/reasoning, 234, 430,  
 537–538, 547
- Cautious assertion, 331
- Cautiously provisoed assertion, 332
- Chain of arguments, 341
- Challenger, 213, 216, 248
- Circle game, 356
- Circular reasoning, 168, 172, 547
- Circumstantial *ad hominem*, 165
- Civility, 694
- Claim, 14, 212, 216, 247
- Classical dialectic, 15, 27, 53–58, 61–94
- Classical dialogue game, 320
- Classical rhetoric, 23, 27, 31, 37, 58–61
- Code of conduct (for reasonable discussants)  
 541
- Cogeneity-centred empirical research, 522
- Collection, 56
- Commissive, 531–532
- Commitment, 335, 350–351, 363  
 to assertions, 364  
 to concessions, 364  
 rules, 350–351
- Common *topoi*, 120, 126
- Communication studies and rhetoric, 43
- Communicative activity type, 557–558,  
 560, 565, 566
- Communicative domain, 557, 581
- Communicative rationality, 710

- Communion-seeking, 558
  - Company, 176, 196
  - Comparison argumentation, 548
  - Competent judge, 313
  - Complex/compound statements, 311, 314–345
  - Composition, 59, 79, 91, 121, 170, 547, 549
  - Concession, 328, 335
  - Conciliatio*, 557
  - Concluding Rule, 544, 548
  - Concluding stage, 529–531
  - Concluding strategy, 556
  - Conclusion, 14, 145, 151, 154, 156, 158, 181
  - Conditional, 93, 110, 147, 149, 229, 232, 315
  - Conditional relevance, 464
  - Conductive reasoning, 392, 409
  - Confirmationism, 330
  - Conflict of avowed opinions, 336
  - Confrontation stage, 529
  - Confrontational strategy, 579, 583
  - Conjunction, 110, 149, 153, 315
  - Connective, 491
  - Consequentiae*, 153
  - Consequential inconsistency, 358
  - Consequent, 83, 93
  - Constructive dialogue game, 320
  - Constructive formal dialogue game, 322
  - Context, 167, 177, 538, 565
    - discursive, 538
    - intertextual, 538
    - macro, 538, 565
    - meso, 538
    - micro, 538
  - Contextual criterion, 177
  - Contextual domain of relevance, 568
  - Contradictories, 70, 97, 102
  - Contra-position, 338
  - Contraries, 69, 97
  - Contrarium*, 711
  - Controversy, 175–183, 684
  - Conventional criteria, 176, 181
  - Conventional validity, 182, 196, 527
  - Conventionalization of communicative activity type, 557
  - Convergent argument, 22, 380, 401
  - Conversation analysis, 463, 502
  - Convertible, 98
  - Convincingness, 580
  - Coordinative(ly compound) argumentation, 22, 569
    - complementary coordinative argumentation, 569
    - cumulative coordinative argumentation, 569
  - Correctness condition speech act, 524
  - Correctness, 179, 187
  - Counterattack, 337, 339
  - Counterconsiderations, 409
  - Counterexample, 151, 156–159
  - Counterinterpretation, 157
  - Countermodel, 157
  - Criteria, 213, 215
  - Critical discourse analysis, 678–683
  - Critical discussion, 521, 527–533
  - Critical linguistics, 681
  - Critical question(s) 19, 233, 381, 404–405
  - Critical rationalism, 193
  - Critical thinking, 378, 394
  - Critical-rationalist philosophy of reasonableness, 593
  - Cultural keyword, 509–511
- D**
- Dark-side commitments, 364
  - Data, 216–217, 230, 247–248
  - Debate, 433
  - Debate proposition, 14, 428
  - Declarative, 532
  - Declaring a standpoint sacrosanct, 552
  - De-dichotomization, 686
  - Deducibility, 155
  - Deductive argument, 428
  - Deductive move, 327
  - Deductive validity, 150, 152, 377, 390
  - Deductivism, 390
  - Deep disagreement, 6, 687, 739
  - Defeasible reasoning, 622–623, 626–627
    - deductive reasons, 624
    - forms of argument defeat, 626
    - induction, 625
    - memory, 625
    - origins, 622
    - perception, 624–625
    - statistical syllogism, 625
    - undercutting and rebutting, 623
  - Definite description, 312
  - Definition, 71, 88, 91, 313
  - Deliberation, 406–407, 413, 558
  - Deliberative genre, 119, 123
  - Demonstrative (argument) 111
  - Denying an accepted starting point, 552
  - Denying an unexpressed premise, 546–547, 552
  - Denying the antecedent, 171, 547

