A Abstract argumentation, 627–633 admissible sets of arguments, 628 labelling arguments, 631–633 Abstractors, 312 Abusive ad hominem, 165, 173, 581 Academic domain, 586 Accent, 80 Acceptability, 188–189 Accident, 81, 122, 168 Ad baculum, 169 Ad consequentiam, 170 Addressivity, 414 Adequate, 195 Ad fallacy, 25, 142, 168 Adherence, 262 Ad ignorantiam, 165 Adjudication, 558 Ad misericordiam, 169 Ad verecundiam, 165 Affirming the consequent, 171, 547 Amphiboly (fallacy) 79, 548 Analytical research, 11, 522 Analytic argument, 209–212, 225–227 Analytic function, 529 Analytic overview, 522, 536 Answerer, 56, 62–65, 72–74, 78 Antagonist, 520, 525, 537, 546 Araucaria system, 656 Arbiter, 330 Argument, 375–376, 387, 390, 393, 398–400, 402–404, 429–430, 435, 438, 447, 467 acceptability, 375, 400, 402–403 analysis, 375, 388, 393, 398 evaluation, 390	from effect to effect, 430 from example, 429 from external circumstances, 88 from position to know, 404 from sign, 19, 430 Argumentation, 1, 3–7, 18–21, 272–284, 616 artificial intelligence, 616 based on coexistential relation, 277 based on combination of sequential and coexistential relations, 279 based on contradictions, 273 based on (perfect or partial) identity, 274 based on relation part-whole, 273 based on sequential relation, 276 based on structure of reality, 275 based on structure of reality, 275 by comparison, 275 by example, 280 by illustration, 280 by model, 281 by the probable, 275 by/from analogy, 281, 429 claiming a logical relation, 273–274 claiming a mathematical relation, 273–274 establishing structure of reality, 279 from authority, 278 process, 3, 6 product, 3, 6 Argumentation dialogues, 642–643, 645 in AI and law, 643 in multi-agent systems, 645 Argument(ation) scheme, 19–21, 270, 376, 381, 403–407, 409, 411, 537, 640–642 Argumentation stage, 529–530 Argument(ation) structure, 21–24, 399–403
402–404, 429–430, 435, 438, 447, 467 acceptability, 375, 400, 402–403	376, 381, 403–407, 409, 411, 537, 640–642
	e ·
*	Argument(ation) structure, 21–24, 399–403,
field, 213–214, 245, 448	536–537, 633–635, 637–638
form, 148, 151, 157	arguments and classical logic, 637
frame, 467	combining support and attack, 638

F.H. van Eemeren et al., Handbook of Argumentation Theory, DOI 10.1007/978-90-481-9473-5, © Springer Science+Business Media Dordrecht 2014

979

Argument(ation) atmesture (cont.)	В
Argument(ation) structure (cont.)	Backing, 219, 223, 241
conclusive force, 634–636 premise-conclusion model, 399	Balance-of-consideration argument, 409
prima facie assumptions, 636–637	Balk, 362
specificity, 633–634	Bandwagon argument, 169
standard model, 400	Bayesian epistemology, 662
Toulmin model, 400	Begging the question, 84, 168, 172, 547
Argumentation support software, 655,	Belvedere system, 657
657, 659	Bias ad hominem, 165
argument diagramming, 656–658	Big Rhetoric, 34, 446–447
argument evaluation, 660–661	Blanket assumption of existential import,
integration of rules and argument schemes,	98, 101
659–660	Burden of proof, 545–546, 549, 661
Argumentational integrity, 546	evading the burden of proof, 546–547, 550
Argumentational strategy, 556	shifting the burden of proof, 546
Argumentative activity type, 562, 585	similar curden of proof, 5 to
Argumentative means and criticism, 560	
Argumentative orientation, 492	С
Argumentative question, 499	Canons of rhetoric, 60, 117, 128
Argumentative strategy, 556	Case studies, 665
Argumentative theory (of human reasoning)	Case-based reasoning, 391, 650–653
695–698	Categorical assertion, 331
Argumentative turn in cognitive psychology,	Categorical statement, 97, 98, 101
678, 695–698	Categorically provisoed assertion, 331
Argument mark-up language (AML) 656	Causal argumentation/reasoning, 234, 430,
Argument scheme rule, 544, 547, 549, 552	537–538, 547
Argument strength, probability and other	Cautious assertion, 331
quantitative approaches, 662–663	Cautiously provisoed assertion, 332
Argumentum ad baculum, 546	Chain of arguments, 341
Argumentum ad consequentiam, 548	Challenger, 213, 216, 248
Argumentum ad hominem, 164–165, 173–174,	Circle game, 356
431, 546, 564–565	Circular reasoning, 168, 172, 547
Argumentum ad ignorantiam, 165, 546, 548	Circumstantial ad hominem, 165
Argumentum ad misericordiam, 546	Civility, 694
Argumentum ad populum, 431, 546, 548	Claim, 14, 212, 216, 247
Argumentum ad verecundiam, 165, 172–173,	Classical dialectic, 15, 27, 53–58, 61–94
546, 548, 552, 564	Classical dialogue game, 320
Argumentum model (of topics) 505	Classical rhetoric, 23, 27, 31, 37, 58–61
Arrangement, 60, 72, 117, 126–127	Code of conduct (for reasonable discussants)
Artificial intelligence (AI) 41, 44, 616	541
Assertion, 317, 326, 328	Cogency-centred empirical research, 522
Assertive, 531	Collection, 56
Assertive move, 327	Commissive, 531–532
Associated conditional, 111, 537	Commitment, 335, 350–351, 363
Association, 270	to assertions, 364
Atomic sentence, 146	to concessions, 364 rules, 350–351
Attitude change, 459	
Audience, 260, 262–264, 286, 414, 436, 444, 449	Common <i>topoi</i> , 120, 126 Communication studies and rhetoric, 43
Audience demand, 554	Communication studies and metoric, 43 Communicative activity type, 557–558,
	560, 565, 566
Authoritative argumentation, 236 Authority argument, 564, 566	Communicative domain, 557, 581
Axiom, 349	Communicative admain, 337, 381 Communicative rationality, 710
, 5 17	Communicative fundaments, 710

