# WHEN A VENI 'COME' BECOMES A FI 'BE'. A VENI 'COME' AS A COPULATIVE VERB IN ROMANIAN<sup>1</sup>

# ADNANA BOIOC APINTEI<sup>2</sup>, ŞTEFANIA COSTEA<sup>3</sup>

**Abstract:** Most of the attention in the literature has been drawn to the grammaticalization of the verbs of movement as temporal and aspectual markers in the Romance languages (see Heine and Kuteva 2002); however, Romanian and Italian seem to hold a third value for this kind of verbs (e.g., for *a veni* 'come'): the copulative one (van Peteghem 1991; Dragomirescu 2012; Dragomirescu and Nicolae 2014). What rested unnoticed was the mechanism that triggers the copulative use in Romanian. Up to our preliminary observations, *a veni* 'come' as a copula is chosen when a third participant is implied in the process. Thus, this article aims to offer (i) a descriptive account of the contexts in which *a veni* 'come' is selected (on the basis of questionnaires given to native speakers, and of corpora study, i.e. old Romanian texts and dialectal texts), and (ii) a new insight into the syntactic mechanism that triggers the copulative use of *a veni* 'come'.

Keywords: verbs of movement, copulative verbs, grammaticalization.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we will focus on the use of *a veni* 'come' as a copulative verb in Romanian; this research is part of a larger project. The main objectives of the project are: to establish the full inventory of motion verbs which have undergone this type of reanalysis (e.g., common paths of grammaticalization, such as [motion > tense/aspect]; rarer paths of grammaticalization, such as motion > copula] and [motion > passive]); to analyse the processes by which different motion verbs grammaticalized as aspectual and inceptive verbs (cf. *a (se) apuca de* 'catch > begin, be about to'; *a se opri din* 'stop (somewhere) > cease'; *a se porni pe* 'depart > start'; *a prinde a/să* 'catch > start'; *a se pune pe* 'sit > start'; *a urma* 'follow > be about to'; *a sta să* 'sit, lie > be about to' *a-i veni să* 'come > feel like';

RRL, LXV, 2, p. 135-144, București, 2020

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This work was supported by a grant of the Ministery of Research and Innovation, CNCS-UEFISCDI, project number PN-III-P1-1.1-TE-2016-0341, within PNCDI III.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> "Iorgu Iordan – Alexandru Rosetti" Institute of Linguistics of the Romanian Academy/ University of Bucharest, Bucharest, adnanaboioc@gmail.com.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> "Iorgu Iordan – Alexandru Rosetti" Institute of Linguistics of the Romanian Academy/ University of Cambridge, sc2078@cam.ac.uk.

see Guţu Romalo 1961, GALR I: 457–459), copula verbs (cf. a ajunge 'arrive > become'; a ieşi 'exit > become'; a se prinde 'catch > become'; a rămâne 'stay > remain (in a state)'; a trece de 'pass > be considered'; a se ţine 'hold, follow > be'; a veni 'come > be'; see GBLR: 479f.), and passive auxiliaries (cf. a se afla 'be found > be'; a veni 'come > be'; see Iordan 1950; GALR II: 136f.; Dragomirescu şi Nicolae 2014); to identify switch contexts that have favoured the reanalysis from motion to aspect/inceptive, copula or passive.

#### 2. MOTION VERBS: THEORETICAL BACKGROUND

The reference literature has mostly focused on the grammaticalization of verbs of movement as temporal and aspectual markers in the Romance languages (cf. Heine and Kuteva 2002), this being a common path, given the space–time transfer (Bybee, Perkins, Pagliuka 1994:269, Stolova 2005). But Romanian presents paths of grammaticalization that have not been studied so far, such as the [motion verb > copula] path of grammaticalization (not mentioned by Heine and Kuteva (2002)), and the [motion > passive] path of grammaticalization (mentioned within the Romance context only for the Italian verbs *venire* 'come' and *andare* 'go').