- Deontic logic, 149
- Derailments of strategic manoeuvring, 563–567
- Derivationally valid, 151, 159
- Descriptive (empirical) dimension, 7–9, 35
- Descriptive standpoint/thesis, 7, 191
- Determiner, 331, 335
- Dialectic, 184, 302
- Dialectical clue, 568
- Dialectic(al) dimension, 520, 522, 587
- Dialectical profile, 367, 570
- Dialectical strength, 461
- Dialectical system, 348
- Dialectical tier, 385
- Dialectification, 526–527
- Dialogical clue, 569
- Dialogical model of argumentation, 499
- Dialogical shift, 375, 406
- Dialogical tableaux, 309
- Dialogically valid, 151, 159
- Dialogue, 265, 308, 317, 339, 349  
attitude, 339  
game, 309  
rule, 318, 350
- Dialogue type, 406–407, 409, 413, 560  
deliberation, 406–407, 413  
information-seeking, 406–407  
inquiry, 406–407  
negotiation, 406–407  
persuasion, 406–407, 410
- Dichotomization, 686
- Difference of maximal propositions (*differentia*) 92–93
- Difference of opinion, 2, 6, 8, 15, 24, 38, 520–521, 524
- Direct proof, 102, 104
- Directive, 531
- Discourse analysis, 503
- Discourse component of relevance, 568
- Discourse entity, 483
- Discursive context, 538
- Discussion vs. debate, 265
- Discussion strategy, 556
- Disjunction, 110, 147, 149, 316
- Dissociation, 271, 282, 287, 573
- Distinction, 363
- Distorting an unexpressed premise, 546–547
- Divergent argument, 380
- Division, 57, 80, 121, 170, 547, 549
- Double hierarchy argumentation, 279
- Doxa, 504
- Dynamic dialectics, 342–343
- E**
- Effectiveness (research) 456, 575
- Elaboration likelihood, 462
- Elementary rule, 338
- Elementary statement, 311
- Elementary truth table, 147
- Emic perspective, 526
- Emotion, 501
- Empirical criterion/criteria, 176–178
- Empirical logic, 388
- Empirical research, 11, 39, 521–522
- Empragmatic speech, 310
- Endoxon*, 63, 507
- Enthymeme, 17, 118–120, 443
- Entitlement-preserving argument, 398
- Enunciator, 495–496
- Epicheireme, 31, 125, 237
- Epistemic approach to fallacies, 175
- Epistemic dimension, 595–600
- Epistemic logic, 149
- Epistemological approach, 375, 394
- Epistemology, 211, 242
- Equivocation, 79, 548
- Eristic debate, 56, 66, 73
- Eristics, 406–407
- Ethical (ethotic) fallacy, 168
- Ethical (means of persuasion) 117, 125–126
- Ethos, 432, 503, 542, 546, 585
- Ethotic fallacy, 546
- Etic perspective, 526
- Euler diagram, 212
- European Predicament, 583
- Evaluation, 374–376, 381, 390, 393, 397–398, 409
- Evaluative function, 522
- Evaluative standpoint, 7
- Evidence, 661, 663  
burden of proof, 661  
inference to the best explanation, 663
- Examples, 118, 124–125
- Exhibiting genre, 119, 123
- Exigence, 444
- Existential statement, 318
- Explicitization procedure, 540
- Expressive, 532
- Expressive design, 454–455
- Extended theory, 552
- Extension, 98, 125, 130
- External *loci*, 88
- Externalization, 525–526
- Extrinsic difference, 93