Communion-seeking, 558	Correctness condition speech act, 524
Company, 176, 196	Correctness, 179, 187
Comparison argumentation, 548	Counterattack, 337, 339
Competent judge, 313	Counterconsiderations, 409
Complex/compound statements, 311, 314–345	Counterexample, 151, 156–159
Composition, 59, 79, 91, 121, 170, 547, 549	Counterinterpretation, 157
Concession, 328, 335	Countermodel, 157
Conciliatio, 557	Criteria, 213, 215
Concluding Rule, 544, 548	Critical discourse analysis, 678–683
Concluding stage, 529–531	Critical discussion, 521, 527–533
Concluding strategy, 556	Critical linguistics, 681
Conclusion, 14, 145, 151, 154, 156, 158, 181	Critical question(s) 19, 233, 381,
Conditional, 93, 110, 147, 149, 229, 232, 315	404–405
Conditional relevance, 464	Critical rationalism, 193
Conductive reasoning, 392, 409	Critical thinking, 378, 394
Confirmationism, 330	Critical-rationalist philosophy of
Conflict of avowed opinions, 336	reasonableness, 593
Confrontation stage, 529	Cultural keyword, 509–511
Confrontational strategy, 579, 583	•
Conjunction, 110, 149, 153, 315	
Connective, 491	D
Consequentiae, 153	Dark-side commitments, 364
Consequential inconsistency, 358	Data, 216-217, 230, 247-248
Consequent, 83, 93	Debate, 433
Constructive dialogue game, 320	Debate proposition, 14, 428
Constructive formal dialogue game, 322	Declarative, 532
Context, 167, 177, 538, 565	Declaring a standpoint sacrosanct, 552
discursive, 538	De-dichotomization, 686
intertextual, 538	Deducibility, 155
macro, 538, 565	Deductive argument, 428
meso, 538	Deductive move, 327
micro, 538	Deductive validity, 150, 152, 377, 390
Contextual criterion, 177	Deductivism, 390
Contextual domain of relevance, 568	Deep disagreement, 6, 687, 739
Contradictories, 70, 97, 102	Defeasible reasoning, 622–623,
Contra-position, 338	626–627
Contraries, 69, 97	deductive reasons, 624
Contrarium, 711	forms of argument defeat, 626
Controversy, 175–183, 684	induction, 625
Conventional criteria, 176, 181	memory, 625
Conventional validity, 182, 196, 527	origins, 622
Conventionalization of communicative activity	perception, 624–625
type, 557	statistical syllogism, 625
Convergent argument, 22, 380, 401	undercutting and rebutting, 623
Conversation analysis, 463, 502	Definite description, 312
Convertible, 98	Definition, 71, 88, 91, 313
Convincingness, 580	Deliberation, 406-407, 413, 558
Coordinative(ly compound) argumentation, 22,	Deliberative genre, 119, 123
569	Demonstrative (argument) 111
complementary coordinative	Denying an accepted starting point, 552
argumentation, 569	Denying an unexpressed premise, 546–547,
cumulative coordinative argumentation,	552
569	Denying the antecedent, 171, 547