These changes within the verbal domain, i.e. passing from a fully lexical verb to a (more) grammatical verb, can be explained through the process of grammaticalization, which implies four main mechanisms (i.e., desemanticization (loss of meaning content), extension (the use of the element in a new context), decategorization (loss of morphosyntactic properties characterizing the source form), and finally erosion (loss in phonetic substance)). As noticed by Roberts and Roussou (2003: 20ff.), the grammaticalization generally implies an upward movement on the clausal spine, from the lexical area to the functional domain (and subsequent direct merger in the functional domain). Apart from grammaticalization, reanalysis plays a central role, inasmuch as it implies changes within the underlying structure of a syntactic pattern (with consequences in the semantics of the relevant verb), without involving any change in its surface manifestation (cf. Harris and Campbell 1995:50). This process accounts for the changes from motion verbs to aspectual auxiliaries, as well as from motion verbs to copula verbs. All in all, it must be noted that the loss of the thematic structure of the verbs undergoing the change is the only feature that is common for all three processes mentioned earlier (cf. Roberts 2013).

As for the first path mentioned above, i.e. [motion verb > copula], copulas originating in motion verbs are attested in other (Romance) languages (Van Peteghem 1991:158f.) (cf. (1) below), although they have not been analysed from the perspective of their grammaticalization.

(1) Fr. tomber, retomber, passer, rester, demeurer, apparaître, demeurer Sp. tornarse, mantenerse, quedar, mostrarse It. tornare, venire, restare, rimanere

The *prima facie* impression is that the system of modern standard Romanian copulas is simple and symmetric, i.e. there is a neutral copula (*a fi* 'be'); an inchoative copula (*a deveni* 'become' – attested only starting with the 18<sup>th</sup> century); a continuative copula

(a rămâne 'be, remain'); and a terminative one (a ajunge 'become'). In short, we have: verbs originally expressing motion/change of location (cf. a ajunge 'arrive > become'; a ieși 'exit > become'; a trece de/drept 'pass > be considered'; a veni 'come > be'); verbs originally expressing location (cf. a rămâne 'stay > remain (in a state)'); and verbs originally expressing a type of movement without motion/change of location (cf. a se prinde 'catch > become'; a se ține 'hold, follow > be').

However, Dragomirescu (2016: 151–163) convincingly argues that the system of both old and modern spoken Romanian copulas is, in fact, more complicated, allowing for broad synonymy, with minor semantic differences; nevertheless, it must be noted that many of the values expressed by different copulas in old and dialectal Romanian were taken over in standard Romanian by the pan-Romanic verb *a deveni*, which entered the language in the 18<sup>th</sup> century. Thus, there is a series of verbs that were preserved in the passing from old to modern Romanian, e.g. *a rămâne* 'remain'; *a ajunge* 'arrive'; *a ieşi* 'exit': *a se prinde* 'catch'; *a se ține* 'hold'; *a veni* 'come'. Of these, only *a ajunge* and *a rămâne* have been preserved as copulas in the present-day standard language, with *a ieşi*, *a se prinde*, *a veni*, and *a se ține* surviving only in the colloquial language. Finally, there are also modern Romanian verbs that have lost their copula nature (typical of old Romanian); cf. *a se afla* 'be placed'; *a sta* 'stay'; *a intra* 'enter'; *a purcede* 'proceed'; *a se ridica* 'raise'; *a sosi* 'arrive'; *a se așeza* 'settle'; *a se pune* 'sit down'.

The motion verbs grammaticalized as copulas (such as *a veni* 'come', *a ajunge* 'arrive', *a sosi* 'arrive') preserve to some extent the deictic meaning related to motion. That is to say, unlike the neuter copula BE, they add an inchoative information to the predicate (cf. *a se prinde*, *a veni*, *a purcede*, *a intra*); a continuative information to the predicate (cf. *a rămâne*, *a se ține*, *a se afla*, *a sta*); or a terminative information to the predicate, i.e. which introduces a property as a final stage of a dynamic process (cf. *a ajunge*, *a ieși*, *a sosi*).