**F**

Facts, 267, 275, 277, 279  
 Fallacy, 21–27, 39, 43, 76–85, 120–121, 163–175, 545–552  
 Fallacy of ambiguity, 168  
 Fallacy of relevance, 168  
 Fallacy (theory) 375, 380, 397, 408  
 False, 147, 156, 163  
 False analogy, 168, 548  
 Falsely presenting a premise as self-evident, 547, 552  
 Falsely presenting something as a common starting point, 547, 552  
 Falsificationism, 330  
 Field-independency, 232  
 Field-invariance, 213–214, 222, 246  
 Force, 213, 215  
 Form of expression, 80, 121  
 Formal, 302–303, 305–306, 334–336, 344  
     criterion, 334  
     dialectical system, 303, 306, 348  
     logical system, 302  
 Formal approach, 31, 33  
 Formal dialectic, 25–26, 32, 38, 42, 305–306, 336–337, 348  
 Formal validity, 207, 210, 224  
 Formally valid, 151, 153, 158  
 Four terms, 166  
 Free floating forms of reasoning, 234–235  
 Freedom Rule, 542, 545  
 Functional design, 454–455  
 Functionalization, 523–524  
 Fundamental move, 331  
 Fundamental norm, 338, 340

**G**

Game of inductive generalization, 349  
 General dialogue rule, 320, 322  
     constructive dialogue game, 320  
     constructive formal dialogue game, 322  
 General principle of communication, 538  
 General principle of interaction, 538  
 General soundness criterion, 544, 565  
 General term, 96–97  
 Genre of communicative activity, 557  
 Genres, 118–119, 121  
*Genus*, 58, 71  
 Geometrical model of validity, 206–207  
 Gnostic criteria, 396  
 Good reasoning, 411  
 Greek game, 349  
 Ground, 215, 229

**H**

Hasty generalization, 548  
 Health domain, 581  
 Hermes system, 657  
 Heuristic function, 529  
 Higher-order conditions/rules, 346, 583  
 Historical controversy analysis, 678, 683–688  
 Historical-political analysis, 439  
 Hunch, 362

**I**

Ideal speech situation, 528  
 Ideas in themselves, 154  
 Identification procedure, 539  
 Identity condition speech act, 524  
 Idol, 163  
*Ignoratio elenchi*, 77, 82–83, 166, 168–169, 546  
 Illative core, 384  
 Image, 484  
 Immediate consequence, 359  
 Immediately inconsistent, 359  
 Immunizing a standpoint against criticism, 552  
 Indeterminate statement, 178  
 Indirect argumentation, 575  
 Indirect proof, 102, 104  
 Individual constant, 318  
 Inductive argument, 429  
 Inference claim, 232, 412  
 Inference licence, 230  
 Inference procedure, 540  
 Inference rule, 250  
 Informal logic, 23, 33, 34, 41–42, 373–376, 381–387, 390–394, 399, 406, 410–413  
     argument diagramming methods, 399  
     Blair and Johnson's contributions, 381–387  
     conceptions of, 373–376  
     epistemological approaches, 394–399  
     fallacy theory, methods, and key concepts, 408–410  
     Finocchiaro's historical and empirical approach, 387–390  
     Govier's critical analysis, 390–394  
     Hitchcock's contributions, 410–413  
     movement, 376–381  
 Information-seeking, 406–407  
 Information-seeking dialogue, 326–329  
 Inherent interactional (perlocutionary) effect, 580  
 Initial move, 366  
 Initial situation, 560  
 Inquiry, 406–407