D	T.
Deontic logic, 149	E
Derailments of strategic manoeuvring,	Effectiveness (research) 456, 575
563–567	Elaboration likelihood, 462
Derivationally valid, 151, 159	Elementary rule, 338
Descriptive (empirical) dimension, 7–9, 35	Elementary statement, 311
Descriptive standpoint/thesis, 7, 191	Elementary truth table, 147
Determiner, 331, 335	Emic perspective, 526
Dialectic, 184, 302	Emotion, 501
Dialectical clue, 568	Empirical criterion/criteria, 176–178
Dialectic(al) dimension, 520,	Empirical logic, 388
522, 587	Empirical research, 11, 39, 521–522
Dialectical profile, 367, 570	Empragmatic speech, 310
Dialectical strength, 461	Endoxon, 63, 507
Dialectical system, 348	Enthymeme, 17, 118–120, 443
Dialectical tier, 385	Entitlement-preserving argument, 398
Dialectification, 526–527	Enunciator, 495–496
Dialogical clue, 569	Epicheireme, 31, 125, 237
Dialogical model of argumentation, 499	Epistemic approach to fallacies, 175
Dialogical shift, 375, 406	Epistemic dimension, 595–600
Dialogical tableaux, 309	Epistemic logic, 149
Dialogically valid, 151, 159	Epistemological approach, 375, 394
Dialogue, 265, 308, 317, 339, 349	Epistemology, 211, 242
attitude, 339	Equivocation, 79, 548
game, 309	Eristic debate, 56, 66, 73
rule, 318, 350	Eristics, 406–407
Dialogue type, 406–407, 409, 413, 560	Ethical (ethotic) fallacy, 168
deliberation, 406–407, 413	Ethical (means of persuasion) 117,
information-seeking, 406–407	125–126
inquiry, 406–407	Ethos, 432, 503, 542, 546, 585
negotiation, 406–407	Ethotic fallacy, 546
persuasion, 406–407, 410	Etic perspective, 526
Dichotomization, 686	Euler diagram, 212
Difference of maximal propositions	European Predicament, 583
(differentia) 92–93	Evaluation, 374–376, 381, 390, 393,
Difference of opinion, 2, 6, 8, 15, 24, 38,	397–398, 409
520–521, 524	Evaluative function, 522
Direct proof, 102, 104	Evaluative standpoint, 7
Directive, 531	Evidence, 661, 663
Discourse analysis, 503	burden of proof, 661
Discourse component of relevance, 568	inference to the best explanation,
Discourse entity, 483	663
Discursive context, 538	Examples, 118, 124–125
Discussion vs. debate, 265	Exhibiting genre, 119, 123
Discussion strategy, 556	Exigence, 444
Disjunction, 110, 147, 149, 316	Existential statement, 318
Dissociation, 271, 282, 287, 573	Explicitization procedure, 540
Distinction, 363	Expressive, 532
Distorting an unexpressed premise, 546–547	Expressive design, 454–455
Divergent argument, 380	Extended theory, 552
Division, 57, 80, 121, 170, 547, 549	Extension, 98, 125, 130
Double hierarchy argumentation, 279	External loci, 88
Doxa, 504	Externalization, 525-526
Dynamic dialectics, 342–343	Extrinsic difference, 93

\mathbf{F}	Н
Facts, 267, 275, 277, 279	Hasty generalization, 548
Fallacy, 21–27, 39, 43, 76–85, 120–121,	Health domain, 581
163–175, 545–552	Hermes system, 657
Fallacy of ambiguity, 168	Heuristic function, 529
Fallacy of relevance, 168	Higher-order conditions/rules, 346, 583
Fallacy (theory) 375, 380, 397, 408	Historical controversy analysis, 678, 683–688
False, 147, 156, 163	Historical-political analysis, 439
False analogy, 168, 548	Hunch, 362
Falsely presenting a premise as self-evident, 547, 552	
Falsely presenting something as a common	I
starting point, 547, 552	Ideal speech situation, 528
Falsificationism, 330	Ideas in themselves, 154
Field-independency, 232	Identification procedure, 539
Field-invariance, 213–214, 222, 246	Identity condition speech act, 524
Force, 213, 215	Idol, 163
Form of expression, 80, 121	Ignoratio elenchi, 77, 82–83, 166, 168–169,
Formal, 302–303, 305–306, 334–336, 344	546
criterion, 334	Illative core, 384
dialectical system, 303, 306, 348	Image, 484
logical system, 302	Immediate consequence, 359
Formal approach, 31, 33	Immediately inconsistent, 359
Formal dialectic, 25–26, 32, 38, 42, 305–306,	Immunizing a standpoint against criticism, 552
336–337, 348 Formal validity, 207, 210, 224	Indeterminate statement, 178
Formal validity, 207, 210, 224 Formally valid, 151, 153, 158	Indirect argumentation, 575 Indirect proof, 102, 104
Four terms, 166	Individual constant, 318
Free floating forms of reasoning, 234–235	Inductive argument, 429
Freedom Rule, 542, 545	Inference claim, 232, 412
Functional design, 454–455	Inference licence, 230
Functionalization, 523–524	Inference procedure, 540
Fundamental move, 331	Inference rule, 250
Fundamental norm, 338, 340	Informal logic, 23, 33, 34, 41–42, 373–376, 381–387, 390–394, 399, 406, 410–413
	argument diagramming methods, 399
G	Blair and Johnson's contributions, 381–387
Game of inductive generalization, 349	conceptions of, 373–376
General dialogue rule, 320, 322	epistemological approaches, 394-399
constructive dialogue game, 320	fallacy theory, methods, and key concepts,
constructive formal dialogue game, 322	408–410
General principle of communication, 538	Finocchiaro's historical and empirical
General principle of interaction, 538	approach, 387–390
General soundness criterion, 544, 565	Govier's critical analysis, 390–394
General term, 96–97	Hitchcock's contributions, 410–413
Genre of communicative activity, 557	movement, 376–381
Genres, 118–119, 121	Information-seeking, 406–407
Genus, 58, 71	Information-seeking dialogue, 326–329
Geometrical model of validity, 206–207	Inherent interactional (perlocutionary) effect,
Gnostic criteria, 396	580
Good reasoning, 411	Initial move, 366
Greek game, 349	Initial situation, 560
Ground, 215, 229	Inquiry, 406–407