Another striking feature of Romanian copulas derived from motion verbs concerns their status; that is to say, they are not auxiliaries (cf. Italian), and their grammaticalization process does not involve a double verbal construction (as it is the case for tense, aspect and passive auxiliaries). Instead, the context favouring grammaticalization is usually represented by the verb followed by a kind-denoting or property-denoting noun or by an adjective. Hence, the [motion > copula] path certainly involves a reanalysis process, but it cannot be described along the lines of Heine's (1993) stages of grammaticalization (with the notable exception that all motion verbs grammaticalized as aspectual verbs, passive auxiliaries and copulas are generally unable to assign theta-roles), inasmuch as the complement they take is not a subordinate or a non-finite clause.

### 3. CASE STUDY: A VENI 'COME'

## 3.1. Methodology

In order to correctly assess the status of the selected verb (employing both a diachronic and a synchronic perspective), we used the old Romanian corpus made for *The Syntax of Old Romanian* (Oxford, 2016); the academic dictionary of Romanian (DA/DLR); a dialectal corpus survey; an online questionnaire, where we asked native speakers to provide grammaticality judgements about utterances containing *a veni* 'come' as a copula (these examples will be marked with a 'Q').

The above mentioned questionnaire was designed to identify different usages of a veni 'come', e.g. in structures such as îmi vine să plâng (CL.DAT.1SG comes SĂ.SUBJ cry.SUBJ.PRES.1SG) 'I feel like crying'; cartea vine așezată pe masă (book.the comes put.PPLE on table) 'the book needs to be put on the table'; Maria îmi vine cumnată (Maria CL.DAT.1SG comes sister-in-law) 'Maria is my sister-in-law'. The questions asked were formulated in such a manner, that native speakers were able to express grammaticality judgements for specific contexts, e.g. Let us suppose that you've recently got married, and your significant other has a brother – Mircea. Would you naturally say 'Mircea îmi vine cumnat' (Mircea CL.DAT.1SG comes brother-in-law 'Mircea is my cousin-in-law')?; In your day-to-day life, would you use the following expression: 'Ana îmi vine mamă.' (Ana CL.DAT.1SG comes mother 'Ana is my mother')?

#### 3.2. Literature overview

Dragomirescu and Nicolae (2014) observed that there are multiple grammaticalization processes in Romanian regarding the verb *a veni* 'come'.

Briefly, such process concerns the passing from expressing a change of location to expressing a change of state, conceptualized as inception (i.e. the aspectual value). Presumably, the first step consisted in the (old Romanian) nominal phrase being interpreted as a complement (cf. (2) below). The following step consisted in the [nominal phrase + subjunctive] being interpreted as a complement (cf. (3) below). Finally, the last stage (which is to be found in present-day Romanian) consists in the nominal being dropped, leaving *a veni* 'come' to be followed by a subjunctive complement (cf. (4) below).

- (2) De greşaşte omul, nu-i vine luiş [...] foame if makes.mistakes man.DEF NEG=CL.DAT.3SG comes he.DAT hunger 'If humans make mistakes they don't get hungry (as they would normally do)' (old Romanian, CC<sup>2</sup>.1581, in DLR, s.v. veni)
- (3) Dacă-i vine aşa 0 nebuneală sergentului sergeant.DAT if=cl.dat.3sg comes such craziness a să spuie сă el stăpânul averii... е să.SUBJ say that he is owner.DEF wealth.GEN 'If the sergeant starts acting crazy by saying that he is the owner of the wealth...' (modern Romanian, Caragiale, in DLR, s.v. veni)
- (4) *Îmi* **vine** să plâng.

  CL.DAT.1SG comes SĂ.SUBJ cry
  'I feel like crying.'

(present-day Romanian)

Another process is related to the grammaticalization of *a veni* 'come' as a passive auxiliary in Romanian (with the verb raising from the lexical domain to the functional domain, precisely in the VoiceP projection; cf. (5) and (6) below). In this case, the switch context for reanalysis is [*a veni* 'come' + past participle], while the root modal meaning is most probably derived from its iterative/habitual or generic meaning. In contrast to the regular BE-passive, which is static, the *a veni* 'come'-passive is dynamic and, in contrast to the reflexive passive, the *a veni* 'come'-passive contributes a stronger deontic or iterative

value to the verbal event. In the imperfect, the passive auxiliary *a veni* 'come' yields a habitual, dispositional reading of the verbal event (deontic and imperfective are incompatible).