Institutional point, 558  
 Institutional precondition, 562  
*Intellectio*, 123–124  
 Intension, 98  
 Intermediate difference, 94  
 Internal *loci*, 88  
 Interpersonal argumentation, 463  
 Interpersonal verification, 314  
 Interpretation function, 157  
 Interpretational counterexample, 157  
 Interpretation, 157, 186  
 Interrogative move, 327  
 Intersubjective validity, 195, 577  
 Intrinsic difference, 93  
 Introduction by means of examples, 312  
 Invalid, 156–157  
 Invention, 60, 121, 123–126  
*Ipse dixisti!* remark, 341  
 Irrelevant argumentation, 546

## J

Judicial genre, 119, 123  
 Justifying force, 190

## L

Language Use Rule, 544, 548  
 Law of identity, 182  
 Law of non contradiction, 182  
 Legal domain, 582–583  
*Lekton*, 106–107  
 Linked argument, 22, 380, 400, 402  
 Local discussion, 340  
 Locality, 414  
 Local thesis, 340  
 Loci, 87–94, 269  
*Locus*, 86, 88, 91, 506–507  
*Locus a genere*, 90  
*Locus ex comparatione*, 90  
 Locution rules, 350  
 Locutor, 495  
 Logical axiom system, 159  
 Logical calculus, 213  
 Logical constant, 145–146, 153, 309, 314, 318  
 Logical convention, 196  
 Logical criteria, 176  
 Logical fallacy, 166  
 Logical (means of persuasion), 59, 117–118, 125  
 Logical minimum, 537  
 Logical semi-convention, 196  
 Logical type, 213, 245, 447

Logical validity, 150–151, 163, 182, 196  
 Logician's conditionals, 359  
 Logico-discursive operation, 489  
 Logico-intellectual language problem, 194  
 Logos, 236, 433, 546

## M

Macro level, 216  
 Major term, 99  
 Making an absolute of the success of the defense, 548, 552  
 Many questions (fallacy), 84, 167–168, 172, 547  
 Material criterion, 335  
 Material dialogue game, 321  
 Material implication, 110  
 Materially valid, 153  
 Maximal proposition, 91–94  
 Medical domain, 585–586  
 Memorizing, 68, 128–129  
 Message content, 460, 689  
 Message structure, 459, 689  
 Method of collection and division, 57–58  
 Method of hypothesizing, 57  
 Method of semantic tableaux, 149, 195  
 Method of truth tables, 149, 195  
 Methodological formulation, 182  
 Methodological necessity, 182, 195  
 Methodological statement, 177  
 Micro argument, 233, 239  
 Middle term, 99  
 Minor term, 99  
 Mixed conflict under complete opposition, 337  
 Modal logic, 149  
 Modal qualifier, 218, 241  
 Modal terms, 213, 215  
 Modality, 488, 510  
 Mode of strategic manoeuvring, 566  
 Model, 157  
*Modus (ponendo/tollendo) ponens*, 113–114  
 Modus ponens, 237  
 More acceptable than, 188  
 More precise than, 188  
 Motivational argumentation, 236  
 Multiple argumentation, 23, 537, 569  
*Münchhausen trilemma*, 193  
 Myself, 324