Institutional point, 558 Logical validity, 150-151, 163, 182, 196 Institutional precondition, 562 Logician's conditionals, 359 Intellectio, 123-124 Logico-discursive operation, 489 Intension, 98 Logico-intellectual language problem, 194 Intermediate difference, 94 Logos, 236, 433, 546 Internal loci, 88 Interpersonal argumentation, 463 Interpersonal verification, 314 M Macro level, 216 Interpretation function, 157 Interpretational counterexample, 157 Major term, 99 Interpretation, 157, 186 Making an absolute of the success of the Interrogative move, 327 defense, 548, 552 Intersubjective validity, 195, 577 Many questions (fallacy), 84, 167–168, 172, Intrinsic difference, 93 Introduction by means of examples, 312 Material criterion, 335 Invalid, 156-157 Material dialogue game, 321 Invention, 60, 121, 123-126 Material implication, 110 Ipse dixisti! remark, 341 Materially valid, 153 Irrelevant argumentation, 546 Maximal proposition, 91–94 Medical domain, 585–586 Memorizing, 68, 128-129 Message content, 460, 689 Judicial genre, 119, 123 Message structure, 459, 689 Justifying force, 190 Method of collection and division, 57-58 Method of hypothesizing, 57 Method of semantic tableaux, 149, 195 L Method of truth tables, 149, 195 Language Use Rule, 544, 548 Methodological formulation, 182 Law of identity, 182 Methodological necessity, 182, 195 Law of non contradiction, 182 Methodological statement, 177 Legal domain, 582–583 Micro argument, 233, 239 Middle term, 99 Lekton, 106-107 Linked argument, 22, 380, 400, 402 Minor term, 99 Local discussion, 340 Mixed conflict under complete opposition, 337 Locality, 414 Modal logic, 149 Local thesis, 340 Modal qualifier, 218, 241 Modal terms, 213, 215 Loci, 87-94, 269 Locus, 86, 88, 91, 506-507 Modality, 488, 510 Locus a genere, 90 Mode of strategic manoeuvring, 566 Locus ex comparatione, 90 Model, 157 Locution rules, 350 Modus (ponendo/tollendo) ponens, 113-114 Locutor, 495 Modus ponens, 237 More acceptable than, 188 Logical axiom system, 159 Logical calculus, 213 More precise than, 188 Logical constant, 145-146, 153, 309, 314, 318 Motivational argumentation, 236 Logical convention, 196 Multiple argumentation, 23, 537, 569 Münchhausen trilemma, 193 Logical criteria, 176 Logical fallacy, 166 Myself, 324 Logical (means of persuasion), 59, 117-118, 125 Logical minimum, 537 Logical semi-convention, 196 Narrativity, 442 Logical type, 213, 245, 447 Natural deduction, 160, 163