- (5) **Blagoslovit vine**, în numele Domnului, împăratul izraililor blessed comes in name God emperor Israeli 'The Israeli's emperor **comes** and is blessed in the name of God' / '**Blessed** is in the name of God the Israeli's emperor' (old Romanian, CC<sup>2</sup>.1581)
- (6) Casa aceea vine aşezată aici.
  house that comes placed here
  'The house is placed / will be placed / should he

'The house is placed / will be placed / should be placed here'

(present-day Romanian)

The final value of *a veni* 'come' is the copulative one. In this particular case, we have a transfer from [change of location] to [marker of indirect kinship relation] (cf. (7) below).

(7) Ion îmi vine cumnat.

Ion CL.DAT.1SG comes brother-in-law

'Ion is my brother-in-law.' (present-day Romanian)

In the old language, we identify a recurring combination of *a veni* 'come' with bare, person-denoting nouns, which have a kind-level denotation (cf. (8) below). Later, in the 20<sup>th</sup> century, *a veni* 'come' started to be followed by kinship nouns denoting an indirect kinship relation (e.g., *cumnat* 'brother-in-law', *socru* 'father-in-law', *cuscru* 'father of a son-/daughter-in-law', *văr* 'cousin', etc.). Nevertheless, a rudiment of the initial motion semantics has been preserved by *a veni* 'come' in this structure, inasmuch as nouns denoting direct kinship cannot be used in this structure.

- (8) Mircea-vodă iar au venit domn Mircea-vodă again AUX.PERF.3SG come.PPLE king al treilea rând. for.the.third.time 'Mircea-vodă (be)came again king for the third time.'
  - (old Romanian, AC.1650-90)
- (9) Îmi vine nepot / \*tată.

  CL.DAT.1SG comes nephew/ father

  'He is my nephew / \*father '

'He is my nephew / \*father.' (present-day Romanian)

## 3.3. A veni 'come' as a copulative verb in Romanian. More than one story?

Although examples (8) and (9) above are superficially similar, we argue that the use of *a veni* 'come' is triggered by different semantic mechanisms when it comes to indirect kinship and kind-level terms.

# 3.3.1. Kind-level terms: old and present-day Romanian

For a better diachronic understanding of the phenomenon, it is important to mention that old Romanian only shows contexts in which *a veni* 'come' precedes person-denoting nouns, e.g. *domn* 'king' (cf. (10) below).

```
(10)
        a. Dacă au
                                 auzit
                                                  boerimea
                                                                   сă
          if
                AUX.PERF.3SG
                                 heard.PPLE
                                                  boyars.the
                                                                   that
          vine măria sa
                                 domn,
                                         cei mai mulți
          comes highness=his
                                          most.of.them
                                 king
          n-au
                                 mai
                                          așteptat
                                                           venirea
          NEG=AUX.PERF.3PL
                                 more
                                          wait.PPLE
                                                           arrival
          'When the boyars found out his highness would become king, most of them did
           not wait for his arrival'
                                                           (old Romanian, AC.1650-90)
                         dânşii
                                                                           domnie
        b. pentru
                                 am
                                                  venit
                                                                   la
          for
                         them
                                 AUX.PERF.1SG
                                                  come.PPLE
                                                                   to
                                                                           reign
          ca
                aceasta
          like
                that
                                                           (old Romanian, VRC.1645)
          'For them I came to be a king like that'
                                                                           Alexandru
        c. au
                         venit
                                          domn
                                                  în
                                                           ţară
                                                                            Alexandru
          AUX.PERF.3SG come.PPLE
                                          king
                                                  in
                                                           country
                        feciorul Radului
          vodă,
                                                  vodă
                                                           celui
                                                                   Mare
          the.king
                         son
                                 Radu.GEN
                                                  king
                                                           the
                                                                   great
          'Alexandru, the son of Radu the Great, became king
                                                          (old Romanian, CLM.1700-50)
                                                           scaunul,
         d. lăsă boiari
                                          păzească
                        să
           let boyars SĂ.SUBJ
                                          defend
                                                           throne.the
           până
                         le
                                          va veni
                                                           alt
                                                                   domnu
           until
                         CL.ACC.3PL
                                          will come
                                                           another king
           de la împărăție
           from kingdom
           '(He) left the boyars to defend the throne until another king is to be sent from
           the kingdom'
                                                           (old Romanian, ULM.1725)
        e. după
                                                                   samoderjeții
                         ce
                                 สม
                                                  venit
          afterwards
                         that
                                 AUX.PERF.3PL
                                                  come.PPLE
                                                                   autocrats.the
          împăraț
          kings
          'After the coronation of autocrats'
                                                          (old Romanian, CIst.1700-50)
        f. Să
                         să
                                          știe
                                                  de când
                                                                   au
         SĂ.SUBJ
                         CL.REFL.3SG
                                          know
                                                  since.when
                                                                   AUX.PERF.3SG
         vinit
                         Petre
                                          împărat în
                                                           Moldova
         come.PPLE
                         Petre
                                          emperor in
                                                           Moldova
         'Let it be known as a sign of Petre becoming the emperor of Moldova'
                                                           (old Romanian, ITM.1710-1)
                                 venit
                                                  domn
                                                                   în
                                                                           scaun
        g. şi
                                                           nou
          and
                AUX.PERF.3SG
                                 come.PPLE
                                                  king
                                                           new
                                                                   in
                                                                           throne
          Neculae
                         voievod
          Neculae
                         voivode
          'And Neculae voivode became the new king'
                                                           (old Romanian, ITM.1711)
```