## N

Narrativity, 442  
 Natural deduction, 160, 163

- Natural language argument, 374–375,  
     377, 390, 393, 407, 409  
 Natural logic, 37, 43, 481  
 Nature, 324  
 Necessary premise, 72  
 Necessary signs, 118  
 Necessitation, 151–152  
 Negation, 147, 149, 317  
 Negotiation, 406–407  
 New rhetoric, 20, 31–32, 34, 40, 260  
*Non sequitur*, 169  
 Non-analytic thinking, 261  
 Non-cause, 84, 121  
 Non-empirical statement, 180  
 Non-logical constant, 146  
 Non-logical fallacy, 166  
 Non-monotonic logic, 618–622  
     default rules, 618–619  
     impact of, 621–622  
     logic programming, 619–620  
     themes in, 620–621  
 Norm of reasonableness, 9–11, 690  
 Normative (critical) dimension, 9, 38  
 Normative pragmatic research program, 520–523  
 Normative pragmatics, 9, 454–459, 520  
 Normative thesis, 191  
*Notatio*, 89
- O**
- Objects of agreement for argumentation,  
     267, 270  
 Objective criterion, 177  
 Objectively better than, 195  
 Objective validity, 195  
 Obligation game, 349  
 Obligation to Defend Rule, 542  
*Officia oratoris*, 60  
 One-sided message, 460  
 Opening stage, 529–530  
 Opening strategy, 556  
 Operator, 488  
 Opponent, 315, 318, 331  
 Orderly dialectics, 342  
 Ortholanguage, 310  
 Ostensive/deictic act, 311  
 Outcome of the discourse, 560
- P**
- Pancritical rationalism, 193  
 Paralogism, 76  
 Partially convertible, 98  
 Particular vs. universal audience, 264, 266  
*Partium enumeratio*, 88  
 Party, 340–341  
 Pathetical fallacy, 168, 546  
 Pathetical (means of persuasion) 118  
 Pathos, 432, 542  
 Perfect inference, 153  
 Performance, 129  
 Permissive persuasion dialogue, 364  
 Personal attack, 564  
 Personal sphere, 451  
 Persuasion dialogue, 354  
 Persuasion research, 16, 30, 44, 459–462,  
     678, 689  
 Persuasion, 384, 395, 406–407, 410, 428, 459  
 Persuasive vs. convincing, 264, 487  
 Persuasiveness, 580  
*Petitio principii*, 168, 172, 547  
 Philosophical research, 9, 521  
 Plausibilist criteria, 396–397  
 Point of departure of argumentation, 12,  
     266–270, 571  
 Point of view, 14  
 Political domain, 583–585  
 Polyphony, 494–497, 500  
 Possible world, 156  
*Post hoc ergo propter hoc*, 169  
 Practical research, 11–12, 522–523  
 Pragma-dialectical theory, 22, 26, 38, 43, 175,  
     520, 583  
 Pragmatic argumentation, 276  
 Pragmatic clue, 569  
 Pragmatic concept of validity, 150, 344  
 Pragmatic dimension, 588–589  
 Pragmatic optimum, 537, 547  
 Pragmatics, 9, 506  
 Precision, 179  
 Precization, 186–187, 195  
 Predicables, 69  
 Predicate, 97, 99  
 Predicate logic, 149  
 Predicate rules, 312  
 Predicator, 311  
 Premise, 145, 154, 156  
 Premise-conclusion model, 399  
 Premises relating to preferable, 267  
 Premises relating to real, 267  
 Prescriptive standpoint, 7  
 Presentational device, 554  
 Presentational transformation, 537  
 Presumption, 267, 402  
 Presupposition, 167  
 Primitive definition, 349