Natural language orgument 274 275	Darticular us universal audience 264 266
Natural language argument, 374–375,	Particular vs. universal audience, 264, 266
377, 390, 393, 407, 409 Natural logic, 37, 43, 481	Partium enumeratio, 88
Nature, 324	Party, 340–341 Pathetical fallacy, 168, 546
Necessary premise, 72	Pathetical (means of persuasion) 118
Necessary signs, 118	Pathos, 432, 542
Necessitation, 151–152	Perfect inference, 153
Negation, 147, 149, 317	Performance, 129
Negotiation, 406–407	Permissive persuasion dialogue, 364
New rhetoric, 20, 31–32, 34, 40, 260	Personal attack, 564
Non sequitur, 169	Personal sphere, 451
Non-analytic thinking, 261	Persuasion dialogue, 354
Non-cause, 84, 121	Persuasion research, 16, 30, 44, 459–462,
Non-empirical statement, 180	678, 689
Non-logical constant, 146	Persuasion, 384, 395, 406–407, 410, 428, 459
Non-logical fallacy, 166	Persuasive vs. convincing, 264, 487
Non-monotonic logic, 618–622	Persuasiveness, 580
default rules, 618–619	Petitio principii, 168, 172, 547
impact of, 621–622	Philosophical research, 9, 521
logic programming, 619–620	Plausibilist criteria, 396–397
themes in, 620–621	Point of departure of argumentation, 12,
Norm of reasonableness, 9–11, 690	266–270, 571
Normative (critical) dimension, 9, 38	Point of view, 14
Normative (erhear) dimension, <i>y</i> , 38 Normative pragmatic research program, 520–523	Political domain, 583–585
Normative pragmatics, 9, 454–459, 520	Polyphony, 494–497, 500
Normative plagmatics, <i>y</i> , 434–437, 320	Possible world, 156
Notatio, 89	Post hoc ergo propter hoc, 169
Trotatio, 0)	Practical research, 11–12, 522–523
	Pragma-dialectical theory, 22, 26, 38, 43, 175,
	1 ragina dialectical theory, 22, 20, 30, 43, 173,
0	520, 583
Objects of agreement for argumentation.	520, 583 Pragmatic argumentation, 276
Objects of agreement for argumentation,	Pragmatic argumentation, 276
Objects of agreement for argumentation, 267, 270	Pragmatic argumentation, 276 Pragmatic clue, 569
Objects of agreement for argumentation, 267, 270 Objective criterion, 177	Pragmatic argumentation, 276 Pragmatic clue, 569 Pragmatic concept of validity, 150, 344
Objects of agreement for argumentation, 267, 270 Objective criterion, 177 Objectively better than, 195	Pragmatic argumentation, 276 Pragmatic clue, 569 Pragmatic concept of validity, 150, 344 Pragmatic dimension, 588–589
Objects of agreement for argumentation, 267, 270 Objective criterion, 177 Objectively better than, 195 Objective validity, 195	Pragmatic argumentation, 276 Pragmatic clue, 569 Pragmatic concept of validity, 150, 344 Pragmatic dimension, 588–589 Pragmatic optimum, 537, 547
Objects of agreement for argumentation, 267, 270 Objective criterion, 177 Objectively better than, 195 Objective validity, 195 Obligation game, 349	Pragmatic argumentation, 276 Pragmatic clue, 569 Pragmatic concept of validity, 150, 344 Pragmatic dimension, 588–589 Pragmatic optimum, 537, 547 Pragmatics, 9, 506
Objects of agreement for argumentation, 267, 270 Objective criterion, 177 Objectively better than, 195 Objective validity, 195 Obligation game, 349 Obligation to Defend Rule, 542	Pragmatic argumentation, 276 Pragmatic clue, 569 Pragmatic concept of validity, 150, 344 Pragmatic dimension, 588–589 Pragmatic optimum, 537, 547 Pragmatics, 9, 506 Precision, 179
Objects of agreement for argumentation, 267, 270 Objective criterion, 177 Objectively better than, 195 Objective validity, 195 Obligation game, 349 Obligation to Defend Rule, 542 Officia oratoris, 60	Pragmatic argumentation, 276 Pragmatic clue, 569 Pragmatic concept of validity, 150, 344 Pragmatic dimension, 588–589 Pragmatic optimum, 537, 547 Pragmatics, 9, 506 Precision, 179 Precization, 186–187, 195
Objects of agreement for argumentation, 267, 270 Objective criterion, 177 Objectively better than, 195 Objective validity, 195 Obligation game, 349 Obligation to Defend Rule, 542 Officia oratoris, 60 One-sided message, 460	Pragmatic argumentation, 276 Pragmatic clue, 569 Pragmatic concept of validity, 150, 344 Pragmatic dimension, 588–589 Pragmatic optimum, 537, 547 Pragmatics, 9, 506 Precision, 179 Precization, 186–187, 195 Predicables, 69
Objects of agreement for argumentation, 267, 270 Objective criterion, 177 Objectively better than, 195 Objective validity, 195 Obligation game, 349 Obligation to Defend Rule, 542 Officia oratoris, 60 One-sided message, 460 Opening stage, 529–530	Pragmatic argumentation, 276 Pragmatic clue, 569 Pragmatic concept of validity, 150, 344 Pragmatic dimension, 588–589 Pragmatic optimum, 537, 547 Pragmatics, 9, 506 Precision, 179 Precization, 186–187, 195
Objects of agreement for argumentation, 267, 270 Objective criterion, 177 Objectively better than, 195 Objective validity, 195 Obligation game, 349 Obligation to Defend Rule, 542 Officia oratoris, 60 One-sided message, 460 Opening stage, 529–530 Opening strategy, 556	Pragmatic argumentation, 276 Pragmatic clue, 569 Pragmatic concept of validity, 150, 344 Pragmatic dimension, 588–589 Pragmatic optimum, 537, 547 Pragmatics, 9, 506 Precision, 179 Precization, 186–187, 195 Predicables, 69 Predicate, 97, 99 Predicate logic, 149
Objects of agreement for argumentation, 267, 270 Objective criterion, 177 Objectively better than, 195 Objective validity, 195 Obligation game, 349 Obligation to Defend Rule, 542 Officia oratoris, 60 One-sided message, 460 Opening stage, 529–530 Opening strategy, 556 Operator, 488	Pragmatic argumentation, 276 Pragmatic clue, 569 Pragmatic concept of validity, 150, 344 Pragmatic dimension, 588–589 Pragmatic optimum, 537, 547 Pragmatics, 9, 506 Precision, 179 Precization, 186–187, 195 Predicables, 69 Predicate, 97, 99 Predicate logic, 149 Predicator rules, 312
Objects of agreement for argumentation, 267, 270 Objective criterion, 177 Objectively better than, 195 Objective validity, 195 Obligation game, 349 Obligation to Defend Rule, 542 Officia oratoris, 60 One-sided message, 460 Opening stage, 529–530 Opening strategy, 556 Operator, 488 Opponent, 315, 318, 331	Pragmatic argumentation, 276 Pragmatic clue, 569 Pragmatic concept of validity, 150, 344 Pragmatic dimension, 588–589 Pragmatic optimum, 537, 547 Pragmatics, 9, 506 Precision, 179 Precization, 186–187, 195 Predicables, 69 Predicate, 97, 99 Predicate logic, 149 Predicator rules, 312 Predicator, 311
Objects of agreement for argumentation, 267, 270 Objective criterion, 177 Objectively better than, 195 Objective validity, 195 Obligation game, 349 Obligation to Defend Rule, 542 Officia oratoris, 60 One-sided message, 460 Opening stage, 529–530 Opening strategy, 556 Operator, 488 Opponent, 315, 318, 331 Orderly dialectics, 342	Pragmatic argumentation, 276 Pragmatic clue, 569 Pragmatic concept of validity, 150, 344 Pragmatic dimension, 588–589 Pragmatic optimum, 537, 547 Pragmatics, 9, 506 Precision, 179 Precization, 186–187, 195 Predicables, 69 Predicate, 97, 99 Predicate logic, 149 Predicator rules, 312 Predicator, 311 Premise, 145, 154, 156