It seems plausible to argue for a use of *a veni* 'come' specialized for expressing repeated changes, in the sense that public dignities terms preceded by *a veni* 'come' are

always associated with the idea of a limited period of time (i.e., kings usually did not lead the country their whole life; rather, they had short – sometimes multiple – periods in which they held this title). The idea of a continuous change at the top of the country's hierarchy (which is by itself associated with movement) eventually triggered a semantic reinterpretation [change of location] > [marker of (short) time-limited functions] (i.e., the king-to-be *came* to the throne from outside the top hierarchy).

This observation seems to hold even in present-day Romanian, as native speakers that completed our questionnaire rejected examples as in (11) below as ungrammatical, whereas utterances as in (12) were generally accepted by our informants.

(11)\*Ana asistentă medicală / profesor universitar / vine professor university Ana comes nurse inginer / farmacista теа. Engineer pharmacist my 'Ana is a nurse / professor / engineer / my pharmacist.'

(Q.; present-day Romanian)

(12)a. Dacă vine iarăși Ana director executiv, e de rău. comes again Ana director executive is of bad 'If Ana is to be again the executive director, it will be bad.'

(Q.; present-day Romanian)

b. Moscviciov Sangeorgiu de Mureș. primar la vine Moscviciov comes mayor to Sangeorgiu.de.Mureş

'Moscviciov will come mayor to Sangeorgiu de Mureș.'

(present-day Romanian)

The explanation lies, we argue, in the fact that, once Ana acquires a title such as a nurse, professor, or engineer (cf. (11) above), it will not be taken away from her. Thus, these are not (short) time-limited functions. On the other hand, in the case of utterances as in (12), the presented functions are temporary, in the sense that both executive director and mayor imply mandates.

An interesting tendency revealed by our online questionnaire regards the preference of native speakers to employ a veni 'come' (to the detriment of copulative BE) when emphasising the repetition of a certain event, i.e. when a person occupies a time-limited function more than one time (cf. (13) and (14) below).

(13) *Ne* сă erateamă veni be.IMPF.3SG CL.ACC.1PL fear that AUX.FUT.3SG come totel director. again he director 'We were afraid that he would become our director again.'

(Q.; present-day Romanian)

amenințat că o să venim noi CL.ACC.3SG=AUX.PERF.1PL threat that will.come we consilieri în mandatul următor. counsellors in mandate next

'We threatened them we would be counsellors next mandate.'

(Q.; present-day Romanian)

Last but not least, what examples like (12)–(14) above show is that, when preceding short-term functions, *a veni* 'come' may express different tenses, e.g. indicative present in (12), indicative future in (13), and may take a variety of (singular and plural) subjects.