- Principal objective of theoretical logic, 194  
 Principle of conditionalization, 111  
 Principle of (moderate) charity, 392  
 Principle of Reasonableness, 563  
 Principle of (verbal) externalization of dialectics, 340  
*Pro-aut-contra survey*, 189  
*Probabilities*, 118  
 Problematology, 719  
 Problem-solving validity, 195, 527, 545, 592  
 Procedurist, 713  
*Pro-et-contra survey*, 189  
 Profile of dialogue, 366–367, 570  
 Proof, 102, 111  
 Property, 71  
 Proponent, 318, 320, 331  
 Proposition, 2–3, 107–111, 125, 147, 154, 338  
 Propositional constants, 146  
 Proposition(al content) 2  
 Propositional logic, 146, 148, 151  
 Propositional question, 327  
 Prosbatic criteria, 396  
 Protagonist, 537  
*Protasis*, 63  
 Protective defence, 339  
 Public sphere, 450, 453  
 Purpose (of making a statement) 177
- Q**  
 Quality notion, 690  
 Quasi-logical argumentation, 272–275  
 Questioner, 55, 62, 79
- R**  
 Radical argumentativism, 37, 43, 490–494  
*Ratio cognoscendi*, 444  
*Ratio essendi*, 444  
 Rational judge, 5, 40, 259  
 Real disagreement, 187–188  
 Realistic dialectics, 340  
 Reason, 13, 18, 22  
 Reasonable judge, 5–7, 313  
 Reasonable(ness) 9–10, 259, 518, 563  
     anthropological perspective, 9  
     critical perspective, 9  
     geometrical perspective, 9–10  
 Reasoning from analogy, 235  
 Reasoning from authority, 235  
 Reasoning from cause to effect, 235  
 Reasoning from circumstantial evidence to hypothesis, 235  
 Reasoning from comparison, 235  
 Reasoning from criteria to a verbal classification, 235  
 Reasoning from definition to characteristics, 235  
 Reasoning from example to a descriptive generalization, 235  
 Reasoning from sign to unobserved event, 235  
 Rebuttal, 218, 243  
 Reconstruction, 534–539  
 Reconstruction transformation, 535  
*Reductio ad absurdum/impossibile*, 54, 84, 94, 102, 160, 431  
 Reflection-minded practical research, 523  
 Refutation, 55, 76  
 Relational respect of relevance, 568  
 Relevance, 168, 191, 381–382, 385, 400–401, 415, 568  
     analytic relevance, 568  
     evaluative relevance, 568  
     interpretive relevance, 568  
 Relevance, acceptability, sufficiency (RAS) criteria, 381–382, 384, 393  
 Relevance Rule, 542  
 Representation, 485  
 Research program, 9–10, 36  
 Respondent, 331, 350  
 Resolution-oriented analysis, 522  
 Responsibilist criteria, 396  
 Rewarding dialectics, 341–342  
 Rhetoric, 7  
*Rhetorica ad Alexandrum*, 61, 236  
 Rhetorical analogue of dialectical aim, 554  
 Rhetorical approach, 395, 413–416  
 Rhetorical criticism, 426, 439  
 Rhetorical dimension, 553, 555  
 Rhetorical situation, 445  
 Rhetorical theory, 443, 447  
 Rigorous persuasion dialogue, 364  
 Room 5 system, 657–658  
 Rule for critical discussion, 539–552, 545, 564–565, 577  
 Rule of communication, 538  
 Rule-based reasoning, 647–650  
 Rules of conversion, 98, 104  
 Rules of inference, 231
- S**  
 Schematization, 487  
 Scientific controversy, 387  
 Scientific dialectics, 722  
 Second order condition, 541