Objects of agreement for argumentation, 267, 270 Objective criterion, 177 Objectively better than, 195 Objective validity, 195 Obligation game, 349 Obligation to Defend Rule, 542 Officia oratoris, 60 One-sided message, 460 Opening stage, 529–530 Opening strategy, 556 Operator, 488 Opponent, 315, 318, 331 Orderly dialectics, 342 Ortholanguage, 310	Pragmatic argumentation, 276 Pragmatic clue, 569 Pragmatic concept of validity, 150, 344 Pragmatic dimension, 588–589 Pragmatic optimum, 537, 547 Pragmatics, 9, 506 Precision, 179 Precization, 186–187, 195 Predicables, 69 Predicate, 97, 99 Predicate logic, 149 Predicator rules, 312 Predicator, 311 Premise, 145, 154, 156 Premise-conclusion model, 399
Objects of agreement for argumentation, 267, 270 Objective criterion, 177 Objectively better than, 195 Objective validity, 195 Obligation game, 349 Obligation to Defend Rule, 542 Officia oratoris, 60 One-sided message, 460 Opening stage, 529–530 Opening strategy, 556 Operator, 488 Opponent, 315, 318, 331 Orderly dialectics, 342 Ortholanguage, 310 Ostensive/deictic act, 311	Pragmatic argumentation, 276 Pragmatic clue, 569 Pragmatic concept of validity, 150, 344 Pragmatic dimension, 588–589 Pragmatic optimum, 537, 547 Pragmatics, 9, 506 Precision, 179 Precization, 186–187, 195 Predicables, 69 Predicate, 97, 99 Predicate logic, 149 Predicator rules, 312 Predicator, 311 Premise, 145, 154, 156 Premise-conclusion model, 399 Premises relating to preferable, 267
Objects of agreement for argumentation, 267, 270 Objective criterion, 177 Objectively better than, 195 Objective validity, 195 Obligation game, 349 Obligation to Defend Rule, 542 Officia oratoris, 60 One-sided message, 460 Opening stage, 529–530 Opening strategy, 556 Operator, 488 Opponent, 315, 318, 331 Orderly dialectics, 342 Ortholanguage, 310	Pragmatic argumentation, 276 Pragmatic clue, 569 Pragmatic concept of validity, 150, 344 Pragmatic dimension, 588–589 Pragmatic optimum, 537, 547 Pragmatics, 9, 506 Precision, 179 Precization, 186–187, 195 Predicables, 69 Predicate, 97, 99 Predicate logic, 149 Predicator rules, 312 Predicator, 311 Premise, 145, 154, 156 Premise-conclusion model, 399
Objects of agreement for argumentation, 267, 270 Objective criterion, 177 Objectively better than, 195 Objective validity, 195 Obligation game, 349 Obligation to Defend Rule, 542 Officia oratoris, 60 One-sided message, 460 Opening stage, 529–530 Opening strategy, 556 Operator, 488 Opponent, 315, 318, 331 Orderly dialectics, 342 Ortholanguage, 310 Ostensive/deictic act, 311	Pragmatic argumentation, 276 Pragmatic clue, 569 Pragmatic concept of validity, 150, 344 Pragmatic dimension, 588–589 Pragmatic optimum, 537, 547 Pragmatics, 9, 506 Precision, 179 Precization, 186–187, 195 Predicables, 69 Predicate, 97, 99 Predicate logic, 149 Predicator rules, 312 Predicator, 311 Premise, 145, 154, 156 Premise-conclusion model, 399 Premises relating to preferable, 267 Premises relating to real, 267
Objects of agreement for argumentation, 267, 270 Objective criterion, 177 Objectively better than, 195 Objective validity, 195 Obligation game, 349 Obligation to Defend Rule, 542 Officia oratoris, 60 One-sided message, 460 Opening stage, 529–530 Opening strategy, 556 Operator, 488 Opponent, 315, 318, 331 Orderly dialectics, 342 Ortholanguage, 310 Ostensive/deictic act, 311	Pragmatic argumentation, 276 Pragmatic clue, 569 Pragmatic concept of validity, 150, 344 Pragmatic dimension, 588–589 Pragmatic optimum, 537, 547 Pragmatics, 9, 506 Precision, 179 Precization, 186–187, 195 Predicables, 69 Predicate, 97, 99 Predicate logic, 149 Predicator rules, 312 Predicator, 311 Premise, 145, 154, 156 Premise-conclusion model, 399 Premises relating to preferable, 267 Premises relating to real, 267 Prescriptive standpoint, 7
Objects of agreement for argumentation, 267, 270 Objective criterion, 177 Objectively better than, 195 Objective validity, 195 Obligation game, 349 Obligation to Defend Rule, 542 Officia oratoris, 60 One-sided message, 460 Opening stage, 529–530 Opening strategy, 556 Operator, 488 Opponent, 315, 318, 331 Orderly dialectics, 342 Ortholanguage, 310 Ostensive/deictic act, 311 Outcome of the discourse, 560	Pragmatic argumentation, 276 Pragmatic clue, 569 Pragmatic concept of validity, 150, 344 Pragmatic dimension, 588–589 Pragmatic optimum, 537, 547 Pragmatics, 9, 506 Precision, 179 Precization, 186–187, 195 Predicables, 69 Predicate, 97, 99 Predicate logic, 149 Predicator rules, 312 Predicator, 311 Premise, 145, 154, 156 Premise-conclusion model, 399 Premises relating to preferable, 267 Prescriptive standpoint, 7 Presentational device, 554
Objects of agreement for argumentation, 267, 270 Objective criterion, 177 Objectively better than, 195 Objective validity, 195 Obligation game, 349 Obligation to Defend Rule, 542 Officia oratoris, 60 One-sided message, 460 Opening stage, 529–530 Opening strategy, 556 Operator, 488 Opponent, 315, 318, 331 Orderly dialectics, 342 Ortholanguage, 310 Ostensive/deictic act, 311 Outcome of the discourse, 560	Pragmatic argumentation, 276 Pragmatic clue, 569 Pragmatic concept of validity, 150, 344 Pragmatic dimension, 588–589 Pragmatic optimum, 537, 547 Pragmatics, 9, 506 Precision, 179 Precization, 186–187, 195 Predicables, 69 Predicate, 97, 99 Predicate logic, 149 Predicator rules, 312 Predicator, 311 Premise, 145, 154, 156 Premise-conclusion model, 399 Premises relating to preferable, 267 Premises relating to real, 267 Prescriptive standpoint, 7 Presentational device, 554 Presentational transformation, 537
Objects of agreement for argumentation, 267, 270 Objective criterion, 177 Objectively better than, 195 Objective validity, 195 Obligation game, 349 Obligation to Defend Rule, 542 Officia oratoris, 60 One-sided message, 460 Opening stage, 529–530 Opening strategy, 556 Operator, 488 Opponent, 315, 318, 331 Orderly dialectics, 342 Ortholanguage, 310 Ostensive/deictic act, 311 Outcome of the discourse, 560 P Pancritical rationalism, 193	Pragmatic argumentation, 276 Pragmatic clue, 569 Pragmatic concept of validity, 150, 344 Pragmatic dimension, 588–589 Pragmatic optimum, 537, 547 Pragmatics, 9, 506 Precision, 179 Precization, 186–187, 195 Predicables, 69 Predicate, 97, 99 Predicate logic, 149 Predicator rules, 312 Predicator, 311 Premise, 145, 154, 156 Premise-conclusion model, 399 Premises relating to preferable, 267 Premises relating to real, 267 Prescriptive standpoint, 7 Presentational device, 554 Presumption, 267, 402