### 3.3.2. Indirect kinship terms: old and present-day Romanian

Now, the second value of *a veni* 'come' that interests us regards its usage to express indirect kinship relations (not attested in the old Romanian texts we have consulted), e.g. *mamă vitregă* 'stepmother', *cumnat* 'brother-in-law' (cf. (15) below). Interestingly, our questionnaire revealed a strong tendency of native speakers to use the third person singular form of the present indicative, irrespective of the temporal value of the larger contexts, for this specific usage of the verb.

a. Mircea (15)îmi vine cumnat. Mircea CL.DAT.1SG comes brother-in-law 'Mircea is my brother-in-law.' (Q.; present-day Romanian) b. Dacă eşti acea tu verișoară hună си if you are cousin good with that fată, [prietenul tău] îi vine cumnat girl boyfriend your CL.DAT.3SG come brother-in-law după verișoară. after cousin 'If you are that girl's cousin, [your boyfriend] is her brother-in-law.' (present-day Romanian)

Nevertheless, utterances in which *a veni* 'come' takes a different subject (while still having its present indicative form) are still to be found in present-day Romanian, albeit rarer (cf. (16) below).

(16) Acum, eu îi vin mătuşă Mariei.
now I CL.DAT.3SG come aunt Maria.GEN
'Now, I am Maria's aunt.'

(Q.; present-day Romanian)

For this specific usage, we argue that a somewhat different reinterpretation comparing to the one presented in §3.3.1. above is at play (albeit in modern stages of Romanian). That is to say, we take contexts as in (17) below, where it is clear that we were a family when the baby came (i.e. (s)he was the one who 'entered'/ 'came into' the family), to be triggering the relevant copulative value.

(17) Copilul a venit când ni-l
baby.DEF AUX.PERF.3SG come.PPLE when CL.DAT.1PL=CL.ACC.M.3SG
doream cel mai mult.
wish.IMPF.1PL the.most
'We had the baby when we most wanted it.' (Q.; present-day Romanian)

In time, the verb started to precede indirect kinship relations, whereby a person from outside the family *comes* into the family through an actual member (cf. (18) below, where Ana becomes my stepmother by marrying my father (an actual member of my family); thus, she *comes* into our family through my father).

(18) Ana îmi vine mamă vitregă.
Ana CL.DAT.1SG comes stepmother
'Ana is my stepmother.' (Q.; present-day Romanian)

It should be noted, however, that here the roles played in (15), (16), and (18) above are not short-term ones but (somewhat) lifetime ones (cf. the discussion in §3.3.1., whereby we argue lifelong (official) functions cannot be preceded by *a veni* 'come'). Thus, what our questionnaire shed light on is that contexts containing indirect kinship terms preceded by *a veni* 'come' do not completely pattern with their kind-level counterparts, though some similarities are still to be found. For example, *a veni* 'come' preceding both kind-level and indirect kinship terms generally implies a person (an 'outsider') *coming* into a certain group, be it an official one or a family.

#### 4. CONCLUSIONS

Despite highlighting a series of semantic differences between kind-level and indirect kinship terms felicitously preceded by *a veni* 'come', such as the temporal limitation compulsory for the former, and the temporal illimitation required by the latter, our study showed that copulative usages of this specific verb should always derive from the idea of movement from the outside (the hierarchy, the family, etc.) to the inside.

Importantly, a comparative view of the contexts employing kind-level terms from both old and present-day Romanian, and of context employing indirect kinship terms (only) from present-day Romanian allows us to observe a paradox of grammaticalization processes. That is to say, when preceding time-limited functions (i.e., kind-level terms), the stage reached is *extension* (i.e., the motion verb is used in a new context, losing its basic motion semantics), while, when preceding indirect kinship terms, the stage reached is *decategorization* (i.e., the motion verb is used in a new context, its basic semantic being lost and it has started losing the morphosyntactic properties of the source form). Unexpectedly, although the second use is more recent, it has reached a higher grammaticalization stage.