- Secundum quid*, 82, 168, 548  
 Self-deliberation, 265  
 Semantic block, 497–498  
 Semantic concept of validity, 150  
 Semantics, 489–490  
 Semantic tableau, 309  
 Semi-conventional principle, 196  
 Semi-conventional validity, 196  
 Sentence, 145  
 Sentence form, 148  
 Sentential constant, 146  
 Serial argument, 22, 380  
 Side payment, 328  
 Sign triangle, 186  
 Signs, 107–109, 118  
 Simple (pure) conflict, 338  
 Simply valid, 154  
 Single argumentation, 574  
 Situational counterexample, 156  
 Situationally valid, 159  
 Slippery slope, 170, 548  
 Social and cultural critique, 447  
 Socialization, 524–525  
 Socratic refutation debate, 55–56  
 Solution, 75, 84  
 Sophism, 163  
 Sophistical refutation, 73, 78  
 Soundness, 10, 29, 31  
 Soundness criteria, 566  
     general soundness criteria, 566  
     specific soundness criteria, 566  
 Special topoi, 120  
 Specific soundness criteria, 566  
 Speech act, 464, 518, 523, 538  
 Speech event, 558  
 Sphere of argumentation, 447–453  
 Stage, 340  
 Standard model, 400  
 Standard of reasonableness, 690 *See also* Norm  
     of reasonableness  
 Standard theory, 552  
 Standard Treatment, 25, 142, 166–175  
 Standoffs at force five, 687  
 Standpoint, 2, 4–5, 7, 13–16, 21, 31, 542  
 Standpoint Rule, 542  
 Starting point, 560  
 Starting Point Rule, 543, 547  
 Stasis, 432  
*Stasis/status* theory, 121, 124  
*Status coniecturalis*, 124  
*Status definitionis*, 124  
*Status qualitatis*, 125  
*Status translationis*, 125  
 Stereotype, 503  
 Stoc-issue, 432  
 Strategic maneuvering, 552–557  
 Strategic maneuvering triangle, 554  
 Straw man, 170, 550  
 Strictly constructive dialogue game, 320  
 Strong distinction, 333  
 Structural rules, 350  
 Stylistics, 447  
 Sub-discussion, 540  
 Subjective thought, 154  
 Subject, 87, 96  
 Subordinative(ly compound) argumentation,  
     23, 537  
 Substantial argument, 209–212  
 Substantive argumentation, 236–237  
 Substitutional counterexample, 157, 158  
 Sufficiency, 381–383, 385  
*Syllogismos*, 94  
 Syllogistic, 27, 41, 94–105  
 Symptomatic argumentation, 547  
 Syntactic concepts of validity, 150, 159–163  
 System H, 349–352  
 System of classical rhetoric, 121–123, 128  
 Systematic dialectics, 340
- T**  
 Tasks of the speaker, 60, 117, 121  
 Technical (means of persuasion) 117  
 Technical sphere, 451  
 Ten Commandments, 541  
 Tenability, 189  
 Tense logic, 149  
 Term, 311  
 Testing procedure, 540  
 Thema, 112  
 Theoretical research, 7, 39–40, 521  
 Theory of argumentation in the language,  
     497–498  
 Theory of inquiry, 446  
 Thesis, 14, 309, 322, 330, 336  
 Thetical reason, 708  
 Third order condition, 541  
 Thoroughgoing dialectics, 342  
 Topical potential, 554  
 Topics, 505  
*Topos*, 20, 68–72, 120–121, 493  
 Toulmin model, 31–32, 35, 42  
*Trivium*, 131  
 True, 147  
 Truth, 267, 377, 382, 385, 395  
 Truth preservation, 231

Truth-preserving argument, 398  
Truth table, 147, 149  
Truth-value, 146  
*Tu quoque*, 165  
Turn-taking, 464  
Two-sided message, 461  
Type of argumentation, 19–21, 24

## U

Unclearness fallacy, 548  
Undemonstrated arguments, 112–113  
Unexpressed premise/standpoint, 17–18, 20, 537  
Unexpressed premise rule, 543, 546  
Unexpressed standpoint, 546  
Universal context, 179  
Universal statement, 317–318  
Usage declarative, 532

## V

Valid, 148, 153  
Valid as for now, 154

Validity, 17, 26, 40, 42, 99, 102–103, 142, 144, 148, 150–163, 206–210, 222, 245  
    logical validity, 17, 26, 42, 150  
    pragmatic validity, 40  
Validity Rule, 543, 547  
Value hierarchies, 268  
Values, 268  
Values and audiences, 654–655  
Variable, 148, 157  
Verbal disagreement, 188  
Verbal reasoning, 234

## W

Warrant, 216–217, 222–223, 229–230, 240, 250, 398, 400, 401, 403, 410–411  
Weak distinction, 332  
Wh-question, 327  
Why-Because system with questions, 349  
Winning rule, 320, 322  
Winning strategy, 344  
Woods-Walton approach, 175, 355–358  
Woods-Walton dialogue-segment, 357  
Wording, 128