Principal objective of theoretical logic, 194	Reasoning from comparison, 235
Principle of conditionalization, 111	Reasoning from criteria to a verbal
Principle of (moderate) charity, 392	classification, 235
Principle of Reasonableness, 563 Principle of (verbal) externalization of	Reasoning from definition to characteristics, 235
dialectics, 340	Reasoning from example to a descriptive
Pro-aut-contra survey, 189	generalization, 235
Probabilities, 118	Reasoning from sign to unobserved event, 235
Problematology, 719	Rebuttal, 218, 243
Problem-solving validity, 195, 527, 545, 592	Reconstruction, 534–539
Procedurist, 713	Reconstruction transformation, 535
Pro-et-contra survey, 189	Reductio ad absurdum/impossibile, 54, 84, 94,
Profile of dialogue, 366–367, 570	102, 160, 431
Proof, 102, 111	Reflection-minded practical research, 523
Property, 71	Refutation, 55, 76
Proponent, 318, 320, 331	Relational respect of relevance, 568
Proposition, 2–3, 107–111, 125, 147, 154, 338	Relevance, 168, 191, 381–382, 385, 400–401,
Propositional constants, 146	415, 568
Proposition(al content) 2	analytic relevance, 568
Propositional logic, 146, 148, 151	evaluative relevance, 568
Propositional question, 327	interpretive relevance, 568
Prosbatic criteria, 396	Relevance, acceptability, sufficiency (RAS)
Protagonist, 537	criteria, 381–382, 384, 393
Protasis, 63	Relevance Rule, 542
Protective defence, 339	Representation, 485
Public sphere, 450, 453	Research program, 9–10, 36
Purpose (of making a statement) 177	Respondent, 331, 350
rupose (or making a statement) 177	Resolution-oriented analysis, 522
	Responsibilist criteria, 396
Q	Rewarding dialectics, 341–342
Quality notion, 690	Rhetoric, 7
Quasi-logical argumentation, 272–275	Rhetorica ad Alexandrum, 61, 236
Questioner, 55, 62, 79	Rhetorical analogue of dialectical aim, 554
Questioner, 55, 62, 79	Rhetorical approach, 395, 413–416
	Rhetorical criticism, 426, 439
R	Rhetorical dimension, 553, 555
Radical argumentativism, 37, 43, 490–494	Rhetorical situation, 445
Ratio cognoscendi, 444	Rhetorical theory, 443, 447
Ratio essendi, 444	Rigorous persuasion dialogue, 364
Rational judge, 5, 40, 259	Room 5 system, 657–658
Real disagreement, 187–188	Rule for critical discussion, 539–552,
Realistic dialectics, 340	545, 564–565, 577
Reason, 13, 18, 22	Rule of communication, 538
Reasonable judge, 5–7, 313	Rule-based reasoning, 647–650
Reasonable(ness) 9–10, 259, 518, 563	Rules of conversion, 98, 104
anthropological perspective, 9	Rules of inference, 231
critical perspective, 9	Rules of inference, 231
geometrical perspective, 9–10	
Reasoning from analogy, 235	S
	Schematization, 487
Reasoning from authority, 235 Reasoning from cause to effect, 235	Scientific controversy, 387
Reasoning from circumstantial evidence to	Scientific dialectics, 722
hypothesis, 235	Second order condition, 541
11, politoio, 200	Second order condition, 5-1