# CORPUS

- AC.1650-90 = Cronicari munteni, ediție îngrijită de Mihail Gregorian, studiu introductiv de Eugen Stănescu, I, Stolnicul Constantin Cantacuzino, Anonimul Cantacuzinesc, Radu Popescu, Bucharest, Editura pentru Literatură, 1961.
- CC<sup>2</sup>.1581 = Coresi, *Evanghelie cu învățătură*, ed. S. Pușcariu, Al. Procopovici: Diaconul Coresi, *Carte cu învățătură (1581)*, vol. I, *Textul*, Bucharest, Socec, 1914.
- CIst.1700–50 = Constantin Cantacuzino, Istoria Țării Româneşti, Ed.: Istoria Țărâi Rumâneşti atribuită stolnicului Constantin Cantacuzino, ed. O. Dragomir, Bucharest, Editura Academiei Române, 2006.

- CLM.1700–50 = Miron Costin, *Letopisețul Țărâi Moldovei*, ed. M. Costin, *Opere*, ed. P. P. Panaitescu, Bucharest, Editura de Stat pentru Literatură și Artă, 1958.
- DA = Dicționarul limbii române, tomurile I-II, Bucharest, 1913–1948.
- DLR = Dicționarul limbii române, serie noua, tomul XIII, partea I, litera V, V-veni, Bucharest, Editura Academiei Române, 1997.
- ITM = Însemnări pe de pe manuscrise şi cărți vechi din Țara Moldovei, ed. I. Caproşu and E. Chiaburu, Iași, Demiurg, 2008, vol. I (1429–1750), 130–582; vol. II (1751–1795), 5–325.
- ULM.~1725 = Grigore Ureche, *Letopisețul Țării Moldovei*, ed. P. P. Panaitescu, Bucharest, Editura de Stat pentru Literatură și Artă, 1955, 57–210.
- VRC.1645 = Varlaam, *Răspunsul împotriva catihismusului calvinesc*, ed. Varlaam, *Opere, Răspunsul împotriva catihismusului calvinesc*, ed. M. Teodorescu, Bucharest, Minerva, 1984, 143–230.
- The electronic database of Old Romanian texts of 'Iorgu Iordan Al. Rosetti' Institute of Linguistics,

### REFERENCES

- Bybee, J., R. Perkins, W. Pagliuka, 1994, *The Evolution of Grammar. Tense, Aspect, and Modality in the Languages of the World*, Chicago, London, The University of Chicago Press.
- Dragomirescu, A., 2016, "The subject(ive) predicative complement", in SOR: 151-163.
- Dragomirescu, A., A. Nicolae, 2014, "The multiple grammaticalization of Romanian *veni* 'come'. Focusing of the passive construction", in: M. Devos, J. van der Wal (eds), *Come and* go *off the beaten of grammaticalization path*, Berlin, Mouton de Gruyter, 69–100.
- GALR I, II *Gramatica limbii române*, 2008, ed. V. Guțu Romalo, București, Editura Academiei Române.
- GBLR Gramatica de bază a limbii române, ed. G. Pană Dindelegan, București, Univers Enciclopedic.
- Guțu Romalo, V., 1961, "Semiauxiliare de aspect?", Limba română, X, 1, 3-15.
- Harris, A. C., L. Campbell, 1995, Historical Syntax in Cross-Linguistic Perspective, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press.
- Heine, B., 1993, Auxiliaries. Cognitive Forces and Grammaticalization, New York/Oxford, Oxford University Press.
- Heine, B., T. Kuteva, 2002, World Lexicon of Grammaticalization, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press.
- Iordan, I., 1950, 'Note sintactice', Studii și cercetări lingvistice, I, 2, 269–279.
- Roberts, I., 2013, 'Some speculations on the development of the Romance periphrastic perfect', *Revue roumaine de linguistique*, LVIII, 1, 3–30.
- Roberts, I., A. Roussou, 2003, Syntactic Change, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press.
- SOR G. Pană Dindelegan (ed.), The Syntax of Old Romanian, Oxford, Oxford University Press.
- Stolova, N.I., 2005, "Expressing Time trought Space: A Comparative Diachronic Perspective on Romance Periphrastic Past and Future", in: M. Coene, L. Tasmowski (eds), On Space and Time in Language, Cluj-Napoca, Clusium, 193–207.
- Van Peteghem, M., 1991, Les phrases copulatives dans les langues romanes, Wilhelmsfeld, Gottfried Egert.