Secundum quid, 82, 168, 548 Stereotype, 503 Self-deliberation, 265 Stoc-issue, 432 Semantic block, 497–498 Strategic maneuvering, 552–557 Semantic concept of validity, 150 Strategic maneuvering triangle, 554 Semantics, 489-490 Straw man, 170, 550 Strictly constructive dialogue game, 320 Semantic tableau, 309 Semi-conventional principle, 196 Strong distinction, 333 Semi-conventional validity, 196 Structural rules, 350 Sentence, 145 Stylistics, 447 Sentence form, 148 Sub-discussion, 540 Sentential constant, 146 Subjective thought, 154 Serial argument, 22, 380 Subject, 87, 96 Side payment, 328 Subordinative(ly compound) argumentation, 23, 537 Sign triangle, 186 Signs, 107-109, 118 Substantial argument, 209–212 Simple (pure) conflict, 338 Substantive argumentation, 236–237 Substitutional counterexample, 157, 158 Simply valid, 154 Single argumentation, 574 Sufficiency, 381–383, 385 Situational counterexample, 156 Syllogismos, 94 Situationally valid, 159 Syllogistic, 27, 41, 94-105 Symptomatic argumentation, 547 Slippery slope, 170, 548 Social and cultural critique, 447 Syntactic concepts of validity, 150, 159–163 Socialization, 524–525 System H, 349-352 Socratic refutation debate, 55-56 System of classical rhetoric, 121-123, 128 Solution, 75, 84 Systematic dialectics, 340 Sophism, 163 Sophistical refutation, 73, 78 Т Soundness, 10, 29, 31 Soundness criteria, 566 Tasks of the speaker, 60, 117, 121 general soundness criteria, 566 Technical (means of persuasion) 117 specific soundness criteria, 566 Technical sphere, 451 Ten Commandments, 541 Special topoi, 120 Specific soundness criteria, 566 Tenability, 189 Speech act, 464, 518, 523, 538 Tense logic, 149 Term, 311 Speech event, 558 Sphere of argumentation, 447–453 Testing procedure, 540 Stage, 340 Thema, 112 Standard model, 400 Theoretical research, 7, 39-40, 521 Standard of reasonableness, 690 See also Norm Theory of argumentation in the language, of reasonableness 497-498 Standard theory, 552 Theory of inquiry, 446 Standard Treatment, 25, 142, 166–175 Thesis, 14, 309, 322, 330, 336 Standoffs at force five, 687 Thetical reason, 708 Standpoint, 2, 4–5, 7, 13–16, 21, 31, 542 Third order condition, 541 Standpoint Rule, 542 Thoroughgoing dialectics, 342 Starting point, 560 Topical potential, 554 Starting Point Rule, 543, 547 Topics, 505 Stasis, 432 Topos, 20, 68-72, 120-121, 493 Stasis/status theory, 121, 124 Toulmin model, 31–32, 35, 42 Status coniecturalis, 124 Trivium, 131 Status definitionis, 124 True, 147 Status qualitatis, 125 Truth, 267, 377, 382, 385, 395 Status translationis, 125 Truth preservation, 231

Truth-preserving argument, 398
Truth table, 147, 149
Truth-value, 146
Tu quoque, 165
Turn-taking, 464
Two-sided message, 461
Type of argumentation, 19–21, 24

Unclearness fallacy, 548

U

Undemonstrated arguments, 112–113 Unexpressed premise/standpoint, 17–18, 20, 537 Unexpressed premise rule, 543, 546 Unexpressed standpoint, 546 Universal context, 179 Universal statement, 317–318

V

Valid, 148, 153 Valid as for now, 154

Usage declarative, 532

Validity, 17, 26, 40, 42, 99, 102–103, 142, 144, 148, 150–163, 206–210, 222, 245 logical validity, 17, 26, 42, 150 pragmatic validity, 40 Validity Rule, 543, 547 Value hierarchies, 268 Values, 268 Values and audiences, 654–655 Variable, 148, 157 Verbal disagreement, 188 Verbal reasoning, 234

W

Warrant, 216–217, 222–223, 229–230, 240, 250, 398, 400, 401, 403, 410–411
Weak distinction, 332
Wh-question, 327
Why-Because system with questions, 349
Winning rule, 320, 322
Winning strategy, 344
Woods-Walton approach, 175, 355–358
Woods-Walton dialogue-segment, 357
Wording, 128